arXiv:math/0606710v1 [math.AP] 28 Jun 2006

Long Range Scattering and Modified Wave Operators for the Maxwell-Schrödinger System

II. The general case

J. Ginibre
Laboratoire de Physique Théorique*
Université de Paris XI, Bâtiment 210, F-91405 ORSAY Cedex, France

G. Velo
Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Bologna
and INFN, Sezione di Bologna, Italy

Abstract

We study the theory of scattering for the Maxwell-Schrödinger system in space dimension 3, in the Coulomb gauge. We prove the existence of modified wave operators for that system with no size restriction on the Schrödinger and Maxwell asymptotic data and we determine the asymptotic behaviour in time of solutions in the range of the wave operators. The method consists in partially solving the Maxwell equations for the potentials, substituting the result into the Schrödinger equation, which then becomes both nonlinear and nonlocal in time. The Schrödinger function is then parametrized in terms of an amplitude and a phase satisfying a suitable auxiliary system, and the Cauchy problem for that system, with prescribed asymptotic behaviour determined by the asymptotic data, is solved by an energy method, thereby leading to solutions of the original system with prescribed asymptotic behaviour in time. This paper is the generalization of a previous paper with the same title. However it is entirely self contained and can be read without any previous knowledge of the latter.

MS Classification : Primary 35P25. Secondary 35B40, 35Q40, 81U99.

Key words : Long range scattering, modified wave operators, Maxwell-Schrödinger system.

LPT Orsay 06-37
June 2006

---

*Unité Mixte de Recherche (CNRS) UMR 8627

1 Introduction

This paper is devoted to the theory of scattering and more precisely to the existence of modified wave operators for the Maxwell-Schrödinger system (MS) in $3+1$ dimensional space time. This system describes the evolution of a charged nonrelativistic quantum mechanical particle interacting with the (classical) electromagnetic field it generates. It can be written as follows :

$$\begin{cases} i\partial_t u = -(1/2)\Delta_A u + A_e u \\ \\ \square A_e - \partial_t \left(\partial_t A_e + \nabla \cdot A\right) = J_0 \\ \\ \square A + \nabla \left(\partial_t A_e + \nabla \cdot A\right) = J \end{cases} \tag{1.1}$$

where

$$J_0 = |u|^2 \qquad , \qquad J = \ \mathrm{Im}\ \bar{u}\ \nabla_A u \ . \tag{1.2}$$

Here (A, A_e) is an $I\!R^{3+1}$ valued function defined in space time $I\!R^{3+1}$, $\nabla_A = \nabla - iA$ and $\Delta_A = \nabla_A^2$ are the covariant gradient and covariant Laplacian respectively and $\square = \partial_t^2 - \Delta$ is the d'Alembertian. An important property of that system is its gauge invariance, namely the invariance under the transformation

$$(u, A, A_e) \to (u \exp(-i\theta), A - \nabla\theta, A_e + \partial_t\theta) \ , \tag{1.3}$$

where θ is an arbitrary real function defined in $I\!R^{3+1}$. As a consequence of that invariance, the system (1.1) is underdetermined as an evolution system and has to be supplemented by an additional equation, called a gauge condition. Here we shall use exclusively the Coulomb gauge condition, namely $\nabla \cdot A = 0$, which experience shows to be the most convenient one for the purpose of analysis.

The MS system (1.1) is known to be locally well posed both in the Coulomb gauge and in the Lorentz gauge $\partial_t A_e + \nabla \cdot A = 0$ in sufficiently regular spaces [19] [20] and to have weak global solutions in the energy space [13].

A large amount of work has been devoted to the theory of scattering for nonlinear equations and systems centering on the Schrödinger equation, in particular for nonlinear Schrödinger (NLS) equations, Hartree equations, Klein-Gordon-Schrödinger (KGS) systems, Wave-Schrödinger (WS) systems and Maxwell-Schrödinger (MS) systems. As in the case of the linear Schrödinger equation, one must distinguish the short range case from the long range case. In the former case, ordinary wave operators are expected and in a number of cases proved to exist, describing solutions where the Schrödinger function behaves asymptotically like a solution of the free Schrödinger equation. In the latter case, ordinary wave operators do not exist and have to be replaced by modified wave operators including a suitable phase in their definition. In that respect, the MS system (1.1) in $I\!R^{3+1}$ belongs to the borderline (Coulomb) long range case, because of the t^{-1} decay in L^∞ norm of solutions of the wave equation. Such is the case also for the Hartree equation with $|x|^{-1}$ potential and for the WS system in $I\!R^{3+1}$.

The construction of the wave operators and more precisely the local Cauchy problem at infinity in the long range cases of the previous nonlinear equations and systems has been treated essentially by two methods. The first method is rather direct, starting from the original equation or system. It is intrinsically restricted to the case of small Schrödinger data and to the borderline long range case. It was initiated in [23] in the case of the NLS equation in $I\!R^{1+1}$ and was subsequently extended to the NLS equation in $I\!R^{n+1}$ for $n = 2, 3$, to the Hartree equation in $I\!R^{n+1}$ for $n \geq 2$, to the KGS system in $I\!R^{2+1}$ and to the WS and MS systems in $I\!R^{3+1}$. In particular the WS and MS systems in $I\!R^{3+1}$ are treated in [25] [10] and in [28] [26] [11] respectively by that method. We refer to [12] for a review of that method as applied to the previous systems and for additional references. The second method is more complex and starts with a phase/amplitude separation of the Schrödinger function, inspired by previous work on the Hartree equation [14] [15]. The main interest of that method is to eliminate the smallness condition on the Schrödinger function and the restriction to the borderline long range case in the case of the Hartree equation. It has been applied to the Hartree equation in $I\!R^{n+1}$ [4], with subsequent improvements eliminating a loss of regularity between the asymptotic data and the solution [21] [22], to the WS system in $I\!R^{3+1}$ [5] [6] [8] and to the MS system in $I\!R^{3+1}$ in the special case of vanishing asymptotic data for the Maxwell field [7].

The present paper is devoted to the extension of the results of [7] (hereafter referred to as I) to the general case of non vanishing asymptotic data for the Maxwell field, and actually to the case of arbitrarily large asymptotic data both for the Schrödinger and for the Maxwell field. Thus this paper is a generalization of I and its general structure closely follows that of I. However it is entirely self contained and can be read without any previous knowledge of I, from which it differs significantly in technical detail. Those technical differences being put aside for the moment, the method used in this paper follows rather closely that of I. One first replaces the Maxwell equation for the vector potential by the associated integral equation and substitutes the latter into the Schrödinger equation, thereby obtaining a new Schrödinger equation which is both nonlinear and nonlocal in time. The latter is then treated as in I, namely u is expressed in terms of an amplitude w and a phase φ satisfying an auxiliary system similar to that introduced in I. In contrast with I, however, the representation of u in terms of (w, φ) is now chosen to involve a change of t into $1/t$ in order to simplify the treatment of time derivatives (see Remark 2.1 below for details). One then obtains an auxiliary system of equations for (w, φ), now to be considered in a neighborhood of $t = 0$ instead of $t = \infty$. One solves the Cauchy problem at $t = 0$ for that system in a roundabout way, since that system is singular at $t = 0$. Returning to the original variable u then allows one to construct modified wave operators for the original system (1.1). The detailed construction is too complicated to allow for a more precise description at this stage, and will be described in heuristic terms in Section 2 below.

The main technical difference between this paper and I is that here we systematically use time derivatives (and to some extent covariant space derivatives), both in the definition of the basic function spaces and in the derivation of the relevant estimates, whereas in I we used only ordinary space derivatives. Since one time derivative is homogeneous to two space derivatives for the Schrödinger equation, one can thereby reach a given level of regularity in the space variable for the Schrödinger function by using lower total order derivatives than would be needed if one used space derivatives only. That property has been used extensively in [28] [26] [8] [9] [10] [11]. In the present case, it turns out that one needs the amplitude w for the Schrödinger function mentioned above to have H^k regularity with $k > 5/2$ in order to handle the nonlinear character of the MS system. Here, restricting our attention to integer order derivatives, we reach that level by using only one time and one space derivative, which yields a regularity corresponding to H^k with $k = 3$. In addition, the use of time derivatives naturally leads to a systematic use of covariant instead of ordinary space derivatives of the Schrödinger function, thereby allowing to exploit the algebraic structure of the MS system more efficiently.

When dealing with systems containing a Schrödinger equation and a wave equation coupled together, such as the WS and MS systems, one always encounters the difficulty that the two constituent equations have different propagation properties, so that the product of solutions of the two equations decays rather weakly in the light cone directions. That difficulty can be circumvented by assuming a support condition for the Schrödinger asymptotic data (more precisely for the Fourier transform thereof), which implies that the corresponding solution of the free Schrödinger equation decays sufficiently fast in the light cone directions. Such an assumption appears in early works on the subject [28] [5]. In the framework of the first method mentioned above, that assumption can be eliminated by using a suitably improved asymptotic form for the Schrödinger function [25] [26] (see [12] for a review). In the framework of the more complex method that we are using here, that can also be done for the WS system [6] [8]. In the case of the MS system however, the situation turns out to be less favourable. Even in the simpler case of a linear Schrödinger equation minimally coupled to a free external electromagnetic field, the support assumption has so far been eliminated only at the expense of an intermediate construction which does not allow for an explicit characterization of the admissible asymptotic Schrödinger data [9]. That construction could certainly be adapted to the MS system. However since it is complicated and since the result is not entirely satisfactory, we shall refrain from pursuing that line any further and we shall for simplicity stick to the previously used support condition in the present paper, awaiting a satisfactory treatment of that point in the linear case.

We now give a brief outline of the contents of this paper. A more detailed description of the technical parts will be given at the end of Section 2. After collecting some notation and preliminary estimates in Section 3, we start studying the dynamics for the auxiliary system in Section 4 and we solve the local Cauchy problem at $t = 0$ for that system in Sections 5 and 6, which contain the main technical results of this paper. We finally come back from the auxiliary system to the original one and construct the modified wave operators for the latter in Section 7, where the final result is stated in Proposition 7.2. A simplified version of that result is stated below as Proposition 2.1.

2 Heuristics and formal computations

In this section we discuss in heuristic terms the construction of the modified wave operators for the MS system as it will be performed in this paper and we derive the equations needed for that purpose. We first recast the MS system in the Coulomb gauge in a slightly different form. Under the Coulomb gauge condition $\nabla \cdot A = 0$, the MS system becomes

$$i\partial_t u = -(1/2)\Delta_A u + A_e u \tag{2.1}$$

$$\Delta A_e = -J_0 \tag{2.2}$$

$$\square A + \nabla\,(\partial_t A_e) = J\ . \tag{2.3}$$

We replace that system by a formally equivalent one in the following standard way. We solve (2.2) for A_e as

$$A_e = -\Delta^{-1}\ J_0 = (4\pi|x|)^{-1}\ *\ |u|^2 \equiv g(u) \tag{2.4}$$

where $*$ denotes the convolution in $I\!R^3$, so that by the current conservation $\partial_t J_0 + \nabla\cdot J = 0$,

$$\partial_t\ A_e = \Delta^{-1}\nabla\cdot J\ . \tag{2.5}$$

Substituting (2.4) into (2.1) and (2.5) into (2.3), we obtain the new system

$$i\partial_t u = -(1/2)\Delta_A u + g(u)u \tag{2.6}$$

$$\square A = PJ \equiv P\ \mathrm{Im}\ \bar{u}\nabla_A u \tag{2.7}$$

where $P = 1\!\!1 - \nabla\Delta^{-1}\nabla$ is the projector on divergence free vector fields. The system (2.6) (2.7) is the starting point of our investigation. We want to address the problem of classifying the asymptotic behaviours in time of the solutions of the system (2.6) (2.7) by relating them to a set of model functions $\mathcal{V} = \{v = v(v_+)\}$ parametrized by some data v_+ and with suitably chosen and preferably simple asymptotic behaviour in time. For each $v \in \mathcal{V}$, one tries to construct a solution (u, A) of the system (2.6) (2.7) defined at least in a neighborhood of infinity in time and such that $(u, A)(t)$ behaves as $v(t)$ when $t \to \infty$ in a suitable sense. We then define the wave operator as the map $\Omega : v_+ \to (u, A)$ thereby obtained. A similar question can be asked for $t \to -\infty$. We restrict our attention to positive time. The more standard definition of the wave operator is to define it as the map $v_+ \to (u, A)(0)$, but what really matters is the solution (u, A) in the neighborhood of infinity in time, namely in some interval $[T, \infty)$. Continuing such a solution down to $t = 0$ is a somewhat different question which we shall not touch here.

In the case of the MS system, which is long range, it is known that one cannot take for $\mathcal{V}$ the set of solutions of the linear problem underlying (2.6) (2.7), namely of the free Schrödinger equation for u and of the free wave equation for A, and one of the tasks that will be performed in this paper will be to construct a better set $\mathcal{V}$ of model asymptotic functions. The same situation prevails for long range Hartree equations and for the WS system in $I\!R^{3+1}$.

Constructing the wave operators essentially amounts to solving the Cauchy problem with infinite initial time. The system (2.6) (2.7) in this form is not well suited for that purpose, and we now perform a number of transformations leading to an auxiliary system for which that problem can be handled. We first replace the equation (2.7) by the associated integral equation namely

$$A = A_0 + A'(u, A) \tag{2.8}$$

where

$$A_0 = (\cos \omega t) A_+ + \omega^{-1} (\sin \omega t) \dot{A}_+ \ , \tag{2.9}$$

$$A'(u, A) = - \int_t^\infty dt' \omega^{-1} \sin(\omega(t - t')) PJ(u, A)(t') \tag{2.10}$$

with $\omega = (-\Delta)^{1/2}$.

In particular, A_0 is a solution of the free (vector valued) wave equation with initial data $(A_+, \dot{A}_+)$ at $t = 0$, and $(A_+, \dot{A}_+)$ is naturally interpreted as the asymptotic state for A. In order to ensure the condition $\nabla \cdot A = 0$, we assume that $\nabla \cdot A_+ = \nabla \cdot \dot{A}_+ = 0$.

We next perform a change of variables which is well adapted to the study of the asymptotic behaviour in time of solutions of the Schrödinger equation. The unitary group

$$U(t) = \exp(i(t/2)\Delta) \tag{2.11}$$

which solves the free Schrödinger equation can be written as

$$U(t) = M(t) \ D(t) \ F \ M(t) \tag{2.12}$$

where $M(t)$ is the operator of multiplication by the function

$$M(t) = \exp \left(ix^2/2t \right) \ , \tag{2.13}$$

F is the Fourier transform and $D(t)$ is the dilation operator

$$(D(t) \ f)(x) = (it)^{-3/2} \ f(x/t) \tag{2.14}$$

normalized to be unitary in L^2. We shall also need the operator $D_0(t)$ defined by

$$(D_0(t) f)(x) = f(x/t) \ . \tag{2.15}$$

We parametrize the Schrödinger function u in terms of an amplitude w and of a real phase φ as

$$u(t) = M(t) \ D(t) \exp(i\varphi(1/t)) \overline{w}(1/t) \ . \tag{2.16}$$

Correspondingly we change the variable for the vector potential from A to B according to

$$A(t) = -t^{-1}\ D_0(t)\ B(1/t) \tag{2.17}$$

and similarly for A_0 and A'.

Remark 2.1. The change of variables (2.16) (2.17) is slightly different from that made in I. Denoting by w_*, φ_*, B_* the variables introduced in I, the correspondence is

$$\left(w_* \exp(-i\varphi_*)\right)(t) = \overline{w \exp(-i\varphi)}(1/t)$$

$$B_*(t) = -B(1/t)\ .$$

The main practical consequence is that we shall have to study the system of equations for (w, φ, B) (see (2.41) below) in the neighborhood of $t = 0$ whereas in I the system for (w_*, φ_*, B_*) was studied in the neighborhood of $t = \infty$. The present choice is more convenient when dealing with time derivatives, as we shall do systematically in this paper. Note also that the change of variables (2.16) reduces to the pseudoconformal inversion for $\varphi = 0$.

We now perform the change of variables (2.16) (2.17) on the system (2.6) (2.7). Substituting (2.16) (2.17) into (2.6) and commuting the Schrödinger operator with MD, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&\left\{\left(i\partial_t + (1/2)\Delta_A - g(u)\right)u\right\}(t)\\ &= t^{-2}M(t)D(t)\left\{\overline{\left(i\partial_t + (1/2)\Delta_B - \check{B} - t^{-1}g(w)\right)\exp(-i\varphi)w}\right\}(1/t)\end{aligned} \tag{2.18}$$

where

$$\check{B}(t) = t^{-1}x\cdot B(t) \tag{2.19}$$

and more generally for any $I\!R^3$ valued function f of space time

$$\check{f}(t) = t^{-1}x\cdot f(t)\ . \tag{2.20}$$

Therefore (2.6) becomes

$$\left(i\partial_t + \partial_t\varphi + (1/2)\Delta_K - \check{B}(t) - t^{-1}g(w)\right)w = 0 \tag{2.21}$$

where

$$K = B + s \qquad , \qquad s = \nabla\varphi\ , \tag{2.22}$$

$$\Delta_K = \nabla_K^2 = (\nabla - iK)^2\ . \tag{2.23}$$

We next turn to the Maxwell equation in the integral form (2.8)-(2.10). Substituting (2.16) (2.17) into the definition of J, we obtain

$$J(t) = t^{-3} D_0(t)\ N(t) \tag{2.24}$$

where

$$N(t) = -M_1(1/t) - t^{-1} M_2(1/t)\ , \tag{2.25}$$

$$M_1 = -x|w|^2\ , \tag{2.26}$$

$$M_2 = \mathrm{Im}\ \overline{w} \nabla_K w\ . \tag{2.27}$$

Substituting (2.24) into (2.10) and letting $t' = t\nu$ yields

$$\begin{aligned} A'(t) &= -\int_t^\infty dt' \omega^{-1} \sin(\omega(t-t')) t'^{-3} P D_0(t') N(t') \\ &= t^{-1} D_0(t) \int_1^\infty d\nu\ \nu^{-3} \sin(\omega(\nu - 1)) P D_0(\nu) N(t\nu) \\ &= -t^{-1} D_0(t) B'(1/t) \end{aligned} \tag{2.28}$$

in accordance with (2.17), where

$$B' = B_1 + B_2 \qquad , \qquad B_j = t^{j-1} F_j(PM_j) \quad \text{for } j = 1, 2\ , \tag{2.29}$$

with M_j defined by (2.26) (2.27) and F_j defined by

$$F_j(M) = \int_1^\infty d\nu\ \nu^{-2-j} \omega^{-1} \sin(\omega(\nu-1)) D_0(\nu)\ M(t/\nu)\ . \tag{2.30}$$

Note that (2.26) (2.29) (2.30) yield B_1 as an explicit function of w, namely

$$B_1 \equiv B_1(w) \equiv B_1(w, w) \tag{2.31}$$

where

$$B_1(w_1, w_2) = -F_1(Px\ \mathrm{Re}\ \overline{w}_1 w_2)\ . \tag{2.32}$$

On the other hand (2.27) (2.29) (2.30) yield only an implicit equation for B_2, since M_2 still contains B_2 through K. We shall take the following point of view. We shall take B_2 as the dynamical variable for the Maxwell field, we shall regard the decomposition

$$B = B_0 + B_1 + B_2 \tag{2.33}$$

as a change of dynamical variable from B to B_2, and the relations (2.29) (2.30) with $j = 2$ as the equation for B_2, in the form

$$B_2 = \mathcal{B}_2(w, w, s + B) \tag{2.34}$$

where we define

$$\mathcal{B}_2(w_1, w_2, K) \equiv tF_2 \left(P \ \mathrm{Im} \ \overline{w}_1 \nabla_K w_2 \right) \ . \tag{2.35}$$

We now come back to the transformed Schrödinger equation (2.21). Here we have parametrized u in terms of an amplitude w and a phase φ and we have only one equation for two functions (w, φ). We then arbitrarily impose a second equation, namely an equation for the phase φ, thereby splitting (2.21) into a system of two equations, the other one of which being an equation for w. There is a large amount of freedom in the choice of the equation for the phase. The role of the phase is to cancel the long range terms in (2.21) coming from the interaction. The terms coming from the covariant Laplacian are expected (and will turn out) to be short range. Such is also the case for the contribution of B_2 to $\check{B}$ because of the factor t in (2.35). The term $t^{-1}g(w)$ is clearly long range (of Hartree type) and is therefore included in the φ equation. The term $\check{B}_1$ in $\check{B}$ is also long range, but since it is less regular than the previous one, it is convenient to split it into a short range and a long range part. Let $\chi \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(I\!R^3, I\!R)$, $0 \le \chi \le 1$, $\chi(\xi) = 1$ for $|\xi| \le 1$, $\chi(\xi) = 0$ for $|\xi| \ge 2$, and let $0 < \beta < 1$. We define

$$\begin{cases} \chi_L \equiv F^* \chi(\cdot t^\beta) F \quad , \quad \chi_S = 1\!\!1 - \chi_L \\ \check{B}_1 = \check{B}_{1S} + \check{B}_{1L} \quad , \quad \check{B}_{1S} = \chi_S \check{B}_1 \quad , \quad \check{B}_{1L} = \chi_L \check{B}_1 \ . \end{cases} \tag{2.36}$$

Corresponding to the fact that $\check{B}_0$ and $\check{B}_2$ are regarded as short range, we denote

$$\check{B}_S = \check{B}_0 + \check{B}_{1S} + \check{B}_2 \quad , \quad \check{B}_L = \check{B}_{1L} \ . \tag{2.37}$$

As the equation for φ, we impose

$$\partial_t \varphi = t^{-1} g(w) + \check{B}_{1L} \tag{2.38}$$

so that the remaining equation for w becomes

$$i \partial_t w = Hw \tag{2.39}$$

with

$$H = -(1/2)\Delta_K + \check{B}_S \ . \tag{2.40}$$

Using the fact that the dynamical equations (2.34) (2.39) contain φ only through its gradient $s = \nabla\varphi$, we can replace (2.38) by its gradient, thereby obtaining a closed system of equations for (w, s, B_2), namely

$$\begin{cases} i\partial_t w = Hw \\ \\ \partial_t s = t^{-1}\nabla g(w) + \nabla \check{B}_{1L} \\ \\ B_2 = \mathcal{B}_2(w, w, K) \end{cases} \tag{2.41}$$

with H, $\check{B}_{1L}$ and $\mathcal{B}_2$ defined by (2.40) (2.36) (2.35). This is the final form of the auxiliary system that replaces the original system (2.6) (2.7).

Remark 2.2. In I, aside from the change $t \to 1/t$, we have used a slightly different equation for φ, whereby the term $s^2 = |\nabla\varphi|^2$ appearing in the expansion of the covariant Laplacian Δ_K was included in the φ equation, thereby making it into a Hamilton-Jacobi equation. The present choice is simpler since (i) the equation for φ or s is now immediately solved by integration over time and (ii) a number of terms are treated together in the form of the K covariant derivative, which simplifies the algebra and thereby the subsequent estimates. On the other hand the previous more complicated choice gives slightly better decay estimates, more precisely a gain by $(1 - \ell n t)^2$ in the convergence estimates at zero (or infinity).

For technical reasons, in addition to the system (2.41), it will be useful to consider also a partly linearized system for (w, B_2), namely

$$\begin{cases} i\partial_t w' = Hw' \\ \\ B'_2 = \mathcal{B}_2(w, w, K) \end{cases} \tag{2.42}$$

for new variables (w', B'_2), where H and K still correspond to (w, B_2). There is no point at this stage to introduce a new variable s', since the equation for s makes it an explicit function of w (up to some suitable initial condition).

The problem of constructing the wave operators, namely of solving the Cauchy problem at infinity for the original system (2.6) (2.7) is now replaced by the problem of solving the Cauchy problem at $t = 0$ for the auxiliary system (2.41). Since that system is singular at $t = 0$, that cannot be done directly, and we follow instead the procedure sketched at the beginning of this section on the example of the original system (2.6) (2.7). We choose a set of asymptotic functions $v = (w_a, s_a, B_{1a}, B_{2a})$ which are expected to be suitable asymptotic forms of (w, s, B_1, B_2) at $t = 0$, and we try to construct solutions of the auxiliary system (2.41) that are asymptotic to v in a suitable sense at $t = 0$. Note at this point that although B_1 is an explicit function of w, we refrain from assuming that $B_{1a} = B_1(w_a)$ in order to allow for more flexibility. Actually the final choice of B_{1a} will differ from $B_1(w_a)$. A similar remark applies to s_a. We also define

$$B_a = B_0 + B_{1a} + B_{2a} \qquad , \quad K_a = s_a + B_a \ . \tag{2.43}$$

In particular B_0 is its own asymptotic form.

In order to solve the auxiliary system (2.41) with the previous asymptotic behaviour at $t = 0$, we define the difference variables

$$(q, \sigma, G_1, G_2) \equiv (w - w_a, s - s_a, B_1 - B_{1a}, B_2 - B_{2a}) \ . \tag{2.44}$$

We also define

$$G = G_1 + G_2 \quad , \qquad L = \sigma + G \ , \tag{2.45}$$

so that

$$B = B_a + G \quad , \qquad K = K_a + L \ . \tag{2.46}$$

We define in addition

$$g(w_1, w_2) = (4\pi|x|)^{-1} \ * \ \mathrm{Re}\ \overline{w}_1 w_2 \tag{2.47}$$

so that $g(w) = g(w, w)$, and

$$Q_{K_1}(K_2, \cdot) = K_2 \cdot \nabla_{K_1} + (1/2)(\nabla \cdot K_2) \tag{2.48}$$

so that

$$\Delta_{K_1+K_2} = \Delta_{K_1} - 2iQ_{K_1}(K_2, \cdot) - K_2^2 \ . \tag{2.49}$$

The separation of B_a and of G into short range and long range parts follows the same pattern as that of B, namely

$$\begin{cases} \check{B}_{1aS} = \chi_S \check{B}_{1a} \qquad , \quad \check{B}_{1aL} = \chi_L \check{B}_{1a} \ , \\ \check{B}_{aS} = \check{B}_0 + \check{B}_{1aS} + \check{B}_{2a} \qquad , \quad \check{B}_{aL} = \check{B}_{1aL} \ , \end{cases} \tag{2.50}$$

$$\begin{cases} \check{G}_{1S} = \chi_S \check{G}_1 \qquad , \quad \check{G}_{1L} = \chi_L \check{G}_1 \ , \\ \check{G}_S = \check{G}_{1S} + \check{G}_2 \qquad , \quad \check{G}_L = \check{G}_{1L} \end{cases} \tag{2.51}$$

with χ_L and χ_S defined in (2.36).

Using the definitions (2.44)-(2.48), we rewrite the auxiliary system (2.41) in terms of the difference variables. We take (q, G_2) as independent dynamical variables and we consider G_1 and σ as functions of q defined by

$$G_1 = B_1(q, 2w_a + q) - R_3 \tag{2.52}$$

and by the equation for σ that follows from the equation for s, namely

$$\partial_t \sigma = t^{-1} \nabla g(q, 2w_a + q) + \nabla \check{G}_{1L} - R_2 \tag{2.53}$$

with initial conditon $\sigma(0) = 0$. The auxiliary system for (q, G_2) then becomes

$$\begin{cases} i\partial_t q = Hq - \widetilde{R}_1 \\ \\ G_2 = \mathcal{B}_2(q, 2w_a + q, K) - tF_2(PL|w_a|^2) - R_4 \end{cases} \tag{2.54}$$

where

$$\widetilde{R}_1 = R_1 - H_1 w_a \ , \tag{2.55}$$

$$H_1 = iQ_{K_a}(L, \cdot) + (1/2)L^2 + \check{G}_S \tag{2.56}$$

and the remainders R_j, $1 \leq j \leq 4$ are defined by

$$\begin{cases} R_1 = i\partial_t w_a + (1/2)\Delta_{K_a} w_a - \check{B}_{aS} w_a \\ \\ R_2 = \partial_t s_a - t^{-1} \nabla g(w_a) - \nabla \check{B}_{1aL} \\ \\ R_3 = B_{1a} - B_1(w_a) \\ \\ R_4 = B_{2a} - \mathcal{B}_2(w_a, K_a) \ . \end{cases} \tag{2.57}$$

In the equation for G_2 in (2.54), we have used the identity

$$\mathcal{B}_2(w, K) = \mathcal{B}_2(w_a, K_a) + \mathcal{B}_2(q, 2w_a + q, K) - tF_2(PL|w_a|^2) \ .$$

The remainders R_j, $1 \leq j \leq 4$, express the failure of $(w_a, s_a, B_{1a}, B_{2a})$ to satisfy the original system (2.41). In order to solve that system, an essential condition will be that they tend to zero in a suitable sense as $t \to 0$. Their rate of convergence to zero measures the quality of $(w_a, s_a, B_{1a}, B_{2a})$ as an asymptotic form for a solution of the system (2.41).

Again for technical reasons, we shall need a partly linearized version of the system (2.54) for the independent dynamical variables (q, G_2). With

$$w' = w_a + q' \qquad , \quad B'_2 = B_{2a} + G'_2 \ , \tag{2.58}$$

the linearized version of (2.54) corresponding to (2.42) becomes

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} i\partial_t q' = Hq' - \widetilde{R}_1 \\ \\ G'_2 = \mathcal{B}_2(q, 2w_a + q, K) - tF_2(PL|w_a|^2) - R_4 \ . \end{array} \right. \tag{2.59}$$

Again there is no point in introducing new variables G'_1 and σ', since G_1 and σ (with the initial condition $\sigma(0) = 0$) are explicit functions of q.

The construction of solutions (w, s, B_2) of the system (2.41) with prescribed asymptotic behaviour at $t = 0$ is now performed in two steps. The first step consists in solving the system (2.54) with (q, G_2) tending to zero at $t = 0$ under assumptions on $(w_a, s_a, B_{1a}, B_{2a})$ of a general nature, the most important of which being decay assumptions on the remainders R_j, $1 \leq j \leq 4$. This is done as follows. One first considers the linearized system (2.59) and one solves that system for (q', G'_2) for given (q, G_2) tending to zero at $t = 0$. This requires no work for G'_2 which is given by an explicit formula. As regards q', we first solve the Cauchy problem for the relevant equation with initial condition $q'(t_0) = 0$ for some $t_0 > 0$ and we take the limit of the solution thereby obtained when $t_0 \to 0$. This procedure defines a map $\Gamma : (q, G_2) \to (q', G'_2)$. One then proves by a contraction method that the map Γ has a fixed point in a suitable function space.

The second step of the method consists in constructing asymptotic functions satisfying the assumptions needed for the first step, and in particular the decay properties of the remainders. This will be done as follows. We shall take for $(w_a, s_a, B_{1a}, B_{2a})$ the second approximation in an iterative solution of the system (2.41) with the contribution of B_0 omitted, starting from $w_a(0) = w_+ = Fu_+$ where u_+ is the Schrödinger asymptotic state. This will be sufficient to control the B_0 independent terms in the remainders. On the other hand, the B_0 dependent terms will be controlled with the help of a support condition on w_+, as mentioned in the Introduction. The formulas are too complicated to be given here and are deferred to Section 6 below.

With the solution of the auxiliary systems (2.41) or (2.54) available, it is an easy matter to construct the modified wave operator for the original MS system (2.6) (2.7). The starting point is the asymptotic state $(u_+, A_+, \dot{A}_+)$ for the Schrödinger and Maxwell fields. One constructs the solution of the auxiliary system (2.41) as just explained, in a neighorhood of $t = 0$. From s one reconstructs the phase φ by using (2.38). One finally substitutes (w, φ, B_2) into (2.16) (2.17) (2.31)-(2.33), thereby obtaining a solution (u, A) of the system (2.6) (2.7) defined for large time. The modified wave operator is the map $(u_+, A_+, \dot{A}_+) \to (u, A)$ thereby obtained.

The main result of this paper is the construction of (u, A) from $(u_+, A_+, \dot{A}_+)$ as described above, together with the asymptotic properties of (u, A) that follow from that construction. It will be stated in full mathematical detail in Propositions 7.1 and 7.2 below. We give here only a heuristic preview of that result, stripped from most technicalities. We take $\beta = 1/2$ in (2.36) for definiteness.

Proposition 2.1. *Let $\beta = 1/2$. Let u_+ be such that $w_+ \equiv Fu_+ \in H^5$, $xw_+ \in H^4$ and let w_+ satisfy the support condition (6.90). Let $(A_+, \dot{A}_+)$ be sufficiently regular and decaying at infinity. Define (w_a, s_a, B_a) by (2.43) (2.17)$_0$ (2.9) and (6.1) (6.2) (6.3). Then*

(1) There exists $\tau = \tau(u_+, A_+, \dot{A}_+)$, $0 < \tau \le 1$, such that the auxiliary system (2.41) has a unique solution (w, s, B_2) in a suitable space, defined for $0 < t \le \tau$, and such that $(w - w_a, s - s_a, B_2 - B_{2a})$ tends to zero in suitable norms when $t \to 0$.

(2) There exist φ and φ_a such that $s = \nabla\varphi$, $s_a = \nabla\varphi_a$ and such that $\varphi - \varphi_a$ tends to zero in suitable norms when $t \to 0$. Define (u, A) by (2.16) (2.17) (2.31)-(2.33). Then (u, A) solves the system (2.6) (2.7) for $t \ge \tau^{-1}$ and (u, A) behaves asymptotically as

$$(M(t)D(t)\exp(i\varphi_a(1/t))\,\overline{w}_a(1/t), A_0(t) - t^{-1}D_0(t)\,(B_{1a} + B_{2a})(1/t))$$

in the sense that the difference tends to zero in suitable norms (for which each term separately is 0(1)) when $t \to \infty$.

Remark 2.3. The suitable space quoted in Part (1) includes in particular the fact that $w \in \mathcal{C}(I, H^3) \cap \mathcal{C}^1(I, H^1)$ and $xw \in \mathcal{C}(I, H^2) \cap \mathcal{C}^1(I, L^2)$ with $I = (0, \tau]$ so that the construction involves a loss of two space derivatives from w_+ to w. The relevant space for B_2 is slightly more complicated.

We now describe the contents of the technical parts of this paper, namely Sections 3-7. In Section 3, we introduce some notation, define the relevant function spaces and collect a number of preliminary estimates. In Section 4, we study the Cauchy problem for the auxiliary system (2.41). We solve the Cauchy problem for finite (non zero) initial time for the equation for w of that system (Proposition 4.1) and we prove a uniqueness result under some (weak) decay of the solutions at $t = 0$. In Section

5, we study the Cauchy problem at $t = 0$ for the auxiliary system (2.54) under suitable general boundedness and decay assumptions on $(w_a, s_a, B_{1a}, B_{2a})$ and on the remainders R_j, $1 \leq j \leq 4$. We prove in particular the existence of a unique solution defined in some interval $(0, \tau]$ for τ sufficiently small, tending to zero in suitable norms as t tends to zero, first for the linearized system (2.59) (Proposition 5.1) and then for the non linear system (2.54) (Proposition 5.2). In Section 6, we construct asymptotic $(w_a, s_a, B_{1a}, B_{2a})$ satisfying the conditions required in Section 5. We derive in particular suitable bounds for those quantities (Proposition 6.1) and for the remainders (Propositions 6.2 and 6.3). In Section 7, we first collect the results of Sections 5 and 6 to derive the main result on the Cauchy problem for the auxiliary system (2.41) at $t = 0$ (Proposition 7.1). Finally we construct the modified wave operators for the system (2.6) (2.7) from the results previously obtained for the system (2.41) and we derive the asymptotic estimates for the solutions (u, A) in their range that follow from the previous estimates (Proposition 7.2).

3 Notation and preliminary estimates

In this section we introduce some notation and collect a number of estimates which will be used throughout this paper. We denote by $\| \cdot \|_r$ the norm in $L^r \equiv L^r(I\!R^n)$, to be used mostly in $I\!R^3$, and by $< \cdot, \cdot >$ the scalar product in L^2. For any non negative integer k and for $1 \leq r \leq \infty$ we denote by $H^k_r \equiv H^k_r(I\!R^n)$ the Sobolev spaces

$$H^k_r = \left\{ u \in \mathcal{S}'(I\!R^n) : \| u; H^k_r \| = \sum_{\alpha : 0 \leq |\alpha| \leq k} \| \partial^\alpha u \|_r < \infty \right\}$$

where α is a multiindex. As a shorthand notation we will use

$$\nabla^k = \{ \partial^\alpha : \ |\alpha| = k \} \ .$$

For $1 < r < \infty$ those spaces can be defined equivalently (with equivalent norms) by

$$H^k_r = \left\{ u \in \mathcal{S}'(I\!R^n) : \| u; H^k_r \| = \| < \omega >^k u \|_r < \infty \right\}$$

where $\omega = (-\Delta)^{1/2}$ and $< \cdot > = (1 + | \cdot |^2)^{1/2}$. The latter definition extends immediately to any $k \in I\!R$ and we occasionally use such spaces. The subscript r in H^k_r will be omitted in the case $r = 2$. Besides the standard Sobolev spaces, we will use the associated homogeneous spaces $\dot{H}^k_r$ with norm $\| u; \dot{H}^k_r \| = \| \omega^k u \|_r$. In particular it will be understood that $\dot{H}^1(I\!R^3) \subset L^6(I\!R^3)$. In addition we shall use the notation

$$\ddot{H}^k = \dot{H}^1 \cap \dot{H}^k$$

for any $k \geq 1$. For any Banach space $X \subset \mathcal{S}'(I\!R^n)$ we denote by $< x > X$ the space defined by

$$< x > X = \left\{ u \in \mathcal{S}'(I\!R^n) :< x >^{-1} u \in X \right\} \ .$$

For any interval I and for any Banach space X we denote by $\mathcal{C}(I, X)$ (resp. $\mathcal{C}_w(I, X)$) the space of strongly (resp. weakly) continuous functions from I to X. For any positive integer k, we denote by $\mathcal{C}^k(I, X)$ the space of k times differentiable functions from I to X. For any r, $1 \leq r \leq \infty$, we denote by $L^r(I, X)$ (resp. $L^r_{loc}(I, X)$) the space of L^r integrable (resp. locally L^r integrable) functions from I to X if $r < \infty$, and the space of measurable essentially bounded (resp. locally essentially bounded) functions from I to X if $r = \infty$. For I an open interval we denote by $D'(I, X)$ the space of vector-valued distributions from I to X. We say that an evolution equation has a solution in I with values in X if the equation is satisfied in $D'(I_0, X)$ where I_0 is the interior of I. For I a given interval, we denote by (X, f) the set

$$(X, f) = \{ u \in \mathcal{C}(I, X) : \parallel u(t); X \parallel \leq f(t) \quad \forall \ t \in I \} \tag{3.1}$$

where X is a Banach space and $f \in \mathcal{C}(I, I\!R^+)$. For real numbers a and b we use the notation $a \vee b = \mathrm{Max}(a, b)$ and $a \wedge b = \mathrm{Min}(a, b)$.

We shall use extensively the following Sobolev inequalities, stated here in $I\!R^n$, but used only in $I\!R^3$, and the following Leibnitz and commutator estimates.

Lemma 3.1. *(1) Let* $1 < r \leq \infty$, $1 < r_1, r_2 < \infty$ *and* $0 \leq j < k$. *If* $r = \infty$, *assume in addition that* $k - j > n/r_2$. *Let* σ *satisfy* $j/k \leq \sigma \leq 1$ *and*

$$n/r - j = (1 - \sigma) n/r_1 + \sigma (n/r_2 - k) \ .$$

Then the following estimate holds :

$$\parallel \omega^j v \parallel_r \leq \ c \parallel v \parallel_{r_1}^{1-\sigma} \ \parallel \omega^k v \parallel_{r_2}^{\sigma} \ . \tag{3.2}$$

(2) Let $1 < r, r_1, r_3 < \infty$ *and*

$$1/r = 1/r_1 + 1/r_2 = 1/r_3 + 1/r_4 \ .$$

Then the following estimates hold

$$\| \omega^k (v_1 v_2) \|_r \leq \ c \left(\| \omega^k v_1 \|_{r_1} \| v_2 \|_{r_2} \ + \ \| \omega^k v_2 \|_{r_3} \| v_1 \|_{r_4} \right) \tag{3.3}$$

for $k \geq 0$, *and*

$$\| [\omega^k , v_1] v_2 \|_r \leq \ c \left(\| \omega^k v_1 \|_{r_1} \| v_2 \|_{r_2} \ + \ \| \omega^{k-1} v_2 \|_{r_3} \| \nabla v_1 \|_{r_4} \right) \tag{3.4}$$

for $k \geq 1$, *where* $[\ ,\ ]$ *denotes the commutator.*

The proof of Lemma 3.1, part (1) follows from the Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequality ([16], p. 117) (from the Young inequality if $r = \infty$), from Paley-Littlewood theory and interpolation. The proof of Lemma 3.1, part (2) is given in [17] [18] with ω replaced by $< \omega >$ and follows therefrom by a scaling argument.

Occasionally a special case of (3.2) will be used with the ordinary derivative ∇ replaced by the covariant derivative $\nabla_A = \nabla - iA$, where A is a real vector-valued function, namely

$$\| v \|_r \leq \ c \| v \|_{r_1}^{1-\sigma} \| \nabla_A v \|_{r_2}^{\sigma} \tag{3.5}$$

which holds under the assumptions of Lemma 3.1, part (1) with $j = 0$, $k = 1$. The proof of (3.5) is an immediate consequence of (3.2) with $j = 0$, $k = 1$ applied to $|v|$ and of the inequality $|\nabla |v|| \leq |\nabla_A v|$.

We shall also make use of the following three lemmas, stated here in $I\!R^n$, but used only in $I\!R^3$.

Lemma 3.2. *Let* $k > 1 + n/2$, $v_j \in H^k$, $x v_j \in H^{k-1}$, $j = 1, 2$. *Then* $x v_1 v_2 \in H^k$.

Proof. From

$$\omega^k x v_1 v_2 = [\omega^k , v_1] x v_2 + v_1 [\omega^k , x] v_2 + x v_1 \omega^k v_2$$

and

$$[x, \omega^k] = k \omega^{k-2} \nabla \ ,$$

using Lemma 3.1, part (2), it follows that

$$\| \omega^k x v_1 v_2 \|_2 \leq \ c \Big(\| \omega^k v_1 \|_2 \| x v_2 \|_\infty \ + \ \| \nabla v_1 \|_\infty \| \omega^{k-1} x v_2 \|_2$$

$$+ \ \| v_1 \|_\infty \| \omega^{k-1} v_2 \|_2 \ + \| x v_1 \|_\infty \| \omega^k v_2 \|_2 \Big) \ .$$

□

Lemma 3.3. *Let* $P = \mathbb{1} - \nabla\Delta^{-1}\nabla$*. Let* $k > 1 + n/2$*,* $v_j \in H^k$*,* $j = 1, 2$*. Then* $P \text{ Im } \overline{v}_1 \nabla v_2 \in H^k$*.*

Proof. One checks easily that

$$P \text{ Im } \overline{v}_1 \nabla v_2 = P \text{ Im } \overline{v}_2 \nabla v_1 \ . \tag{3.6}$$

From

$$\begin{aligned} \omega^k P \text{ Im } \overline{v}_1 \nabla v_2 &= P \text{ Im } [\omega^k, \overline{v}_1] \nabla v_2 + P \text{ Im } \overline{v}_1 \omega^k \nabla v_2 \\ &= P \text{ Im } [\omega^k, \overline{v}_1] \nabla v_2 + P \text{ Im } (\omega^k \overline{v}_2) \nabla v_1 \end{aligned}$$

using Lemma 3.1, part (2), it follows that

$$\| \omega^k P \text{ Im } \overline{v}_1 \nabla v_2 \|_2 \leq \ c \Big(\| \omega^k v_1 \|_2 \| \nabla v_2 \|_\infty \ + \ \| \omega^k v_2 \|_2 \| \nabla v_1 \|_\infty \Big) \ . \tag{3.7}$$

□

Lemma 3.4. *Let* $k > 2 + n/2$*,* $v_1 \in L^\infty \cap \dot{H}^k$*,* $x\nabla v_1 \in L^\infty$*,* $v_2 \in H^k$*,* $xv_2 \in H^{k-1}$*. Then* $P_{ij} \text{ Im } x\overline{v}_2 \nabla_j v_1 \in H^k$*.*

Proof. Let $w_i = P_{ij} \text{ Im } x\overline{v}_2 \nabla_j v_1$. Using (3.6) we obtain

$$\| w_i \|_2 \leq \| v_1 \|_\infty \| \nabla x v_2 \|_2 \ \ .$$

We estimate the norm $\dot{H}^k$ of w_i. We compute

$$\nabla P_{ij} \text{ Im } x\overline{v}_2 \nabla_j v_1 = w_{1i} + w_{2i}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} w_{1i} &= P_{ij} \text{ Im } (\nabla x \overline{v}_2) \nabla_j v_1 \\ w_{2i} &= P_{ij} \text{ Im } (\nabla v_1) \nabla_j x v_2 \end{aligned}$$

and, in the expression for w_{2i}, we have used again (3.6). This implies

$$\| \omega^k w_i \|_2 \leq \| \omega^{k-1} w_{1i} \|_2 \ + \ \| \omega^{k-1} w_{2i} \|_2 \ \ .$$

From

$$\omega^{k-1} w_{1i} = P_{ij} \text{ Im } \Big([\omega^{k-1}, (\nabla_j v_1)] \nabla x \overline{v}_2 + (\nabla_j v_1)[\omega^{k-1}\nabla, x]\overline{v}_2 + x(\nabla_j v_1)\omega^{k-1}\nabla\overline{v}_2 \Big) \ ,$$

using Lemma 3.1, part (2), it follows that

$$\| \omega^{k-1} w_1 \|_2 \leq c\Big(\| \omega^k v_1 \|_2 \| \nabla x v_2 \|_\infty + \| \nabla^2 v_1 \|_\infty \| \omega^{k-1} x v_1 \|_2$$

$$+ \| \nabla v_1 \|_\infty \| \omega^{k-1} v_2 \|_2 + \| x \nabla v_1 \|_\infty \| \omega^k v_2 \|_2 \Big) .$$

From the computation (3.7) in the proof of Lemma 3.3 we obtain

$$\| \omega^{k-1} w_2 \|_2 \leq c \left(\| \omega^k v_1 \|_2 \| \nabla x v_2 \|_\infty + \| \omega^{k-1} x v_2 \|_2 \| \nabla^2 v_2 \|_\infty \right) .$$

The result now follows from

$$\| \nabla^m v_1 \|_\infty \leq c \| v_1 \|_\infty^{1-\sigma} \| \omega^k v_1 \|_2^\sigma$$

for $m = 1, 2$, with $\sigma = m(k - n/2)^{-1}$, using the Sobolev inequalities with integer derivatives and Mikhlin's theorem.

□

We shall also need some estimates of the Hartree function g defined by (2.47).

Lemma 3.5. *Let $w_j \in H^k$, $j = 1, 2$, $k > 3/2$. Then $g(w_1, w_2) \in \ddot{H}^{k+2}$.*

Proof. The estimate

$$\| \omega^{k+2} g(w_1, w_2) \|_2 \leq c \| \omega^k \overline{w}_1 w_2 \|_2$$

is obvious, while the estimate

$$\| g(w_1, w_2) \|_6 \leq c \| \omega g(w_1, w_2) \|_2 \leq c \| \overline{w}_1 w_2 \|_{6/5}$$

follows from the Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequality.

□

We now define the spaces where to look for solutions of the auxiliary system. For any interval $I \subset (0, 1]$, we denote by $X_0(I)$ the Banach space

$$\begin{aligned} X_0(I) = \Big\{ &(w, B_2) : w \in \mathcal{C}(I, H^3) \cap \mathcal{C}^1(I, H^1) , \\ &xw \in \mathcal{C}(I, H^2) \cap \mathcal{C}^1(I, L^2),\ B_2, \check{B}_2 \in \mathcal{C}(I, \dot{H}^1 \cap \dot{H}^2) \cap \mathcal{C}^1(I, \dot{H}^1) \Big\} \end{aligned} \qquad (3.8)$$

where $\check{B}_2$ is defined by (2.20). In order to take into account the time decay of the norms of the variables q and G_2 (see (2.44)) as t tends to zero, we introduce a function $h \in \mathcal{C}(I, I\!R^+)$ where $I = (0, \tau_0]$ for some $0 < \tau_0 \leq 1$, such that the function $\overline{h}(t) = t^{-3/2}h(t)$ be non decreasing in I and satisfy

$$\int_0^t dt' \ t'^{-1} \ \overline{h}(t') \leq c \ \overline{h}(t) \tag{3.9}$$

for some $c > 0$ and for all $t \in I$. A typical example of such an h is $h(t) = t^{-3/2-\lambda}$, with $\lambda > 0$, which satisfies (3.9) with $c = \lambda^{-1}$. We then define the Banach space

$$\begin{aligned} & X(I) = \Big\{ (q, G_2) \in X_0(I) : \parallel (q, G_2); X(I) \parallel = \mathop{\rm Sup}_{t \in I} \ h(t)^{-1} \\ & \Big(\parallel < x > q(t) \parallel_2 \vee t \left(\parallel < x > \partial_t q(t) \parallel_2 \vee \parallel < x > \Delta q(t) \parallel_2 \right) \\ & \vee \ t^{3/2} \left(\parallel \nabla \partial_t q(t) \parallel_2 \vee \parallel \nabla \Delta q(t) \parallel_2 \right) \\ & \vee \ t^{-1/2} \parallel \nabla G_2(t) \parallel_2 \vee \ t^{1/2} (\parallel \nabla^2 G_2(t) \parallel_2 \vee \parallel \nabla \partial_t G_2(t) \parallel_2 \vee \parallel \nabla \check{G}_2(t) \parallel_2) \\ & \vee \ t^{3/2} (\parallel \nabla^2 \check{G}_2(t) \parallel_2 \vee \parallel \nabla \partial_t \check{G}_2(t) \parallel) \Big) \Big\} \ . \end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

We next give some estimates for various components of B_1 expressed by (2.36). It follows immediately therefrom that

$$\parallel \omega^m \check{B}_{1S} \parallel_2 \leq \ t^{\beta(p-m)} \parallel \omega^p \check{B}_{1S} \parallel_2 \leq \ t^{\beta(p-m)} \parallel \omega^p \check{B}_1 \parallel_2 \tag{3.11}$$

for $m \leq p$, and similarly

$$\parallel \omega^m \check{B}_{1L} \parallel_2 \leq \ (2t^{-\beta})^{m-p} \parallel \omega^p \check{B}_{1L} \parallel_2 \leq \ (2t^{-\beta})^{m-p} \parallel \omega^p \check{B}_1 \parallel_2 \tag{3.12}$$

for $m \geq p$.

We now estimate $F_j(M)$ defined by (2.30) (2.15). From (2.30) it follows that

$$\omega F_j(M) = F_{j+1}(\omega M) \tag{3.13}$$

$$\partial_t F_j(M) = F_{j+1}(\partial_t M) \tag{3.14}$$

$$x \cdot F_j(PM) = F_{j-1}(x \cdot PM) \ . \tag{3.15}$$

The first two identities are obvious while in (3.15) we have used the identity

$$[x, f(\omega)] \cdot P = 0$$

which holds for any regular function f. In addition, a direct computation yields

$$x \cdot PM = P(x \otimes M) - 2\omega^{-2} \nabla \cdot M$$

from which (3.15) can be continued to

$$x \cdot F_j(PM) = F_{j-1}\left(P(x \otimes M) - 2\omega^{-2}\nabla \cdot M\right) \ . \tag{3.16}$$

In order to estimate F_j we define

$$I_j(f)(t) = \int_1^\infty d\nu \ \nu^{-j-3/2} \ f(t/\nu) \tag{3.17}$$

for any $j \in I\!R$ and for any non negative function f in $I\!R^+$. The estimates on F_j are summarized in the following lemma.

Lemma 3.6. *For any $m, j \in I\!R$ the following estimates hold :*

$$(1) \quad \| \ \omega^m F_j(M) \ \|_2 \leq c \ I_{j+m-2}\left(\| \ \omega^{m-1} M \ \|_2 \ \wedge \ \| \ \omega^m M \ \|_2\right) \tag{3.18}$$

$$(2) \quad \| \ \omega^m x \cdot F_j(PM) \ \|_2 \leq c \ I_{j+m-3}\left(\|< x > \omega^{m-1} M \ \|_2\right) \tag{3.19}$$

(3) For any r, $2 \leq r \leq 4$,

$$\| \ F_j(M) \ \|_r \leq c \int_1^\infty d\nu (\nu - 1)^{-1+2/r} \ \nu^{-j+1/r} \ \| \ M(t/\nu) \ \|_{r_1} \tag{3.20}$$

with $3/r_1 = 2 + 1/r$.

Proof. Part (1). From the definition of F_j and from (3.13), from the identity

$$\| \ \omega^m D_0(\nu) v \ \|_2 = \ \nu^{-m+3/2} \ \| \ \omega^m v \ \|_2$$

and from the estimate

$$|\sin \omega(\nu - 1)| \leq 1 \wedge \nu\omega$$

we obtain easily (3.18).

Part (2). It is an immediate consequence of (3.16) and of Part (1).

Part (3). From the pointwise estimate [1] [24]

$$\| \ \sin \omega(\nu - 1) v \ \|_r \leq \ c(\nu - 1)^{-1+2/r} \ \| \ \omega^{2-4/r} v \ \|_{\bar{r}}$$

with $2 \leq r < \infty$ and $1/r + 1/\overline{r} = 1$, it follows that

$$\parallel F_j(M) \parallel_r \leq c \int_1^\infty d\nu (\nu - 1)^{-1+2/r} \ \nu^{-j+1/r} \parallel \omega^{1-4/r} M(t/\nu) \parallel_{\overline{r}}$$

which implies (3.20) by Lemma 3.1, part (1).

$\square$

The use of Lemma 3.6 leads to integrals of the type $I_j(t^{-\alpha}h)$ which are estimated by an elementary calculation as

$$I_j(t^{-\alpha}h) = t^{3/2-\alpha} I_{j+3/2-\alpha}(\overline{h}) \leq c \ t^{-\alpha}h \tag{3.21}$$

provided $\alpha \leq j+2$.

We now collect some properties of divergence free vector valued solutions of the wave equation $\square A_0 = 0$ with initial data $(A_+, \dot{A}_+)$, given by (2.9). The divergence free condition $\nabla \cdot A_0 = 0$, obviously equivalent to $\nabla \cdot A_+ = \nabla \cdot \dot{A}_+ = 0$, implies that $\square x \cdot A_0 = 0$, so that $x \cdot A_0$ can be written as

$$x \cdot A_0(t) = (\cos \omega t) x \cdot A_+ + \omega^{-1} (\sin \omega t) x \cdot \dot{A}_+ \ . \tag{3.22}$$

We shall need the dilation operator

$$S = t\partial_t + x \cdot \nabla + 1\!\!1 \ . \tag{3.23}$$

The operator S satisfies the following commutation relations

$$\begin{aligned} &[S, \exp(i\omega t)] = 0 \\ &S\omega^{-j} = \omega^{-j}(S+j) \\ &S\partial_t^j \nabla^k = \partial_t^j \nabla^k (S-j-k) && (3.24) \\ &SD_0(t) = D_0(t) \ t\partial_t && (3.25) \end{aligned}$$

for any non negative integers j, k. It follows from (3.24) that $\square S A_0 = 0$ so that SA_0 can be written as

$$(SA_0)(t) = (\cos \omega t)(1 + x \cdot \nabla) A_+ + \omega^{-1} (\sin \omega t)(2 + x \cdot \nabla) \dot{A}_+ \ . \tag{3.26}$$

When changing variables from A_0 to B_0 according to $(2.17)_0$ we obtain

$$(x \cdot A_0)(t) = -t^{-1} D_0(t) \ \check{B}_0(1/t) \tag{3.27}$$

and

$$\nabla^k S^j A_0(t) = (-1)^{j+1} \ t^{-1-k} D_0(t) \left(\nabla^k (t\partial_t)^j B_0 \right) (1/t) \ . \tag{3.28}$$

We finally collect some estimates of divergence free vector solutions of the wave equation.

Lemma 3.7. *Let j, k be non negative integers. Assume $(A_+, \dot{A}_+)$ to be divergence free and to satisfy the conditions*

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \mathcal{A} \in L^2 & \nabla^2 \mathcal{A} \in L^1 \\ & \\ \omega^{-1} \dot{\mathcal{A}} \in L^2 & \nabla \dot{\mathcal{A}} \in L^1 \end{array} \right. \tag{3.29}$$

for

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \mathcal{A} = (x \cdot \nabla)^{j'} \nabla^k A_+ & \mathcal{A} = (x \cdot \nabla)^{j'} \nabla^k (x \cdot A_+) \\ & \\ \dot{\mathcal{A}} = (x \cdot \nabla)^{j'} \nabla^k \dot{A}_+ & \dot{\mathcal{A}} = (x \cdot \nabla)^{j'} \nabla^k (x \cdot \dot{A}_+) \end{array} \right. \tag{3.30}$$

for $0 \leq j' \leq j$. Then A_0 satisfies the following estimates :

$$\parallel (S^{j'} \nabla^k A_0)(t) \parallel_r \vee \parallel (S^{j'} \nabla^k x \cdot A_0)(t) \parallel_r \leq b_0 \ t^{-1+2/r} \tag{3.31}$$

for $0 \leq j' \leq j$, for $2 \leq r \leq \infty$ and for all $t > 0$.

Let B_0 and $\check{B}_0$ be defined by (2.17)$_0$ and (2.20). Then B_0 and $\check{B}_0$ satisfy the following estimates :

$$\parallel \partial_t^j \nabla^k B_0(t) \parallel_r \vee \parallel \partial_t^j \nabla^k \check{B}_0(t) \parallel_r \leq b_0 \ t^{-j-k+1/r} \tag{3.32}$$

for $2 \leq r \leq \infty$ and for all $t > 0$.

Proof. The estimate (3.31) is standard for $j = 0$ [27]. For $j \neq 0$ it is a consequence of the case $j = 0$ and of the commutation relations satisfied by the operator S. The estimate (3.32) follows from (3.27), (3.28) and (3.31).

□

In all the estimates in this paper we denote by C a constant depending on the asymptotic functions (w_a, K_a) through the available norms. Absolute constants will be in general omitted, except in special arguments where they are explicitly needed, in which case they are denoted by c. The letters j, k, ℓ will always denote non negative integers.

4 Miscellaneous results on the auxiliary system

In this section we study the Cauchy problem for the auxiliary system (2.41) and its variants (2.42) (2.54) (2.59). We first solve the Cauchy problem with finite (non zero) initial time for the Schrödinger equation which occurs in (2.42) (2.59). We then derive a uniqueness result for the Cauchy problem at time zero for the non linear system (2.41). That result will eventually apply also to the system (2.54).

We rewrite the Schrödinger equation which occurs in (2.42) or (2.59) in the general form

$$i\partial_t v = -(1/2)\Delta_K v + Vv + f_0 \equiv Hv + f_0 \tag{4.1}$$

where Δ_K is the covariant Laplacian associated with a real vector field K and V is a real function. We first state the result on the Cauchy problem at finite time for that equation. That result is a variant of Proposition 3.3 of [9].

Proposition 4.1. *Let $0 < \tau \leq 1$, let $I = (0, \tau]$ and let $t_0 \in I$. Let $K, V \in \mathcal{C}(I, \dot{H}^1 \cap \dot{H}^2) \cap \mathcal{C}^1(I, \dot{H}^1)$ with $\partial_t \nabla \cdot K \in \mathcal{C}(I, \dot{H}^1)$.*

(1) Let $f_0 \in L^1_{loc}(I, H^{-1})$ and $v_0 \in H^1$. Then there exists at most one solution $v \in (L^\infty_{loc} \cap \mathcal{C}_w)(I, H^1)$ of (4.1) with $v(t_0) = v_0$.

(2) Let in addition $f_0 \in \mathcal{C}^1(I, H^1)$ and $v_0 \in H^3$. Then there exists a unique solution $v \in \mathcal{C}(I, H^3) \cap \mathcal{C}^1(I, H^1)$ of (4.1) with $v(t_0) = v_0$. That solution satisfies the conservation laws

$$\parallel v(t_2) \parallel_2^2 \ - \ \parallel v(t_1) \parallel_2^2 = \int_{t_1}^{t_2} dt \ 2 \ \mathrm{Im} < v, f_0 > (t) \ , \tag{4.2}$$

$$\parallel \partial_t v(t_2) \parallel_2^2 \ - \ \parallel \partial_t v(t_1) \parallel_2^2 = \int_{t_1}^{t_2} dt \ 2 \ \mathrm{Im} < \partial_t v, f_2 > (t) \ , \tag{4.3}$$

$$\parallel \nabla_K \partial_t v(t_2) \parallel_2^2 \ - \ \parallel \nabla_K \partial_t v(t_1) \parallel_2^2 = \int_{t_1}^{t_2} dt \ 2 \ \mathrm{Im} < \nabla_K \partial_t v, f_3 > (t) \tag{4.4}$$

for all $t_1, t_2 \in I$, where

$$f_2 = (\partial_t H)\, v + \partial_t f_0 \ , \tag{4.5}$$

$$\partial_t H = i\, (\partial_t K) \cdot \nabla_K + (i/2)\, (\partial_t \nabla \cdot K) + (\partial_t V) \ , \tag{4.6}$$

$$f_3 = (\partial_t K + \nabla V)\, \partial_t v + \nabla_K f_2 \ . \tag{4.7}$$

(3) Let in addition $< x > f_0 \in \mathcal{C}^1(I, L^2)$ and $< x > v_0 \in H^2$. Then the solution v satisfies $< x > v \in \mathcal{C}(I, H^2) \cap \mathcal{C}^1(I, L^2)$ and v satisfies the conservation laws

$$\parallel xv(t_2) \parallel_2^2 \ - \ \parallel xv(t_1) \parallel_2^2 = \int_{t_1}^{t_2} dt \ 2 \ \mathrm{Im} < xv, xf_0 + \nabla_K v > (t) \ , \tag{4.8}$$

$$\| x\partial_t v(t_2) \|_2^2 \ - \ \| x\partial_t v(t_1) \|_2^2 = \int_{t_1}^{t_2} dt \ 2 \ \mathrm{Im} < x\partial_t v, xf_2 + \nabla_K \partial_t v > (t) \qquad (4.9)$$

for all $t_1, t_2 \in I$.

Proof.

Part (1). It follows easily from the regularity assumptions on v that the L^2 norm of the difference of two solutions is constant in time. This immediately implies uniqueness.

Part (2). The proof proceeds by a parabolic regularization and a limiting procedure. We consider separately the cases $t \geq t_0$ and $t \leq t_0$ and we begin with $t \geq t_0$. The regularization is parametrized by a parameter η with $0 < \eta \leq 1$, and in addition we regularize K in space by the use of a standard mollifier parametrized by η so that the regularized K_η belongs to $\mathcal{C}^1(I, \dot{H}^N)$ for any $N \geq 1$. The regularized equation is then

$$i\partial_t v = H_\eta v + f_0 \qquad (4.10)$$

where

$$H_\eta = -(1/2)(1 - i\eta)\Delta_{K_\eta} + V = H_{0\eta} + A_\eta \ , \qquad (4.11)$$

$$H_{0\eta} = -(1/2)(1 - i\eta)\Delta \qquad (4.12)$$

$$A_\eta = (1 - i\eta)\left(iK_\eta \cdot \nabla + (i/2)(\nabla \cdot K_\eta) + (1/2)K_\eta^2\right) + V \ . \qquad (4.13)$$

The equation (4.10) can then be rewritten as

$$i\partial_t v = H_{0\eta} v + \widetilde{f}_\eta \qquad (4.14)$$

where

$$\widetilde{f}_\eta = A_\eta v + f_0 \ . \qquad (4.15)$$

Define

$$U_\eta(t) = \exp\left(-it \ H_{0\eta}\right) \ . \qquad (4.16)$$

The Cauchy problem for (4.10) with initial condition $v(t_0) = v_0$ can then be rewritten as a fixed point problem for the map ϕ defined by

$$(\phi(v))(t) = U_\eta(t - t_0)v_0 - i \int_{t_0}^{t} dt' \ U_\eta(t - t')\widetilde{f}_\eta(t') \ . \qquad (4.17)$$

The map ϕ is easily seen to satisfy the following identities

$$i\partial_t \phi(v) = H_{0\eta} \ \phi(v) + \widetilde{f}_\eta \ , \qquad (4.18)$$

$$\left(i\partial_t\phi(v)\right)(t) = U_\eta(t-t_0)\left(H_{0\eta}v_0 + \widetilde{f}_\eta(t_0)\right) + \int_{t_0}^{t} dt' U_\eta(t-t')\partial_t\widetilde{f}_\eta(t') \ . \tag{4.19}$$

We next show that the map ϕ is a contraction in $\mathcal{C}(J,H^3)\cap\mathcal{C}^1(J,H^1)$ for $J = [t_0, t_0+T]$ for T sufficiently small. For that purpose we estimate

$$\parallel (\phi(v))(t) \parallel_2 \ \leq \ \parallel v_0 \parallel_2 \ + \int_{t_0}^{t} dt' \parallel \widetilde{f}_\eta(t') \parallel_2 \ , \tag{4.20}$$

$$\parallel \left(\partial_t\phi(v)\right)(t) \parallel_2 \ \leq \ \parallel H_{0\eta}v_0 \parallel_2 \ + \ \parallel \widetilde{f}_\eta(t_0) \parallel_2 \ + \int_{t_0}^{t} dt' \parallel \partial_t\widetilde{f}_\eta(t') \parallel_2 \ , \tag{4.21}$$

$$\parallel \left(\nabla\partial_t\phi(v)\right)(t) \parallel_2 \leq \parallel \nabla H_{0\eta}v_0 \parallel_2 \ + \ \parallel \nabla\widetilde{f}_\eta(t_0) \parallel_2 \ + \eta^{-1/2}\int_{t_0}^{t} dt'(t-t')^{-1/2} \parallel \partial_t\widetilde{f}_\eta(t') \parallel_2 \ , \tag{4.22}$$

$$\parallel \nabla\Delta\phi(v) \parallel_2 \ \leq \ 2\left(\parallel \nabla\partial_t\phi(v) \parallel_2 \ + \ \parallel \nabla\widetilde{f}_\eta \parallel_2\right) \tag{4.23}$$

where in (4.22) we have used the estimate

$$\parallel \nabla U_\eta(t);\mathcal{B}(L^2) \parallel \ \leq (\eta t)^{-1/2} \ .$$

In order to estimate the relevant norms of $\widetilde{f}_\eta$, we need estimates of A_η, $\partial_t A_\eta$ and ∇A_η and for later purposes we also consider $\nabla\partial_t A_\eta$. From (4.13) we obtain

$$\partial_t A_\eta = (1-i\eta)i \ Q_{K_\eta}\left(\partial_t K_\eta, \cdot\right) + \partial_t V \ , \tag{4.24}$$

$$\nabla A_\eta = (1-i\eta)i \ Q_{K_\eta}\left(\nabla K_\eta, \cdot\right) + \nabla V \ , \tag{4.25}$$

$$\nabla\partial_t A_\eta = (1-i\eta)i \ Q_{K_\eta}\left(\nabla\partial_t K_\eta, \cdot\right) + \left(\partial_t K_\eta\right)\left(\nabla K_\eta\right) + \nabla\partial_t V \ , \tag{4.26}$$

where

$$Q_K(L,\cdot) = L\cdot\nabla_K + (1/2)(\nabla\cdot K) \ .$$

We estimate

$$\parallel A_\eta v \parallel_2 \ \leq \ (\parallel K \parallel_\infty \ + \ \parallel \nabla\cdot K \parallel_3) \parallel \nabla v \parallel_2 \ + \left(\parallel K \parallel_\infty^2 \ + \ \parallel V \parallel_\infty\right) \parallel v \parallel_2 \ , \tag{4.27}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \parallel \left(\partial_t A_\eta\right) v \parallel_2 \ \ \leq \ \ & \parallel \partial_t K \parallel_6 \ (\parallel \nabla v \parallel_3 + \parallel K \parallel_\infty \parallel v \parallel_3) \\ & + (\parallel \partial_t\nabla\cdot K \parallel_6 \ + \ \parallel \partial_t V \parallel_6) \parallel v \parallel_3 \ , \end{aligned} \tag{4.28}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \parallel \left(\nabla A_\eta\right) v \parallel_2 \ \ \leq \ \ & \parallel \nabla K \parallel_3 \ (\parallel \nabla v \parallel_6 + \parallel K \parallel_\infty \parallel v \parallel_6) \\ & + (\parallel \nabla\nabla\cdot K \parallel_2 \ + \ \parallel \nabla V \parallel_2) \parallel v \parallel_\infty \ , \end{aligned} \tag{4.29}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\| (\nabla \partial_t A_\eta)\, v \|_2 \le \| \nabla \partial_t K \|_2 \ \ (\| \nabla v \|_\infty + \| K \|_\infty \| v \|_\infty) \\ + (\| \nabla \partial_t \nabla \cdot K \|_2 \ + \ \| \partial_t K \|_6 \| \nabla K \|_3 \ + \ \| \nabla \partial_t v \|_2) \| v \|_\infty \ , \end{aligned} \tag{4.30}$$

where we have used the fact that the norms of K_η are bounded by those of K whenever the latter are finite. Using (4.27) (4.28) (4.29), we estimate

$$\| \widetilde{f}_\eta \|_2 \le \| A_\eta v \|_2 \ + \ \| f_0 \|_2 \le C\, (\| \nabla v \|_2 \ + \ \| v \|_2 \ +1) \ , \tag{4.31}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\| \partial_t \widetilde{f}_\eta \|_2 \le \| A_\eta \partial_t v \|_2 \ + \ \| (\partial_t A_\eta)\, v \|_2 \ + \ \| \partial_t f_0 \|_2 \\ \le C\Big(\| \nabla \partial_t v \|_2 \ + \ \| \partial_t v \|_2 \ + \ \| \nabla v \|_3 \ + \ \| v \|_3 \ +1 \Big) \ , \end{aligned} \tag{4.32}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\| \nabla \widetilde{f}_\eta \|_2 \le \| A_\eta \nabla v \|_2 \ + \ \| (\nabla A_\eta)\, v \|_2 \ + \ \| \nabla f_0 \|_2 \\ \le C\Big(\| \Delta v \|_2 \ + \ \| \nabla v \|_2 \ + \ \| v \|_\infty \ +1 \Big) \end{aligned} \tag{4.33}$$

where the constants C depend on K, V and f_0.

From (4.20)-(4.23) and (4.31)-(4.33), it follows that ϕ is a contraction in $\mathcal{C}(J, H^3) \cap \mathcal{C}^1(J, H^1)$ for T sufficiently small, so that (4.10) with initial condition $v(t_0) = v_0$ has a unique solution in that space. By standard arguments using the linearity of the equation, one can extend the solution to $I_+ = [t_0, \tau]$. Let v_η be that solution.

We next take the limit $\eta \to 0$ and for that purpose we need estimates of v_η uniform in η in the relevant norms. Those estimates will follow from the conservation laws satisfied by v_η, which are the regularized version of (4.2) (4.3) (4.4), with regularized K, f_2 and f_3. In fact from (4.10) it follows formally that

$$\partial_t \| v_\eta \|_2^2 = -\eta \| \nabla_{K_\eta} \ v_\eta \|_2^2 \ +2 \ \mathrm{Im} < v_\eta, f_0 > \tag{4.34}$$

so that

$$\partial_t \| v_\eta \|_2 \le \| f_0 \|_2 \ \ . \tag{4.35}$$

Taking the time derivative of (4.10) then yields

$$i \partial_t \partial_t v_\eta = H_\eta \partial_t v_\eta + (\partial_t A_\eta)\, v_\eta + \partial_t f_0 \tag{4.36}$$

which implies

$$\partial_t \| \partial_t v_\eta \|_2^2 = -\eta \| \nabla_{K_\eta} \partial_t v_\eta \|_2^2 +2 \ \mathrm{Im} < \partial_t v_\eta, f_{2\eta} > \tag{4.37}$$

and therefore

$$\partial_t \| \partial_t v_\eta \|_2 \le \| f_{2\eta} \|_2 \tag{4.38}$$

where

$$f_{2\eta} = (\partial_t A_\eta)\, v_\eta + \partial_t f_0 = i(1 - i\eta) \Big(Q_{K_\eta} (\partial_t K_\eta, v_\eta) \Big) + (\partial_t V)\, v_\eta + \partial_t f_0 \ . \tag{4.39}$$

Taking the covariant gradient of (4.36) yields

$$i\partial_t \nabla_{K_\eta} \partial_t v_\eta = -(1/2)(1 - i\eta) \nabla_{K_\eta} \Delta_{K_\eta} \partial_t v_\eta + V \nabla_{K_\eta} \partial_t v_\eta + f_{3\eta} \tag{4.40}$$

so that

$$\partial_t \parallel \nabla_{K_\eta} \partial_t v_\eta \parallel_2^2 = \ -\eta \parallel \Delta_{K_\eta} \partial_t v_\eta \parallel_2^2 \ +2 \ \mathrm{Im} < \nabla_{K_\eta} \partial_t v_\eta, f_{3\eta} > \tag{4.41}$$

and therefore

$$\partial_t \parallel \nabla_{K_\eta} \partial_t v_\eta \parallel_2 \ \leq \ \parallel f_{3\eta} \parallel_2 \tag{4.42}$$

where

$$f_{3\eta} = (\partial_t K_\eta + \nabla V)\, \partial_t v_\eta + \nabla_{K_\eta} f_{2\eta} \ . \tag{4.43}$$

The conservation laws (4.34) (4.37) (4.41) for v_η are in fact derived in an integral form similar to (4.2) (4.3) (4.4). The proof is immediate for (4.34) (4.37) under the available regularity properties of v_η, while it requires a more delicate argument for (4.41) involving an additional regularization and a limiting procedure. It is at this point that we need the η regularization of K. We refer to [2] [3] for details on that problem.

We now estimate v_η. We define

$$y_0 = \parallel v_\eta \parallel_2 \quad , \qquad y_2 = \parallel \partial_t v_\eta \parallel_2 \quad , \qquad y_3 = \parallel \nabla_{K_\eta} \partial_t v_\eta \parallel_2 \ \ . \tag{4.44}$$

From (4.35) we obtain

$$y_0 \leq \ \parallel v_0 \parallel_2 \ + \int_{t_0}^{t} dt' \parallel f_0(t') \parallel_2 \ \leq C \ . \tag{4.45}$$

From (4.14) (4.15) (4.27) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \parallel \Delta v_\eta \parallel_2 \ &\leq \ y_2 + C\,(\parallel \nabla v_\eta \parallel_2 \ + \ \parallel v_\eta \parallel_2) + \ \parallel f_0 \parallel_2 \\ &\leq \ y_2 + C \left(y_0^{1/2} \parallel \Delta v_\eta \parallel_2^{1/2} \ + y_0 + 1 \right) \end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$\parallel \Delta v_\eta \parallel_2 \ \leq y_2 + C \ . \tag{4.46}$$

From (4.38) (4.39) (4.28) we next obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_t y_2 \leq \parallel f_{2\eta} \parallel_2 \ &\leq \ C\,(\parallel \nabla v_\eta \parallel_3 \ + \ \parallel v_\eta \parallel_3) + \ \parallel \partial_t f_0 \parallel_2 \\ &\leq \ C\left(y_0^{1/4} \parallel \Delta v_\eta \parallel_2^{3/4} \ + y_0^{3/4} \parallel \Delta v_\eta \parallel_2^{1/4} \ +1\right) \\ &\leq \ C\left(y_2^{3/4} + 1\right) \qquad (4.47)\end{aligned}$$

by (4.45) (4.46), which implies

$$y_2 \leq C \ . \qquad (4.48)$$

We next estimate y_3. From (4.42) (4.43) (4.39) we obtain

$$\partial_t y_3 \leq \parallel f_{3\eta} \parallel_2 \leq \parallel \partial_t K_\eta + \nabla V \parallel_6 \parallel \partial_t v_\eta \parallel_3 \ + \ \parallel (\partial_t A_\eta) \nabla v_\eta \parallel_2$$

$$+ \ \parallel (\nabla \partial_t A_\eta)\, v_\eta \parallel_2 \ + \ \parallel K_\eta \parallel_\infty \parallel f_{2\eta} \parallel_2 \ + \ \parallel \nabla \partial_t f_0 \parallel_2 \qquad (4.49)$$

so that by (4.28) (4.30) and (4.46) (4.47) (4.48)

$$\begin{aligned}&\partial_t y_3 \leq \parallel f_{3\eta} \parallel_2 \leq \ C\Big(\parallel \partial_t v_\eta \parallel_3 \ + \ \parallel \nabla^2 v_\eta \parallel_3 \ + \ \parallel \nabla v_\eta \parallel_3 \\ &+ \ \parallel \nabla v_\eta \parallel_\infty \ + \ \parallel v_\eta \parallel_\infty \ +1\Big) + \ \parallel \nabla \partial_t f_0 \parallel_2 \\ &\leq C\Big(\parallel \partial_t v_\eta \parallel_3 \ + \ \parallel \Delta v_\eta \parallel_3 \ + \ \parallel \nabla v_\eta \parallel_\infty +1\Big) \ . \qquad (4.50)\end{aligned}$$

Using a slight extension of (4.27), namely

$$\parallel A_\eta v_\eta \parallel_r \leq \parallel K \parallel_\infty \parallel \nabla v_\eta \parallel_r \ + \ \parallel \nabla \cdot K \parallel_6 \parallel v_\eta \parallel_{r_1} \ + \Big(\parallel K \parallel_\infty^2 \ + \ \parallel V \parallel_\infty\Big) \parallel v_\eta \parallel_r$$

with $2 \leq r \leq 6$ and $1/r_1 = 1/r - 1/6$, we estimate

$$\begin{aligned}\parallel \Delta v_\eta \parallel_r \ &\leq \ \parallel \partial_t v_\eta \parallel_r \ + C\,(\parallel \nabla v_\eta \parallel_r \ + \ \parallel v_\eta \parallel_{r_1} \ + \ \parallel v_\eta \parallel_r) + \ \parallel f_0 \parallel_r \\ &\leq \ \parallel \partial_t v_\eta \parallel_r \ +C \ \leq C\left(y_3^\delta + 1\right) \qquad (4.51)\end{aligned}$$

with $0 \leq \delta = 3/2 - 3/r \leq 1$, by (4.46) (4.48) and a covariant Sobolev inequality. From (4.50) (4.51) we obtain

$$\partial_t y_3 \leq C\left(y_3^{1/2} + 1\right) \qquad (4.52)$$

which implies

$$y_3 \leq C \qquad (4.53)$$

and therefore by (4.48)

$$\parallel \nabla \partial_t v_\eta \parallel_2 \leq C \ . \qquad (4.54)$$

Finally taking the gradient of (4.14), we estimate

$$\| \nabla\Delta v_\eta \|_2 \leq 2\,(\| \nabla\partial_t v_\eta \|_2 \ + \ \| \nabla(A_\eta v) \|_2 \ + \ \| \nabla f_0 \|_2) \ \leq C \tag{4.55}$$

by (4.54) (4.27) (4.29) and (4.46) (4.48). The estimates (4.45) (4.48) (4.54) (4.55) show that v_η is estimated in $\mathcal{C}(I_+, H^3) \cap \mathcal{C}^1(I_+, H^1)$ uniformly in η.

We now take the limit $\eta \to 0$. Let $0 < \eta_2 \leq \eta_1$ and let $v_i = v_{\eta_i}$, $K_i = K_{\eta_i}$, $i = 1, 2$. Taking the difference of (4.10) for $i = 1, 2$, we obtain

$$i\partial_t(v_1 - v_2) = (i/2)(\eta_1 - \eta_2)\Delta_{K_1} v_1 - (1/2)(1 - i\eta_2)\Big((\Delta_{K_1} - \Delta_{K_2})v_1$$

$$+\Delta_{K_2}(v_1 - v_2)\Big) + V(v_1 - v_2)$$

so that

$$\partial_t \| v_1 - v_2 \|_2^2 = (\eta_1 - \eta_2)\mathrm{Re} < v_1 - v_2, \Delta_{K_1} v_1 >$$

$$- \ \mathrm{Im} < v_1 - v_2, (1 - i\eta_2)(\Delta_{K_1} - \Delta_{K_2})v_1 > -\eta_2 \| \nabla_{K_2}(v_1 - v_2) \|_2^2$$

and therefore

$$\partial_t \| v_1 - v_2 \|_2 \leq \eta_1 \| \Delta_{K_1} v_1 \|_2 \ + \ \| (\Delta_{K_1} - \Delta_{K_2})v_1 \|_2 \ . \tag{4.56}$$

We then estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \| (\Delta_{K_1} - \Delta_{K_2})v_1 \|_2 \ \ \leq \ \ & \| \nabla(K_1 - K_2) \|_2 \Big(\| \nabla v_1 \|_3 \ + \ \| v_1 \|_\infty \\ & + \ \| K_1 + K_2 \|_6 \, \| v_1 \|_6 \Big) \ . \end{aligned} \tag{4.57}$$

One chooses the regularization of K in such a way that

$$\| K_\eta - K; \dot{H}^1 \| \leq \ \eta \, \| K; \dot{H}^2 \| \tag{4.58}$$

which is ensured for instance by regularizing by convolution with a function of x/η. From (4.56) (4.57) (4.58) and from the uniform bounds (4.45) (4.46) (4.48) it follows that

$$\partial_t \| v_1 - v_2 \|_2 \leq C \ \eta_1 \ .$$

Therefore v_η has a limit $v \in \mathcal{C}(I_+, L^2)$ in $L^\infty(I_+, L^2)$ norm. From that convergence and from the uniform bound (4.55), it follows that $v \in \mathcal{C}(I_+, H^k) \cap (L^\infty \cap \mathcal{C}_w)(I_+, H^3)$ for $0 \leq k < 3$ and that v_η converges to v in $L^\infty(I_+, H^k)$ norm, in the weak $*$ sense in $L^\infty(I_+, H^3)$ and weakly in H^3 pointwise in time. From (4.10) for v_η, (4.58) and the previous convergence, it follows that $\partial_t v_\eta$ converges to $\partial_t v$ in $L^\infty(I_+, L^2)$

norm. From that convergence and from the uniform bound (4.54), it follows that $\partial_t v \in \mathcal{C}(I_+, H^k) \cap (L^\infty \cap \mathcal{C}_w)(I_+, H^1)$ for $0 \leq k < 1$ and that $\partial_t v_\eta$ converges to $\partial_t v$ in $L^\infty(I_+, H^k)$ norm, in the weak $*$ sense in $L^\infty(I_+, H^1)$ and weakly in H^1 pointwise in time. Clearly v satisfies (4.1). Furthermore v satisfies the conservations laws (4.2) (4.3) which can be proved directly from (4.1) under the available regularity properties of v. The conservation law (4.4) is more delicate and can be proved only as an inequality at the present stage. We start from the conservation law for v_η, namely

$$\parallel \nabla_{K\eta} \partial_t v_\eta(t) \parallel_2^2 \ - \ \parallel \nabla_{K\eta}(H_{0\eta} v_0 + \widetilde{f}_\eta(t_0)) \parallel_2^2 = -\eta \int_{t_0}^t dt' \parallel \Delta_{K\eta} \partial_t v_\eta(t') \parallel_2^2$$

$$+ \int_{t_0}^t dt' \ 2 \ \mathrm{Im} < \nabla_{K\eta} \partial_t v_\eta, f_{3\eta} > (t') \ . \qquad (4.59)$$

When $\eta \to 0$, $\nabla_{K\eta} \partial_t v_\eta(t)$ converges to $\nabla_K \partial_t v(t)$ weakly in L^2, so that

$$\parallel \nabla_K \partial_t v(t) \parallel_2^2 \leq \liminf \parallel \nabla_{K\eta} \partial_t v_\eta(t) \parallel_2^2 \ .$$

The second term in the left hand side of (4.59) converges to the obvious limit by estimates similar to (4.27) (4.29). The first term in the right hand side is negative. In the second term, $\nabla_{K\eta} \partial_t v_\eta$ is bounded in $L^\infty(I_+, L^2)$ and converges to $\nabla_K \partial_t v$ weakly in L^2 pointwise in time. On the other hand $f_{3\eta}$ is also bounded in $L^\infty(I_+, L^2)$ and converges to f_3 strongly in L^2 pointwise in time by (4.49) (4.28) (4.30) (4.50). Therefore that term converges to the obvious limit by the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem. Thus we obtain for all $t \in I_+$

$$\parallel \nabla_K \partial_t v(t) \parallel_2^2 \ - \ \parallel \nabla_K(H v_0 + f_0(t_0)) \parallel_2^2 \leq \int_{t_0}^t dt' \ 2 \ \mathrm{Im} < \nabla_K \partial_t v, f_3 > (t') \ . \qquad (4.60)$$

At this stage we have proved Part (2) of the proposition in I_+, except for the strong continuity of v and $\partial_t v$ at the upper levels of $\dot{H}^3$ and $\dot{H}^1$, and for the conservation law (4.4) for which we have only the one sided inequality (4.60).

We next consider the interval $I_- = (0, t_0]$. By the same method we obtain a solution $v \in \mathcal{C}(I_-, H^k) \cap (L^\infty_{loc} \cap \mathcal{C}_w)(I_-, H^3)$ for $0 \leq k < 3$ with $\partial_t v \in \mathcal{C}(I_-, H^k) \cap (L^\infty_{loc} \cap \mathcal{C}_w)(I_-, H^1)$ for $0 \leq k < 1$. Let now $0 < t_1 < t_2 \leq \tau$. We apply the first construction with $t_0 = t_1$ in $[t_1, \tau]$ and the second one with $t_0 = t_2$ in $(0, t_2]$. Using (4.60) with $t_0 = t_1$ and its reverse analogue with $t_0 = t_2$, and using the uniqueness of Part (1), we obtain (4.4) for any $t_1, t_2 \in I$. Finally (4.4) together with weak continuity implies strong continuity of $\partial_t v$ in $\dot{H}^1$, from which strong continuity of v

in $\dot{H}^3$ follows from the gradient of (4.1). This completes the proof of Part (2).

Part (3). Let $\psi \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(I\!R^3, I\!R^+)$, $0 \le \psi \le 1$, $\psi(x) = 1$ for $|x| \le 1$, $\psi(x) = 0$ for $|x| \ge 2$ and let $\rho_R = x\psi(x/R)$ for $R > 0$, so that

$$|\nabla \rho_R| \le c \qquad , \qquad |\Delta \rho_R| \le c\ R^{-1} \tag{4.61}$$

for all $x \in I\!R^3$. Let v be the solution of (4.1) obtained in Part (2). Then $\rho_R v$ satisfies the equation

$$i\partial_t \rho_R v = H\rho_R v + (\nabla \rho_R) \cdot \nabla_K v + (1/2)(\Delta \rho_R) v + \rho_R f_0\ . \tag{4.62}$$

The function $\rho_R v$ satisfies the conclusions of Part (2) and in particular the conservation laws

$$\| \rho_R v(t_2) \|_2^2 \ - \ \| \rho_R v(t_1) \|_2^2 = \int_{t_1}^{t_2} dt\ 2\ \mathrm{Im} < \rho_R v, f_{0R} > (t)\ , \tag{4.63}$$

$$\| \rho_R \partial_t v(t_2) \|_2^2 \ - \ \| \rho_R \partial_t v(t_1) \|_2^2 = \int_{t_1}^{t_2} dt\ 2\ \mathrm{Im} < \rho_R \partial_t v, f_{2R} > (t) \tag{4.64}$$

for all $t_1, t_2 \in I$, with

$$f_{0R} = (\nabla \rho_R) \cdot \nabla_K v + (1/2)(\Delta \rho_R) v + \rho_R f_0\ , \tag{4.65}$$

$$\begin{aligned} f_{2R} &= (\partial_t H)\rho_R v + \partial_t f_{0R} \\ &= (\partial_t H)\rho_R v + (\nabla \rho_R) \cdot \partial_t \nabla_K v + (1/2)(\Delta \rho_R)\partial_t v + \rho_R \partial_t f_0\ . \end{aligned} \tag{4.66}$$

From (4.63) (4.65) (4.61) and the properties of v obtained in Part (2), it follows that $\rho_R v$ is estimated in $L^\infty_{loc}(I, L^2)$ uniformly in R, so that $xv \in (L^\infty_{loc} \cap \mathcal{C}_w)(I, L^2)$. Taking the limit $R \to \infty$ in (4.63) yields (4.8) which implies that $xv \in \mathcal{C}(I, L^2)$. From (4.64) (4.66) (4.61), by the same estimates as in the proof of Part (2), in particular (4.46) (4.47), it follows that $\rho_R \partial_t v$ and $\Delta \rho_R v$ are estimated in $L^\infty_{loc}(I, L^2)$ uniformly in R so that $x\partial_t v \in (L^\infty_{loc} \cap \mathcal{C}_w)(I, L^2)$. Taking the limit $R \to \infty$ in (4.64) by using the convergence of $\rho_R v$ to xv in L^2 and the uniform bound of $\Delta \rho_R v$, we obtain (4.9), which implies that $x\partial_t v \in \mathcal{C}(I, L^2)$. From that result and from (4.1) it follows that also $x\Delta v \in \mathcal{C}(I, L^2)$. This completes the proof of Part (3).

□

We now turn to the nonlinear system (2.41) and its linearized version (2.42). We shall eventually derive a uniqueness result for the solutions of (2.41) under suitable assumptions on their behaviour at time zero. For that purpose, we need some estimates of the difference of two solutions of the system (2.42). Those estimates will be used again in the contraction proof of Section 5 below.

We shall use the following notation. Let f_i, $i = 1, 2$ be two functions or operators associated with a pair (w_i, s_i, B_{2i}) or (w'_i, B'_{2i}), $i = 1, 2$. We define $f_\pm = (1/2)(f_1 \pm f_2)$ so that $f_1 = f_+ + f_-$, $f_2 = f_+ - f_-$ and $(fg)_\pm = f_+ g_\pm + f_- g_\mp$. Let now (w'_i, B'_{2i}), $i = 1, 2$, be a pair of solutions of the system (2.42) associated with a pair (w_i, s_i, B_{2i}), $i = 1, 2$. Then (w'_-, B'_{2-}) satisfies the equations

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} i\partial_t w'_- = H_+ w'_- + H_- w'_+ \\ \\ B'_{2-} = 2\mathcal{B}_2(w_+, w_-, K_+) - tF_2(PK_-(|w_+|^2 + |w_-|^2)) \end{array} \right. \tag{4.67}$$

where

$$H_+ = -(1/2)\Delta_{K_+} + (1/2)K_-^2 + \check{B}_{S+} \ , \tag{4.68}$$

$$H_- = iK_- \cdot \nabla_{K_+} + (i/2)(\nabla \cdot K_-) + \check{B}_{S-} \ . \tag{4.69}$$

We shall also need (see (2.31) (2.32))

$$B_{1-} = 2B_1(w_+, w_-) \qquad , \quad B_{1S/L-} = 2B_{1S/L}(w_+, w_-) \ . \tag{4.70}$$

If s_i, $i = 1, 2$, satisfy the second equation of (2.41), then

$$\partial_t s_- = 2t^{-1}\nabla g(w_+, w_-) + \nabla \check{B}_{1L-} \ . \tag{4.71}$$

We can now state the difference estimates of two solutions of the system (2.42). The estimates of w'_- are stated in differential form for brevity, but should be understood in integral form, in the same way as the conservation laws (4.2)-(4.4).

Lemma 4.1. *Let $0 < \beta < 1$. Let $0 < \tau \leq 1$, let $I = (0, \tau]$ and let $h_1 \in \mathcal{C}(I, I\!R^+)$ satisfy*

$$\int_0^\tau dt\ t^{-3/2} h_1(t) < \infty \ . \tag{4.72}$$

Let w_i, $i = 1, 2$ satisfy $w_i \in L^\infty(I, H^3)$, $xw_i \in L^\infty(I, H^2)$ and

$$\| < x > w_-(t) \ \|_2 \leq C\ h_1(t) \tag{4.73}$$

for all $t \in I$.

(1) Let $B_1(w_i)$ be defined by (2.31) (2.32). Then $B_1(w_i) \in (\mathcal{C} \cap L^\infty)(I, \ddot{H}^4)$, $t\nabla \check{B}_1(w_i) \in (\mathcal{C} \cap L^\infty)(I, \ddot{H}^2)$ and B_{1-} satisfies the estimates

$$\| \nabla B_{1-} \|_2 \le C \ I_0 \left(\| < x > w_- \|_2 \right) \ , \tag{4.74}$$

$$\| \nabla \check{B}_{1-} \|_2 \le C \ t^{-1} I_{-1} \left(\| < x > w_- \|_2 \right) \ . \tag{4.75}$$

(2) Let s_i satisfy the second equation of (2.41) with $w = w_i$, $i = 1, 2$, with $s_i(t_0) \in \ddot{H}^2$ for some $t_0 \in I$. Then $s_i \in \mathcal{C}(I, \ddot{H}^2)$, $s_- \in \mathcal{C}(I, H^2)$ and s_- satisfies the estimates

$$\| \nabla^k \partial_t s_- \|_2 \le C \ t^{-1-k\beta} I_{-1} \left(\| < x > w_- \|_2 \right) + C \ t^{-1} \left(\| \ w_- \|_2 \ + \delta_{k2} \| \ \nabla w_- \|_2 \right) \tag{4.76}$$

for $k = 0, 1, 2$.

(3) Let B_0 satisfy (3.32) for $0 \le j, k, j+k \le 1$ and $r = \infty$. Let in addition $t\partial_t w_i \in L^\infty(I, H^1)$, $i = 1, 2$. Let B_{2i} satisfy $B_{2i} \in L^\infty(I, \ddot{H}^2)$, $t\partial_t B_{2i} \in L^\infty(I, \dot{H}^1)$, $t\nabla \check{B}_{2i} \in L^\infty(I, \dot{H}^1)$, $i = 1, 2$. Let (w'_i, B'_{2i}), $i = 1, 2$ be solutions of the linearized system (2.42) satisfying the same conditions as (w_i, B_{2i}). Then the following estimates hold :

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \partial_t \| \ w'_- \|_2 \right| \ \le \ C \Big(& \| \ \nabla \cdot s_- \|_2 \ + (1 - \ell n \ t) \left(\| \ s_- \|_3 \ + \ \| \ \nabla B_- \|_2 \right) \\ & + t^\beta \| \ \nabla \check{B}_{1-} \|_2 \ + \ \| \ \nabla \check{B}_{2-} \|_2 \ \Big), \end{aligned} \tag{4.77}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \partial_t \| \ x w'_- \|_2 \right| \ \le \| \ \nabla_{K_+} w'_- \|_2 \ + C \Big(\| \ \nabla \cdot s_- \|_2 \ + (1 - \ell n \ t) \\ & \left(\| \ s_- \|_3 \ + \ \| \ \nabla B_- \|_2 \right) \ + \ t^\beta \| \ \nabla \check{B}_{1-} \|_2 \ + \ \| \ \nabla \check{B}_{2-} \|_2 \ \Big), \end{aligned} \tag{4.78}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \partial_t \| \ \nabla_{K_+} w'_- \|_2 \right| \ \le \ C \Big(t^{-1} \left(\| \ w'_- \|_2 \ + \ \| \ w'_- \|_3 \right) + \ t^{-1} \| \ s_- \|_2 \\ & + \ (1 - \ell n \ t) \| \ \nabla s_- \|_2 \ + \ \| \ \nabla \nabla \cdot s_- \|_2 \ + t^{-1} \| \ \nabla B_- \|_2 \ + \ \| \ \nabla \check{B}_{1-} \|_2 \\ & + \ (1 - \ell n \ t) \| \ \nabla \check{B}_{2-} \|_2 \ \Big), \end{aligned} \tag{4.79}$$

$$\| \ \nabla B'_{2-} \|_2 \le \ C \ t \ I_1 \Big((1 - \ell n \ t) \| \ w_- \|_2 \ + \ \| \ s_- \|_2 \ + \ \| \ \nabla B_- \|_2 \Big) \ , \tag{4.80}$$

$$\| \ \nabla \check{B}'_{2-} \|_2 \le \ C \ I_0 \Big((1 - \ell n \ t) \| < x > w_- \|_2 \ + \ \| \ s_- \|_2 \ + \ \| \ \nabla B_- \|_2 \Big) \tag{4.81}$$

for all $t \in I$.

Proof. We first estimate $B_1(w_i)$ and s_i. From (2.31) (2.32), from (3.18) and from Lemma 3.2, we estimate

$$\| \ \omega^{m+1} B_1(w_i) \|_2 \le \ I_m \left(\| \ \omega^m x |w_i|^2 \|_2 \right) \le C \tag{4.82}$$

for $0 \leq m \leq 3$. Similarly from (3.19), we estimate

$$\parallel \omega^{m+1} \check{B}_1(w_i) \parallel_2 \leq \ t^{-1} I_{m-1} \left(\parallel < x > \omega^m x |w_i|^2 \parallel_2 \right) \leq C \ t^{-1} \qquad (4.83)$$

for $1 \leq m \leq 2$. Similarly from (3.18), we estimate

$$\parallel \omega^{m+1} \partial_t B_1(w_i) \parallel_2 \leq \ I_{m+1} \left(\parallel \omega^m x \partial_t |w_i|^2 \parallel_2 \right) \leq C \ t^{-1} \qquad (4.84)$$

for $0 \leq m \leq 1$. From (4.83) and Lemma 3.5, we obtain

$$\parallel \omega^{m+1} \partial_t s_i \parallel_2 \leq \ C \ t^{-1} \qquad (4.85)$$

for $0 \leq m \leq 1$, and by integration over time

$$\parallel \omega^{m+1} s_i \parallel_2 \leq \ C(1 - \ell n \ t) \qquad (4.86)$$

for $0 \leq m \leq 1$ and for all $t \in I$. Lower norms of $B_1(w_i)$, $\check{B}_1(w_i)$ and s_i can also be estimated. In particular one can show that $B_1(w_i)$, $\nabla \check{B}_1(w_i)$ and s_i are estimated in L^4. The argument will be given on the example of $B_1(w_a)$, $\check{B}_1(w_a)$ and s_a at the beginning of the proof of Lemma 6.1 below. From (4.82)-(4.86) it follows in particular that

$$\parallel B_{1+} \parallel_\infty \ \vee \ \parallel \nabla B_{1+} \parallel_\infty \ \vee t \parallel \nabla \check{B}_{1+} \parallel_\infty \ \vee t \parallel \partial_t B_{1+} \parallel_\infty \leq C \ , \qquad (4.87)$$

$$t \parallel \partial_t s_+ \parallel_\infty \leq C \qquad , \quad \parallel s_+ \parallel_\infty \ \vee \ \parallel \nabla s_+ \parallel_6 \leq C(1 - \ell n \ t) \ . \qquad (4.88)$$

We now begin the proof of the lemma proper.

Part (1). The properties of $B_1(w_i)$ follow from (4.82) (4.83). The estimates (4.74) (4.75) follow immediately from (4.70) (3.18) (3.19). Note that at this point that the condition (4.72) ensures the convergence of the integral in the right hand side of (4.75).

Part (2). The properties of s_i follow from (4.86). The estimate (4.76) follows from (4.75) (3.12) and Lemma 3.5.

Part (3). We first estimate $\parallel w'_- \parallel_2$. From (4.67), by using (3.11), we obtain

$$|\partial_t \parallel w'_- \parallel_2 | \ \leq \parallel H_- w'_+ \parallel_2 \leq \parallel s_- \parallel_3 \parallel \nabla_{K_+} w'_+ \parallel_6 \ + \ \parallel B_- \parallel_6 \parallel \nabla_{K_+} w'_+ \parallel_3$$

$$+ \ \| \nabla \cdot s_- \|_2 \, \| w'_+ \|_\infty \ + t^\beta \, \| \nabla \check{B}_{1-} \|_2 \, \| w'_+ \|_\infty \ + \ \| \check{B}_{2-} \|_6 \, \| w'_+ \|_3$$

from which (4.77) follows by using the assumptions on w_i, B_0, B_{2i} and the estimate (4.87).

We next estimate $\| xw'_- \|_2$. From (4.67) (3.11) and the commutation relation

$$[x, H_+] = \nabla_{K_+}$$

we obtain similarly

$$|\partial_t \| xw'_- \|_2 | \ \le \| \nabla_{K_+} w'_- \|_2 \ + \ \| xH_- w'_+ \|_2$$

$$\le \| \nabla_{K_+} w'_- \|_2 \ + \ \| s_- \|_3 \, \| x \nabla_{K_+} w'_+ \|_6 \ + \ \| B_- \|_6 \, \| x \nabla_{K_+} w'_+ \|_3$$

$$+ \ \| \nabla \cdot s_- \|_2 \, \| xw'_+ \|_\infty \ + t^\beta \, \| \nabla \check{B}_{1-} \|_2 \ \| xw'_+ \|_\infty \ + \ \| \check{B}_{2-} \|_6 \, \| xw'_+ \|_3$$

from which (4.78) follows in the same way as before.

We next estimate $\| \nabla_{K_+} w'_- \|_2$. Taking the covariant gradient in (4.67) yields

$$i\partial_t \nabla_{K_+} w'_- = -(1/2) \nabla_{K_+} \Delta_{K_+} w'_- + \left((1/2) K_-^2 + \check{B}_{S+} \right) \nabla_{K_+} w'_-$$

$$+ \left(\partial_t K_+ + K_- \nabla K_- + \nabla \check{B}_{S+} \right) w'_- + i K_- \cdot \nabla^2_{K_+} w'_+ + i (\nabla K_-) \cdot \nabla_{K_+} w'_+$$

$$+ (i/2)(\nabla \cdot s_-) \nabla_{K_+} w'_+ + (i/2)(\nabla \nabla \cdot s_-) w'_+ + \check{B}_{S-} \nabla_{K_+} w'_+ + (\nabla \check{B}_{S-}) w'_+$$

from which we estimate

$$\begin{aligned}
|\partial_t \| \nabla_{K_+} w'_- \|_2 | \ &\le \| (\partial_t K_+ + \nabla \check{B}_{S+}) w'_- \|_2 \ + \ \| K_- \cdot \nabla^2_{K_+} w'_+ \|_2 \\
&+ \ \| \nabla K_- \|_2 \left(\| \nabla_{K_+} w'_+ \|_\infty \ + \ \| K_- w'_- \|_\infty \right) + \ \| \nabla \nabla \cdot s_- \|_2 \, \| w'_+ \|_\infty \\
&+ \ \| \nabla \check{B}_{1-} \|_2 \left(t^\beta \, \| \nabla_{K_+} w'_+ \|_\infty \ + \ \| w'_+ \|_\infty \right) \\
&+ \ \| \nabla \check{B}_{2-} \|_2 \left(\| \nabla_{K_+} w'_+ \|_3 \ + \ \| w'_+ \|_\infty \right) \ . \qquad (4.89)
\end{aligned}$$

We next estimate the first two terms in the right hand side of (4.89).

$$\begin{aligned}
\| (\partial_t K_+ + \nabla \check{B}_{S+}) w'_- \|_2 &\le \| \partial_t (s_+ + B_0 + B_{1+}) + \nabla (\check{B}_0 + \check{B}_{1+}) \|_\infty \, \| w'_- \|_2 \\
&+ \ \| \partial_t B_{2+} + \nabla \check{B}_{2+} \|_6 \, \| w'_- \|_3 \le \ C \ t^{-1} \left(\| w'_- \|_2 \ + \ \| w'_- \|_3 \right) \ , \qquad (4.90)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\| K_- \nabla^2_{K_+} w'_+ \|_2 \le \| s_- \|_3 \left(\ \| \nabla^2 w'_+ \|_6 \ + \ \| \nabla (s_+ + B_{2+}) \|_6 \, \| w'_+ \|_\infty \ \right)$$

$$+ \ \| s_- \|_2 \left(\ \| K_+ \|_\infty \, \| \nabla w'_+ \|_\infty \ + \left(\| \nabla (B_0 + B_{1+}) \|_\infty \ + \ \| K_+ \|_\infty^2 \right) \| w'_+ \|_\infty \ \right)$$

$$+ \ \| B_- \|_6 \left(\ \| \nabla^2 w'_+ \|_3 \ + \ \| K_+ \|_\infty \, \| \nabla w'_+ \|_3 \ + \ \| \nabla (B_0 + B_{1+}) \|_\infty \, \| w'_+ \|_3 \right.$$

$$+ \ \parallel \nabla (s_+ + B_{2+}) \parallel_6 \parallel w'_+ \parallel_6 \ + \ \parallel K_+ \parallel_\infty^2 \parallel w'_+ \parallel_3 \Big)$$

$$\leq C \Big((1 - \ell n\ t) \parallel s_- \parallel_3 \ + t^{-1} (\parallel s_- \parallel_2 \ + \ \parallel \nabla B_- \parallel_2) \Big) \ . \qquad (4.91)$$

Substituting (4.90) (4.91) into (4.89) and estimating the remaining terms in a similar way yields (4.79).

We finally estimate B'_{2-}. From (4.67) and (3.18) (3.19), we obtain

$$\parallel \nabla B'_{2-} \parallel_2 \leq t\, I_1 \Big(\parallel w_- \parallel_2 \parallel \nabla_{K_+} w_+ \parallel_\infty \ + \ \parallel s_- \parallel_2 \parallel w_+ \parallel_\infty^2 \ + \ \parallel B_- \parallel_6 \parallel w_+ \parallel_6^2 \Big) \ ,$$

$$\parallel \nabla \check{B}'_{2-} \parallel_2 \leq \ I_0 \Big(\ \parallel < x > w_- \parallel_2 \parallel \nabla_{K_+} w_+ \parallel_\infty \ + \ \parallel s_- \parallel_2 \parallel w_+ \parallel_\infty \parallel < x > w_+ \parallel_\infty$$
$$+ \ \parallel B_- \parallel_6 \parallel w_+ \parallel_6 \parallel < x > w_+ \parallel_6 \Big) \ ,$$

from which (4.80) and (4.81) follow.

□

As a first application of Lemma 4.1, we give a uniqueness result for the nonlinear system (2.41) with initial condition at time zero. That result is a variant of Proposition 4.2, part (2) of I.

Proposition 4.2. *Let* $0 < \beta < 1$. *Let* $0 < \tau \leq 1$, *let* $I = (0, \tau]$ *and let* $h_1 \in \mathcal{C}(I, I\!R^+)$ *be such that* $\overline{h}_1(t) = (t^{-2\beta} \vee t^{-1/2}) h_1(t)$ *be non decreasing and satisfy*

$$\int_0^t dt'\ t'^{-1} \overline{h}_1(t') \leq c\ \overline{h}_1(t) \qquad (4.92)$$

for some $c > 0$ *and for all* $t \in I$. *Let* B_0 *satisfy (3.32) for* $r = \infty$ *and* $0 \leq j, k, j+k \leq 1$. *Let* (w_i, s_i, B_{2i}), $i = 1, 2$, *be two solutions of the system (2.41) such that* $w_i \in L^\infty(I, H^3)$, $xw_i \in L^\infty(I, H^2)$, $t\partial_t w_i \in L^\infty(I, H^1)$, $B_{2i} \in L^\infty(I, \ddot{H}^2)$, $t\partial_t B_{2i} \in L^\infty(I, \dot{H}^1)$ *and* $t\nabla \check{B}_{2i} \in L^\infty(I, \dot{H}^1)$. *Assume in addition that* $s_-(0) = 0$ *and that*

$$\parallel < x > w_-(t) \parallel_2 \leq C\ h_1(t) \qquad (4.93)$$

for all $t \in I$. *Then* $(w_1, s_1, B_{21}) = (w_2, s_2, B_{22})$.

Proof. Note first that (4.92) implies (4.72) so that Lemma 4.1 can be applied. From (4.76) with $k = 0$ and mild assumptions on w_-, it follows that $s_-(t)$ has an L^2 limit as $t \to 0$, thereby giving a meaning on the assumption $s_-(0) = 0$. Actually it follows from (4.76) (4.92) (4.93) that the limit exists in H^2.

We first prove the proposition for τ sufficiently small by using Lemma 4.1 with $(w'_i, B'_{2i}) = (w_i, B_{2i})$. We define

$$y_0 = \| < x > w_- \|_2 \qquad , \quad y_1 = \| \nabla_{K_+} w_- \|_2 \ ,$$

$$Y_0 = \mathop{\mathrm{Sup}}_{t \in I} \ h_1(t)^{-1} y_0(t) \ .$$

From Lemma 4.1, especially (4.74) (4.75) (4.76) and from (4.92), we obtain

$$\| \nabla B_{1-} \|_2 \leq C \ Y_0 \ h_1 \ , \tag{4.94}$$

$$\| \nabla \check{B}_{1-} \|_2 \leq C \ Y_0 \ t^{-1} h_1 \ , \tag{4.95}$$

$$\| \nabla^k s_- \|_2 \leq C \ Y_0 \ t^{-k\beta} h_1 + C \ \delta_{k2} \int_0^t dt' \ t'^{-1} y_1(t') \tag{4.96}$$

for all $t \in I$ and for $k = 0, 1, 2$. The time integral of the last term in (4.76) converges because of the estimate

$$\| \nabla w_- \|_2 \leq \ \left(\| \ w_- \ \|_2 \ \| \ \Delta w_- \ \|_2 \right)^{1/2} \ \leq C (Y_0 \ h_1)^{1/2}$$

and we have replaced the ordinary derivative by the covariant derivative in that integral, which produces an innocuous term with $Y_0 h_1$. On the other hand from (4.80) (4.94) (4.96) we obtain

$$\| \nabla B_{2-} \|_2 \leq \ C \ Y_0 \ t (1 - \ell n \ t) h_1 + C \ t \ I_1 \left(\| \nabla B_{2-} \|_2 \right) \ . \tag{4.97}$$

From the assumptions on B_{2i}, it follows that $B_{2-} \in L^\infty(I, \dot{H}^1)$. Using that fact, one derives easily from (4.97) that

$$\| \nabla B_{2-} \|_2 \leq \ C \ Y_0 \ t (1 - \ell n \ t) h_1 \tag{4.98}$$

for all $t \in I$ and for τ sufficiently small. Substituting that result into (4.81) yields

$$\| \nabla \check{B}_{2-} \|_2 \leq \ C \ Y_0 (1 - \ell n \ t) h_1 \ . \tag{4.99}$$

Substituting (4.94) (4.95) (4.96) (4.98) (4.99) into (4.79) yields

$$|\partial_t y_1| \leq C \left(Y_0 (t^{-1} + t^{-2\beta}) h_1 + \int_0^t dt' \ t'^{-1} y_1(t') + t^{-1} (Y_0 h_1 y_1)^{1/2} \right)$$

which takes the form

$$|\partial_t y| \leq f + C \int_0^t dt' \ t'^{-1} y(t') + g y^{1/2} \tag{4.100}$$

with

$$y_1 = Y_0\ y \quad , \quad f = C\left(t^{-1} + t^{-2\beta}\right) h_1 \quad , \quad g = C\ t^{-1}\ h_1^{1/2}\ .$$

We define

$$z(t) = \int_0^t dt'\ t'^{-1} y(t')$$

so that $y = t\partial_t z$, and

$$F(t) = \int_0^t dt'\ f(t')\ .$$

Integrating (4.100) over time yields

$$\begin{aligned} y(t) &\leq F(t) + C\int_0^t dt'\ t'^{-1}(t-t')y(t') + \int_0^t dt' \left(gy^{1/2}\right)(t') \\ &\leq F(t) + C\ t\ z(t) + z(t)^{1/2}\left(\int_0^t dt'\ t' g^2(t')\right)^{1/2} \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$\partial_t z \leq t^{-1}F + Cz + gz^{1/2} \tag{4.101}$$

where we have used the estimate

$$\int_0^t dt'\ t' g^2(t') = C\int_0^t dt'\ t'^{-1} h_1(t') \leq C\ h_1(t)\ .$$

From (4.101) and Lemma 2.3 in [9], we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} z &\leq e^{Ct}\left\{\int_0^t dt'\ g(t') + \left(\int_0^t dt'\ t'^{-1}F(t')\right)^{1/2}\right\}^2 \\ &\leq C\left(1 + t^{1-2\beta}\right) h_1(t) \end{aligned}$$

by an elementary computation. Substituting that result into (4.101) yields

$$y_1 = C\ Y_0\left(1 + t^{1-2\beta}\right) h_1(t)\ . \tag{4.102}$$

Substituting (4.94) (4.95) (4.96) (4.98) (4.99) and (4.102) into (4.77) (4.78) yields

$$\partial_t y_0 \leq C\ Y_0\left(t^{-\beta} + t^{-1+\beta}\right) h_1(t)$$

and therefore by integration over time

$$Y_0 \leq C\ Y_0\left(\tau^{1-\beta} + \tau^{\beta}\right)$$

which implies that $Y_0 = 0$ for τ sufficiently small. Together with (4.98), this concludes the proof in that case. The extension of the proof to the case of general τ proceeds by similar but more standard arguments.

□

5 Cauchy problem at time zero for the auxiliary system

In this section, we derive the main technical result of this paper, namely we construct solutions of the auxiliary system (2.41) with given asymptotic behaviour at time zero parametrized by asymptotic functions (w_a, s_a, B_a) under assumptions of a general nature on those functions. This will be done by solving the auxiliary system (2.54) for the difference variables (q, G_2) with (q, G_2) tending to zero at time zero, with G_1 and σ defined by (2.52) (2.53) with $\sigma(0) = 0$. The latter system will be solved in two steps. We first solve the linearized system (2.59) for (q', G'_2) with given (q, G_2), thereby defining a map $\Gamma : (q, G_2) \to (q', G'_2)$. We then prove that the map Γ is a contraction in $X(I)$ for $I = (0, \tau]$ and τ sufficiently small. The Schrödinger equation of the linearized system (2.59) with q' tending to zero at time zero is solved by first constructing a solution q'_{t_0} with initial condition $q'_{t_0}(t_0) = 0$ for some small $t_0 > 0$ by the use of Proposition 4.1 and then taking the limit of that solution when t_0 tends to zero. The system (2.59) yields G'_2 as an explicit function of (q, G_2). The general assumptions made on (w_a, s_a, B_a) consist of boundedness properties of w_a, boundedness properties of (s_a, B_a) and decay properties of the remainders R_j, $1 \leq j \leq 4$, defined by (2.57), which we state below as assumptions (A1) (A2) and (A3) respectively. Those assumptions are stated in terms of an interval $I_0 = (0, \tau_0]$ with $0 < \tau_0 \leq 1$.

(A1) w_a satisfies the following properties

$$w_a \in (\mathcal{C} \cap L^\infty)\left(I_0, H^3\right) \quad , \qquad x w_a \in (\mathcal{C} \cap L^\infty)\left(I_0, H^2\right) \ , \tag{5.1}$$

$$t^{1/2}\partial_t w_a \in (\mathcal{C} \cap L^\infty)\left(I_0, H^2\right) \quad , \quad t^{1/2} x \partial_t w_a \in (\mathcal{C} \cap L^\infty)\left(I_0, H^1\right) \ . \tag{5.2}$$

In order to state (A2), we recall that $B_a = B_0 + B_{1a} + B_{2a}$ and $K_a = s_a + B_a$, and that $\check{B}_{aS} = \check{B}_0 + \check{B}_{1aS} + \check{B}_{2a}$ (see (2.50)).

(A2) $s_a, B_a \in \mathcal{C}(I_0, H^1_\infty)$ with sufficient additional regularity, and the following estimates hold for all $t \in I_0$:

$$\| K_a \|_\infty \leq C(1 - \ell n\ t) \ , \tag{5.3}$$

$$\| \partial_t K_a \|_\infty \ \vee \ \| \nabla K_a \|_\infty \ \vee t \ \| \nabla \partial_t K_a \|_\infty \leq C\ t^{-1} \ , \tag{5.4}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\parallel \nabla s_a \parallel_\infty \ \vee \ \parallel \nabla\nabla\cdot s_a \parallel_3 \ \vee \ \parallel \nabla(B_{1a}+B_{2a}) \parallel_\infty \\ \vee \ t\Big(&\parallel \nabla\partial_t s_a \parallel_\infty \ \vee \parallel \nabla\partial_t\nabla\cdot s_a \parallel_3 \ \vee \ \parallel \nabla\partial_t(B_{1a}+B_{2a}) \parallel_\infty \Big) \leq C\ t^{-1/2} \ , \end{aligned} \tag{5.5}$$

$$\parallel \nabla\check{B}_a \parallel_\infty \ \vee t \parallel \nabla\partial_t\check{B}_a \parallel_\infty \leq C\ t^{-1} \ , \tag{5.6}$$

$$\parallel \check{B}_{aS} \parallel_\infty \ \vee t \parallel \partial_t\check{B}_{aS} \parallel_\infty \leq C\ t^{-1/2} \ . \tag{5.7}$$

Note that by Lemma 3.7, B_0 satisfies the assumptions made on B_a under suitable assumptions on $(A_+, \dot{A}_+)$.

(A3) The remainders R_j satisfy the following estimates for all $t \in I_0$:

$$(\parallel< x > R_1 \parallel_2 \leq) \ \parallel< x > \partial_t R_1; L^1((0,t], L^2) \parallel \leq r_1\ t^{-1}\ h(t) \ , \tag{5.8}$$

$$(\parallel \nabla R_1 \parallel_2 \leq) \ \parallel \nabla\partial_t R_1; L^1((0,t], L^2) \parallel \leq r_1\ t^{-3/2}\ h(t) \ , \tag{5.9}$$

$$\parallel \nabla^k R_2 \parallel_2 \leq r_2\ t^{-1-k\beta}\ h(t) \qquad \text{for } k = 0,1,2 \ , \tag{5.10}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\parallel \nabla R_3 \parallel_2 \ \vee t^{1/2} \parallel \nabla^2 R_3 \parallel_2 \ \vee t \left(\parallel \nabla\partial_t R_3 \parallel_2 \ \vee \ \parallel \nabla\check{R}_3 \parallel_2\right) \\ &\vee t^{3/2} \parallel \nabla^2\check{R}_3 \parallel_2 \ \vee t^2 \parallel \nabla\partial_t\check{R}_3 \parallel_2 \leq r_3\ h(t) \ , \end{aligned} \tag{5.11}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\parallel \nabla R_4 \parallel_2 \ \vee t \left(\parallel \nabla^2 R_4 \parallel_2 \ \vee \ \parallel \nabla\partial_t R_4 \parallel_2 \ \vee \ \parallel \nabla\check{R}_4 \parallel_2\right) \\ &\vee t^2 \left(\parallel \nabla^2\check{R}_4 \parallel_2 \ \vee \ \parallel \nabla\partial_t\check{R}_4 \parallel_2\right) \ \leq r_4\ t^{1/2} \ \ h(t) \end{aligned} \tag{5.12}$$

for some positive constants r_j, $1 \leq j \leq 4$, where h is defined in Section 3.

The final result will require the full assumptions (A1) (A2) (A3), but some intermediate ones will need only part of them. The final existence result for (q, G_2) solution of (2.54) will be derived in an interval $(0, \tau]$ for sufficiently small τ. Smallness conditions on τ will occur at various stages of the proof and will be called asymptotic region conditions. They will eventually depend on (w_a, s_a, B_a). Some of them will be imposed at early stages in order to eliminate terms higher than linear in the dynamical variables from the estimates. Others will be imposed at the final stage in order to ensure the contraction properties of the map Γ.

We first prepare the ground by deriving preliminary estimates of G_1, σ, G'_2, H_1 and q'. The assumptions will in general include the assumption (A1), parts of the assumption (A2) and some asymptotic region conditions. Whenever (q, G_2) occurs, it will be assumed to be in $X_0(\cdot)$. A number of estimates will involve expressions of the type $I_j(\parallel q \parallel_*)$ for some norm of q and we shall assume that norm to decay sufficiently fast to make the integral convergent. Such conditions will always be satisfied for $(q, 0) \in X(\cdot)$.

We first estimate G_1 and σ defined by (2.52) (2.53).

Lemma 5.1. *Let $0 < \beta < 1$, $0 < \tau \leq \tau_0$ and $I = (0, \tau]$. Let w_a satisfy (A1) and let $(q, 0) \in X_0(I)$ with*

$$\begin{cases} \parallel q; L^\infty(I, H^3) \parallel \ \leq \ \parallel w_a; L^\infty(I, H^3) \parallel \\ \\ \parallel xq; L^\infty(I, H^2) \parallel \ \leq \ \parallel xw_a; L^\infty(I, H^2) \parallel \ . \end{cases} \tag{5.13}$$

Then the following estimates hold for all $t \in I$:

$$\parallel \nabla G_1 \parallel_2 \ \leq \ C \ I_0 \left(\parallel q \parallel_2 \right) \ + \ \parallel \nabla R_3 \parallel_2 \ , \tag{5.14}$$

$$\parallel \nabla \check{G}_1 \parallel_2 \ \leq \ C \ t^{-1} \ I_{-1} \left(\parallel < x > q \parallel_2 \right) \ + \ \parallel \nabla \check{R}_3 \parallel_2 \ , \tag{5.15}$$

$$\parallel \nabla^2 G_1 \parallel_2 \ \leq \ C \ I_1 \left(\parallel \nabla q \parallel_2 \right) \ + \ \parallel \nabla^2 R_3 \parallel_2 \ , \tag{5.16}$$

$$\parallel \nabla^2 \check{G}_1 \parallel_2 \ \leq \ C \ t^{-1} \ I_0 \left(\parallel < x > \nabla q \parallel_2 \ + \ \parallel q \parallel_2 \right) \ + \ \parallel \nabla^2 \check{R}_3 \parallel_2 \ , \tag{5.17}$$

$$\parallel \nabla \partial_t G_1 \parallel_2 \ \leq \ C \ I_1 \left(\parallel \partial_t q \parallel_2 \ + t^{-1/2} \parallel q \parallel_3 \right) \ + \ \parallel \nabla \partial_t R_3 \parallel_2 \ , \tag{5.18}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \parallel \nabla \partial_t \check{G}_1 \parallel_2 \ \leq \ & C \ t^{-2} \ I_{-1} \left(\parallel < x > q \parallel_2 \right) \\ & + C \ t^{-1} \ I_0 \left(\parallel < x > \partial_t q \parallel_2 \ + t^{-1/2} \parallel < x > q \parallel_3 \right) \ + \ \parallel \nabla \partial_t \check{R}_3 \parallel_2 \ , \end{aligned} \tag{5.19}$$

$$\parallel \nabla^k \partial_t \sigma \parallel_2 \ \leq \ C \ t^{-1} \left(\parallel q \parallel_2 \ + \delta_{k2} \parallel \nabla q \parallel_2 \right) \ + \ t^{-k\beta} \parallel \nabla \check{G}_1 \parallel_2 \ + \ \parallel \nabla^k R_2 \parallel_2 \tag{5.20}$$

for $k = 0, 1, 2$.

Proof. From (2.32) (2.52) we obtain

$$G_1 = F_1(PN_1) - R_3$$

where

$$N_1 = -x \ \mathrm{Re} \ \overline{q}(2w_a + q)$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} & \partial_t G_1 = F_2 \left(P \partial_t N_1 \right) - \partial_t R_3 \ , \\ & \check{G}_1 = t^{-1} F_0 \left(x \cdot PN_1 \right) - \check{R}_3 \ , \\ & \partial_t \check{G}_1 = -t^{-2} F_0 \left(x \cdot PN_1 \right) + t^{-1} F_1 \left(x \cdot P \partial_t N_1 \right) - \partial_t \check{R}_3 \ . \end{aligned}$$

Using (3.18) (3.19), we estimate successively

$$\begin{aligned} \| \nabla G_1 \|_2 &\le I_0 (\| N_1 \|_2) + \| \nabla R_3 \|_2 \\ &\le I_0 (\| q \|_2 \| x(2w_a + q) \|_\infty) + \| \nabla R_3 \|_2 , \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \| \nabla \check{G}_1 \|_2 &\le t^{-1} I_{-1} (\| < x > N_1 \|_2) + \| \nabla \check{R}_3 \|_2 \\ &\le t^{-1} I_{-1} (\| xq \|_2 \| < x > (2w_a + q) \|_\infty) + \| \nabla \check{R}_3 \|_2 , \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\| \nabla^2 G_1 \|_2 \le I_1 (\| \nabla N_1 \|_2) + \| \nabla^2 R_3 \|_2 \\ &\le I_1 (2 \| \nabla q \|_2 \| x(w_a + q) \|_\infty + \| q \|_6 (\| 2w_a + q \|_3 + 2 \| x \nabla w_a \|_3)) \\ &+ \| \nabla^2 R_3 \|_2 , \end{aligned}$$

$$\| \nabla^2 \check{G}_1 \|_2 \le t^{-1} I_0 (\| < x > \nabla N_1 \|_2) + \| \nabla^2 \check{R}_3 \|_2 ,$$

$$\begin{aligned} \| < x > \nabla N_1 \|_2 &\le 2 \| x \nabla q \|_2 \| < x > (w_a + q) \|_\infty + \| xq \|_6 \| < x > \nabla w_a \|_3 \\ &\quad + \| q \|_2 \| < x > (2w_a + q) \|_2 , \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\| \nabla \partial_t G_1 \|_2 \le I_1 (\| \partial_t N_1 \|_2) + \| \nabla \partial_t R_3 \|_2 \\ &\le 2 I_1 (\| \partial_t q \|_2 \| x(w_a + q) \|_\infty + \| q \|_3 \| x \partial_t w_a \|_6) + \| \nabla \partial_t R_3 \|_2 , \end{aligned}$$

$$\| \nabla \partial_t \check{G}_1 \|_2 \le t^{-2} I_{-1} (\| < x > N_1 \|_2) + t^{-1} I_0 (\| < x > \partial_t N_1 \|_2) + \| \nabla \partial_t \check{R}_3 \|_2 ,$$

$$\begin{aligned} \| < x > \partial_t N_1 \|_2 &\le 2 \| x \partial_t q \|_2 \| < x > (w_a + q) \|_\infty \\ &\quad + 2 \| xq \|_3 \| < x > \partial_t w_a \|_6 , \end{aligned}$$

from which (5.14)-(5.19) follow by using (A1) and (5.13).

Finally (5.20) follows from (2.53) (3.12) (A1) (5.13) and Lemma 3.5.

□

We next estimate G'_2 defined by (2.59).

Lemma 5.2. *Let* $0 < \beta < 1$, $0 < \tau \le \tau_0$ *and* $I = (0, \tau]$. *Let* w_a *satisfy (A1). Let* K_a *satisfy (5.3) and*

$$\| \nabla B_a \|_\infty \vee \| \partial_t K_a \|_\infty \le C t^{-1} . \tag{5.21}$$

Let $(q, G_2) \in X_0(I)$ *satisfy (5.13) and*

$$\parallel L \parallel_\infty \le C(1 - \ell n\ t)\ . \tag{5.22}$$

Then the following estimates hold for all $t \in I$ *:*

$$\parallel \nabla G'_2 \parallel_2 \le\ C\, t\, I_1 (\parallel q \parallel_2 (1 - \ell n\ t) +\ \parallel \sigma \parallel_2\ +\ \parallel \nabla G \parallel_2) +\ \parallel \nabla R_4 \parallel_2 , \tag{5.23}$$

$$\parallel \nabla \check{G}'_2 \parallel_2 \le\ C\ I_0 (\parallel < x > q \parallel_2 (1 - \ell n\ t) +\ \parallel \sigma \parallel_2\ +\ \parallel \nabla G \parallel_2)\ +\ \parallel \nabla \check{R}_4 \parallel_2\ , \tag{5.24}$$

$$\parallel \nabla^2 G'_2 \parallel_2 \le\ C\, t\, I_2 \Big(\parallel \nabla_K q \parallel_2 (1 - \ell n\ t)\ +\ t^{-1} \parallel q \parallel_2\ +\ \parallel \nabla L \parallel_2 \Big) +\ \parallel \nabla^2 R_4 \parallel_2 , \tag{5.25}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \parallel \nabla^2 \check{G}'_2 \parallel_2\ &\le\ C\ I_1 \Big(\parallel < x > \nabla_K q \parallel_2 (1 - \ell n\ t)\ +\ t^{-1} \parallel q \parallel_2\ +\ \parallel \nabla L \parallel_2 \Big) \\ &\quad +\ \parallel \nabla^2 \check{R}_4 \parallel_2\ , \end{aligned} \tag{5.26}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\parallel \nabla \partial_t G'_2 \parallel_2 \le\ C\ I_1 (\parallel q \parallel_2 (1 - \ell n\ t) +\ \parallel \sigma \parallel_2\ +\ \parallel \nabla G \parallel_2) \\ &+ C\ t\ I_2 \Big(\parallel \partial_t q \parallel_2 (1 - \ell n\ t) +\ \parallel \nabla_K q \parallel_2\ t^{-1/2}\ +\ t^{-1} \parallel q \parallel_2\ +\ \parallel \partial_t \sigma \parallel_2 \\ &+\ \parallel \nabla \partial_t G \parallel_2\ + (\parallel \sigma \parallel_2\ +\ \parallel \nabla G \parallel_2) t^{-1/2} \Big) +\ \parallel \nabla \partial_t R_4 \parallel_2\ , \end{aligned} \tag{5.27}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\parallel \nabla \partial_t \check{G}'_2 \parallel_2 \le\ C\ I_1 \Big(\parallel < x > \partial_t q \parallel_2 (1 - \ell n\ t) +\ \parallel < x > \nabla_K q \parallel_2\ t^{-1/2} \\ &+ t^{-1} \parallel q \parallel_2\ +\ \parallel \partial_t \sigma \parallel_2\ +\ \parallel \nabla \partial_t G \parallel_2\ + (\parallel \sigma \parallel_2\ +\ \parallel \nabla G \parallel_2) t^{-1/2} \Big) +\ \parallel \nabla \partial_t \check{R}_4 \parallel_2\ . \end{aligned} \tag{5.28}$$

Proof. From (2.35) (2.59) we obtain

$$G'_2 = t\ F_2(PN_2) - R_4 \tag{5.29}$$

where

$$N_2 =\ \text{Im}\ \overline{q} \nabla_K (2w_a + q) - L|w_a|^2 \tag{5.30}$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} &\check{G}'_2 = F_1(x \cdot PN_2) - \check{R}_4\ , \\ &\partial_t G'_2 = F_2(PN_2) + t\ F_3(P\partial_t N_2) - \partial_t R_4\ , \\ &\partial_t \check{G}'_2 = F_2\,(x \cdot P\partial_t N_2) - \partial_t \check{R}_4\ . \end{aligned}$$

Using (3.18) (3.19) we first estimate

$$\parallel \nabla G'_2 \parallel_2 \le\ t\ I_1 (\parallel N_2 \parallel_2)\ +\ \parallel \nabla R_4 \parallel_2\ ,$$

$$\| N_2 \|_2 \le \| q \|_2 \, \| \nabla_K (2w_a + q) \|_\infty \ + \ \| \sigma \|_2 \, \| w_a \|_\infty^2 \ + \ \| G \|_6 \, \| w_a \|_6^2 \ ,$$

$$\| \nabla \check{G}'_2 \|_2 \le \ I_0 \left(\| < x > N_2 \|_2 \right) \ + \ \| \nabla \check{R}_4 \|_2 \ ,$$

$$\| < x > N_2 \|_2 \le \| < x > q \|_2 \, \| \nabla_K (2w_a + q) \|_2 \ + \ \| \sigma \|_2 \, \| < x > w_a \|_\infty \, \| w_a \|_\infty$$

$$+ \ \| G \|_6 \, \| < x > w_a \|_6 \, \| w_a \|_6$$

from which (5.23) (5.24) follow. In order to estimate $\nabla^2 G'_2$ and $\nabla^2 \check{G}'_2$ we use the identity $\nabla P N_2 = P N_3$ or more precisely

$$\nabla_\ell \ P_{ij} \ N_{2j} = P_{ij} \ N_{3j\ell} \tag{5.31}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} N_{3j\ell} \ &= \ \mathrm{Im} \left(\overline{\nabla_{K\ell} q} \ \nabla_{Kj} (2w_a + q) + \overline{\nabla_{K\ell} (2w_a + q)} \ \nabla_{Kj} q \right) \\ &\quad + F_{j\ell} \ \mathrm{Re} \ \overline{q} (2w_a + q) - \nabla_\ell (L_j |w_a|^2) \ , \end{aligned} \tag{5.32}$$

$$F_{j\ell} = \nabla_j K_\ell - \nabla_\ell K_j = \nabla_j B_\ell - \nabla_\ell B_j \ .$$

In fact, using the symmetry of the bilinear form $P \ \mathrm{Im} \ \overline{u} \nabla_k v$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\nabla_\ell P_{ij} \ \mathrm{Im} \ \overline{u} \nabla_{Kj} v = P_{ij} \ \mathrm{Im} \ \nabla_\ell \left(\overline{u} \nabla_{Kj} v \right) \\ &= P_{ij} \ \mathrm{Im} \left(\overline{\nabla_{K\ell} u} \ \nabla_{Kj} v + \overline{u} \nabla_{K\ell} \nabla_{Kj} v \right) \\ &= P_{ij} \ \mathrm{Im} \left(\overline{\nabla_{K\ell} u} \ \nabla_{Kj} v + i F_{j\ell} \overline{u} v + \overline{u} \nabla_{Kj} \nabla_{K\ell} v \right) \\ &= P_{ij} \left\{ \mathrm{Im} \left(\overline{\nabla_{K\ell} u} \ \nabla_{Kj} v + \overline{\nabla_{K\ell} v} \ \nabla_{Kj} u \right) + F_{j\ell} \ \mathrm{Re} \ \overline{u} v \right\} \end{aligned}$$

from which (5.31) (5.32) follow. We can then rewrite $\nabla G'_2$ as

$$\nabla G'_2 = t \ F_3 (P \nabla N_2) - \nabla R_4 = t \ F_3 (P N_3) - \nabla R_4$$

so that by (3.18) (3.19)

$$\| \nabla^2 G'_2 \|_2 \le \ t \ I_2 \left(\| N_3 \|_2 \right) \ + \ \| \nabla^2 R_4 \|_2 \ ,$$

$$\| N_3 \|_2 \le \ 2 \, \| \nabla_K q \|_2 \, \| \nabla_K (2w_a + q) \|_\infty$$

$$+ \, 2 \left(\| \nabla B_a \|_\infty \, \| q \|_2 \ + \ \| \nabla L \|_2 \, \| q \|_\infty \right) \| 2w_a + q \|_\infty$$

$$+ \ \left(\| \nabla L \|_2 \, \| w_a \|_\infty \ + 2 \, \| L \|_6 \, \| \nabla w_a \|_3 \right) \| w_a \|_\infty \ ,$$

$$\| \nabla^2 \check{G}'_2 \|_2 \le \ I_1 \left(\| < x > N_3 \|_2 \right) \ + \ \| \nabla^2 \check{R}_4 \|_2 \ ,$$

$$\| < x > N_3 \|_2 \leq \ 2 \, \| < x > \nabla_K q \|_2 \, \| \nabla_K (2w_a + q) \|_\infty$$

$$+ \ 2 \, (\| \nabla B_a \|_\infty \, \| q \|_2 \ + \ \| \nabla L \|_2 \, \| q \|_\infty) \, \| < x > (2w_a + q) \|_\infty$$

$$+ \ (\| \nabla L \|_2 \, \| w_a \|_\infty \ + 2 \, \| L \|_6 \, \| \nabla w_a \|_3) \ \| < x > w_a \|_\infty \ ,$$

from which (5.25) (5.26) follow by using (A1) (5.13) (5.3) (5.21) (5.22). We finally estimate $\nabla \partial_t G'_2$ and $\nabla \partial_t \check{G}'_2$. For that purpose, we use the identity $P \partial_t N_2 = P N_4$ with

$$\begin{aligned} N_4 \ &= \ \mathrm{Im} \, ((\partial_t \overline{q}) \nabla_K (2w_a + q) + (\partial_t (2\overline{w}_a + \overline{q})) \nabla_K q) \\ & \quad - (\partial_t K) \ \mathrm{Re} \ \overline{q} (2w_a + q) - \partial_t (L |w_a|^2) \ . \end{aligned}$$

Using again (3.18) (3.19), we estimate

$$\| \nabla \partial_t G'_2 \|_2 \leq \ I_1 \, (\| N_2 \|_2) \ + \ t \ I_2 \, (\| N_4 \|_2) \ + \ \| \nabla \partial_t R_4 \|_2 \ ,$$

$$\| N_4 \|_2 \leq \ 2 \, \| \partial_t q \|_2 \, \| \nabla_K (w_a + q) \|_\infty \ + 2 \, \| \nabla_K q \|_2 \, \| \partial_t w_a \|_\infty$$

$$+ \ (\| \partial_t K_a \|_\infty \, \| q \|_2 \ + \ \| \partial_t \sigma \|_2 \, \| q \|_\infty \ + \ \| \partial_t G \|_6 \, \| q \|_3) \, \| 2w_a + q \|_\infty$$

$$+ \ \| \partial_t \sigma \|_2 \, \| w_a \|^2_\infty \ + \ \| \partial_t G \|_6 \, \| w_a \|^2_6$$

$$+ \ 2 \, (\| \sigma \|_2 \, \| w_a \|_\infty \ + \ \| G \|_6 \, \| w_a \|_3) \, \| \partial_t w_a \|_\infty \ ,$$

$$\| \nabla \partial_t \check{G}'_2 \|_2 \leq \ I_1 \, (\| < x > N_4 \|_2) \ + \ \| \nabla \partial_t \check{R}_4 \|_2 \ ,$$

$$\| < x > N_4 \|_2 \leq \ 2 \, \| < x > \partial_t q \|_2 \, \| \nabla_K (w_a + q) \|_\infty \ + 2 \, \| < x > \nabla_K q \|_2 \, \| \partial_t w_a \|_\infty$$

$$+ \ (\| \partial_t K_a \|_\infty \, \| q \|_2 \ + \ \| \partial_t \sigma \|_2 \, \| q \|_\infty \ + \ \| \partial_t G \|_6 \, \| q \|_3) \, \| < x > (2w_a + q) \|_\infty$$

$$+ \ \| \partial_t \sigma \|_2 \, \| w_a \|_\infty \, \| < x > w_a \|_\infty \ + \ \| \partial_t G \|_6 \, \| w_a \|_6 \, \| < x > w_a \|_6$$

$$+ \ 2 \, (\| \sigma \|_2 \, \| < x > w_a \|_\infty \ + \ \| G \|_6 \, \| < x > w_a \|_3) \ \| \partial_t w_a \|_\infty \ ,$$

from which (5.27) (5.28) follow by using (A1) (5.13) (5.3) (5.21) (5.22).

□

We next estimate $H_1 w_a$ with H_1 defined by (2.56).

Lemma 5.3. *Let* $0 < \beta < 1$, $0 < \tau \leq \tau_0$ *and* $I = (0, \tau]$. *Let* w_a *satisfy (A1). Let* K_a *satisfy (5.3) (5.4). Let* $(q, G_2) \in X_0(I)$ *satisfy (5.13) and*

$$\| L \|_\infty \ \vee \ \| \nabla L \|_3 \leq \ C (1 - \ell n \ t) \tag{5.33}$$

for all $t \in I$. Then the following estimates hold for all $t \in I$:

$$\begin{aligned}\| <x> H_1 w_a \|_2 \ \leq \ & C\Big((\| \sigma \|_3 \ + \ \| \nabla G \|_2)\,(1 - \ell n\ t) \\ & + \ \| \nabla \cdot \sigma \|_2 \ + t^\beta \, \| \nabla \check{G}_1 \|_2 \ + \ \| \nabla \check{G}_2 \|_2 \Big)\ , \end{aligned} \tag{5.34}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\| <x> H_1 \partial_t w_a \|_2 \ \leq \ & C\Big(\| L \|_\infty \ + \ \| L \|_6\,(1 - \ell n\ t) \\ & + \ \| \nabla \cdot \sigma \|_3 \ + t^{\beta/2} \, \| \nabla \check{G}_1 \|_2 \ + \ \| \nabla \check{G}_2 \|_2 \Big) t^{-1/2}, \end{aligned} \tag{5.35}$$

$$\begin{aligned}&\| <x> (\partial_t H_1) w_a \|_2 \leq \ C\Big((\| \partial_t \sigma \|_3 \ + \ \| \nabla \partial_t G \|_2)\,(1 - \ell n\ t) \\ & + \ \| \partial_t \nabla \cdot \sigma \|_2 \ + t^\beta \, \| \nabla \partial_t \check{G}_1 \|_2 \ + \ \| \nabla \partial_t \check{G}_2 \|_2 \ + t^{-1}\,(\| \sigma \|_2 \ + \ \| \nabla G \|_2) \Big)\ , \end{aligned} \tag{5.36}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\| \nabla_K H_1 w_a \|_2 \leq \ & C\Big(\| \nabla L \|_2\,(1 - \ell n\ t)^2 + \ \| \nabla \cdot \sigma \|_3\,(1 - \ell n\ t) + \ \| \nabla\nabla \cdot \sigma \|_2 \\ & + \ t^{-1}\,(\| \ \sigma \|_2 \ + \ \| \nabla G \|_2) \ + \ \| \nabla \check{G}_1 \|_2 \ + \ \| \nabla \check{G}_2 \|_2 \ \ (1 - \ell n\ t) \Big)\ , \end{aligned} \tag{5.37}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\| \nabla_K H_1 \partial_t w_a \|_2 \leq \ & C\Big((\| L \|_\infty \ + \ \| \nabla L \|_3)\,(1 - \ell n\ t) + \ \| \nabla L \|_2\,(1 - \ell n\ t)^2 \\ & + \ \| \nabla \cdot \sigma \|_3\,(1 - \ell n\ t) + \ \| \nabla\nabla \cdot \sigma \|_2 \ + t^{-1}\,(\| \sigma \|_2 \ + \ \| \nabla G \|_2) \\ & + \ \| \nabla \check{G}_1 \|_2 \ + \ \| \nabla \check{G}_2 \|_2 \ \ (1 - \ell n\ t) \Big) t^{-1/2}\ , \end{aligned} \tag{5.38}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\| \nabla_K (\partial_t H_1) w_a \|_2 \leq \ & C\Big(\| \nabla \partial_t L \|_2\,(1 - \ell n\ t)^2 + \ \| \nabla \partial_t \nabla \cdot \sigma \|_2 \\ & + t^{-1}\,(\| \partial_t \sigma \|_2 \ + \ \| \nabla \partial_t G \|_2 \ + \ \| \nabla L \|_2\,(1 - \ell n\ t)) \\ & + \ t^{-2}\,(\| \sigma \|_2 \ + \ \| \nabla G \|_2) \ + \ \| \nabla \partial_t \check{G}_1 \|_2 \ + \ \| \nabla \partial_t \check{G}_2 \|_2 \ \ (1 - \ell n\ t) \Big)\ . \end{aligned} \tag{5.39}$$

Proof.

(5.34) and (5.35). We rewrite H_1 defined by (2.56) as

$$H_1 = iL \cdot \nabla_{K_a} + (i/2)(\nabla \cdot \sigma) + (1/2)L^2 + \check{G}_S\ . \tag{5.40}$$

Using (3.11), we estimate

$$\begin{aligned}\| <x> H_1 w_a \|_2 \leq \ & \| \sigma \|_3 \ \| <x> \nabla_{K_a} w_a \|_6 \ + \ \| G \|_6 \ \| <x> \nabla_{K_a} w_a \|_3 \\ & + \ \Big(\| \nabla \cdot \sigma \|_2 \ + t^\beta \, \| \nabla \check{G}_1 \|_2\Big) \ \| <x> w_a \|_\infty \ + \| \check{G}_2 \|_6 \ \| <x> w_a \|_3 \\ & + \ \| L \|_\infty\,(\| \sigma \|_3 \ \| <x> w_a \|_6 \ + \ \| G \|_6 \ \| <x> w_a \|_3)\ , \end{aligned}$$

$$\| <x> H_1 \partial_t w_a \|_2 \leq \| L \|_\infty \ \| <x> \nabla \partial_t w_a \|_2 \ + \ \| L \|_6 \ \| K_a \|_\infty \ \| <x> \partial_t w_a \|_3$$

$$+ \ \left(\| \nabla \cdot \sigma \|_3 \ + t^{\beta/2} \| \nabla \check{G}_1 \|_2 \right) \| < x > \partial_t w_a \|_6 \ + \ \| \check{G}_2 \|_6 \| < x > \partial_t w_a \|_3$$
$$+ \ \| L \|_\infty \| L \|_6 \| < x > \partial_t w_a \|_3$$

from which (5.34) (5.35) follow by using (A1) (5.3) (5.33).

(5.36). Taking the time derivative of (5.40), we obtain

$$\partial_t H_1 = i(\partial_t L) \cdot \nabla_{K_a} + (i/2)(\partial_t \nabla \cdot \sigma) + L \cdot (\partial_t K) + (\partial_t \check{G}_S) \ . \tag{5.41}$$

Using (3.11), we estimate

$$\| < x > (\partial_t H_1) w_a \|_2 \leq \| \partial_t \sigma \|_3 \| < x > \nabla_{K_a} w_a \|_6 \ + \ \| \partial_t G \|_6 \| < x > \nabla_{K_a} w_a \|_3$$
$$+ \ \left(\| \partial_t \nabla \cdot \sigma \|_2 \ + t^\beta \| \nabla \partial_t \check{G}_1 \|_2 \right) \| < x > w_a \|_\infty \ + \ \| \partial_t \check{G}_2 \|_6 \| < x > w_a \|_3$$
$$+ \ \| \partial_t K_a \|_\infty (\| \sigma \|_2 \| < x > w_a \|_\infty \ + \ \| G \|_6 \| < x > w_a \|_3)$$
$$+ \ \| L \|_\infty (\| \partial_t \sigma \|_3 \| < x > w_a \|_6 \ + \ \| \partial_t G \|_6 \| < x > w_a \|_3)$$

from which (5.36) follows by using (A1) (5.3) (5.4) (5.33).

(5.37) and (5.38). Taking the covariant gradient of $H_1 v$, using (5.40) and the identity

$$\nabla_K \nabla_{K_a} = \nabla_{K_a} \nabla - iK \nabla_{K_a} - i(\nabla K_a) \tag{5.42}$$

we obtain

$$\nabla_K H_1 v = iL \cdot \nabla_{K_a} \nabla v + (i(\nabla L) + KL) \cdot \nabla_{K_a} v$$
$$+ \left((i/2)(\nabla \cdot \sigma) + (1/2) L^2 + \check{G}_S \right) \nabla_K v + \left(L \cdot (\nabla K) + (i/2)(\nabla \nabla \cdot \sigma) + (\nabla \check{G}_s) \right) v \ . \tag{5.43}$$

Using (3.11), we estimate

$$\| \nabla_K H_1 v \|_2 \leq \| \nabla L \|_2 (\| \nabla_{K_a} \nabla v \|_3 \ + \ \| \nabla_{K_a} v \|_\infty \ + \ \| K \|_\infty \| \nabla_{K_a} v \|_3)$$
$$+ \ \| \nabla \cdot \sigma \|_3 \| \nabla_K v \|_6 \ + \ \| \nabla \nabla \cdot \sigma \|_2 \| v \|_\infty$$
$$+ \ \| \nabla L \|_2 \| L \|_\infty (\| \nabla_K v \|_3 \ + \ \| v \|_\infty)$$
$$+ \ \| \nabla K_a \|_\infty (\| \sigma \|_2 \ \| v \|_\infty \ + \ \| G \|_6 \ \| v \|_3)$$
$$+ \ \| \nabla \check{G}_1 \|_2 \left(t^{\beta/2} \ \| \nabla_K v \|_6 \ + \ \| v \|_\infty \right) \ + \ \| \nabla \check{G}_2 \|_2 \ (\| \nabla_K v \|_3 \ + \ \| v \|_\infty) \ . \tag{5.44}$$

Applying (5.44) with $v = w_a$ and using (A1) (5.3) (5.4) (5.33) yields (5.37), while (5.38) follows from (5.44) with $v = \partial_t w_a$, except for a slightly different estimate of the contribution of the first two terms in the right hand side of (5.43), namely

$$\begin{aligned} &\| \ L \cdot \nabla_{K_a} \nabla \partial_t w_a \ \|_2 \ + \ \| \ (\nabla L) \nabla_{K_a} \partial_t w_a \ \|_2 \\ &\leq \| \ L \ \|_\infty \ \| \ \nabla_{K_a} \nabla \partial_t w_a \ \|_2 \ + \ \| \ \nabla L \ \|_3 \ \ \| \ \nabla_{K_a} \partial_t w_a \ \|_6 \ \ . \end{aligned}$$

(5.39). Taking the covariant gradient of $(\partial_t H_1)v$, using (5.41) (5.42), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_K (\partial_t H_1) v = \ & i(\partial_t L) \cdot \nabla_{K_a} \nabla v + \Big(i(\nabla \partial_t L) + K(\partial_t L) \Big) \cdot \nabla_{K_a} v \\ & + \Big((i/2)(\partial_t \nabla \cdot \sigma) + L \cdot (\partial_t K) + (\partial_t \check{G}_S) \Big) \nabla_K v \\ & + \Big((i/2)(\nabla \partial_t \nabla \cdot \sigma) + (\partial_t L) \cdot (\nabla K_a) + (\nabla L) \cdot (\partial_t K) + L (\nabla \partial_t K) + (\nabla \partial_t \check{G}_S) \Big) \, v \ . \end{aligned}$$

Using (3.11), we estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \| \ \nabla_K (\partial_t H_1) v \ \|_2 \leq \ & \| \ \nabla \partial_t L \ \|_2 \ \ (\| \ \nabla_{K_a} \nabla v \ \|_3 \ + \ \| \ \nabla_{K_a} v \ \|_\infty \ + \ \| \ K \ \|_\infty \ \| \ \ \nabla_{K_a} v \ \|_3) \\ & + \ \| \ \partial_t \nabla \cdot \sigma \ \|_2 \ \| \ \nabla_K v \ \|_\infty \ + \ \| \ \nabla \partial_t \nabla \cdot \sigma \ \|_2 \ \| \ v \ \|_\infty \\ & + \ \| \ \nabla K_a \ \|_\infty \ (\| \ \partial_t \sigma \ \|_2 \ \| \ v \ \|_\infty \ + \ \| \ \partial_t G \ \|_6 \ \| \ v \ \|_3) \\ & + \ \| \ \partial_t K_a \ \|_\infty \ \| \ \nabla L \ \|_2 \ \ (\| \ \nabla_K v \ \|_3 \ + \| \ v \ \|_\infty) \\ & + \ \| \ \nabla \partial_t K_a \ \|_\infty \ (\ \| \ \sigma \ \|_2 \ \| \ v \ \|_\infty \ + \ \| \ G \ \|_6 \ \| \ v \ \|_3) \\ & + \ \| \ \nabla \partial_t L \ \|_2 \Big(\ \| \ L \ \|_\infty \ \| \ \nabla_K v \ \|_3 \ + \ (\| \ \nabla L \ \|_3 \ + \ \| \ L \ \|_\infty) \ \| \ v \ \|_\infty \ \Big) \\ & + \ \| \ \nabla \partial_t \check{G}_1 \ \|_2 \ \Big(t^\beta \ \| \ \nabla_K v \ \|_\infty \ + \ \| \ v \ \|_\infty \Big) \ + \ \| \ \nabla \partial_t \check{G}_2 \ \|_2 \ (\| \ \nabla_K v \ \|_3 \ + \ \| \ v \ \|_\infty) \end{aligned}$$

from which (5.39) follows by substituting w_a for v and using (A1) (5.3) (5.4) (5.33).

□

We next estimate the solutions q' of the Schrödinger equation in (2.59). That equation is of the type of (4.1) with $v = q'$, $V = \check{B}_S$ and $f_0 = -\widetilde{R}_1$. The new estimates are extensions and refinements of those derived for v_η in the proof of Proposition 4.1, starting from the conservation laws in differential form (4.35) (4.38) (4.42), but we shall now take into account the asymptotic behaviour at time zero, which was not considered in the latter proof. In addition, we shall also estimate xq' and $x\partial_t q'$ from the analogues of the conservation laws (4.8) (4.9). The estimates are written in differential form for brevity, but should be understood in integral form like the conservation laws (4.2) (4.3) (4.4) and (4.8) (4.9).

Lemma 5.4. *Let $0 < \beta < 1$, $0 < \tau \le \tau_0$ and $I = (0, \tau]$. Let (w_a, K_a) satisfy (A1) (A2) and let B_0 satisfy (3.32) for $0 \le j, k \le 1$ and $r = \infty$. Let $(q, G_2) \in X_0(I)$ satisfy (5.13) and (5.33) and in addition*

$$\parallel \nabla \check{G}_S \parallel_2 \le C\ t^{-1/4}\ , \tag{5.45}$$

$$\parallel \nabla \partial_t L \parallel_2\ \vee\ \parallel \nabla \check{G}_S \parallel_6 \le C\ t^{-3/4}\ , \tag{5.46}$$

$$\parallel \nabla \partial_t \nabla \cdot \sigma \parallel_2\ \vee\ \parallel \nabla \partial_t \check{G}_S \parallel_2 \le C\ t^{-5/4} \tag{5.47}$$

for all $t \in I$. Let q' with $(q', 0) \in X_0(I)$ be a solution of the Schrödinger equation in (2.59), satisfying the conservation laws (4.2) (4.3) (4.4) (4.8) (4.9). Then the following estimates hold for all $t \in I$:

$$|\partial_t \parallel q' \parallel_2|\ \le\ \parallel \widetilde{R}_1 \parallel_2\ , \tag{5.48}$$

$$|\partial_t \parallel x q' \parallel_2|\ \le\ \parallel \nabla_K q' \parallel_2\ +\ \parallel x \widetilde{R}_1 \parallel_2\ , \tag{5.49}$$

$$|\partial_t \parallel \partial_t q' \parallel_2|\ \le\ C \left(t^{-1} \parallel \nabla_K q' \parallel_2\ + t^{-3/4} \parallel \nabla_K q' \parallel_3\ + t^{-3/2} \parallel q' \parallel_2 \right)\ +\ \parallel \partial_t \widetilde{R}_1 \parallel\ , \tag{5.50}$$

$$\begin{aligned} |\partial_t \parallel x \partial_t q' \parallel_2|\ \le & \parallel \nabla_K \partial_t q' \parallel_2\ + C \Big(t^{-1} \parallel x \nabla_K q' \parallel_2\ + t^{-3/4} \parallel x \nabla_K q' \parallel_3 \\ & + t^{-3/2} \parallel x q' \parallel_2 \Big)\ +\ \parallel x \partial_t \widetilde{R}_1 \parallel_2\ , \end{aligned} \tag{5.51}$$

$$\begin{aligned} |\partial_t \parallel \nabla_K \partial_t q' \parallel_2|\ \le\ & C \Big(t^{-1} \left(\parallel \partial_t q' \parallel_2\ +\ \parallel \nabla_K^2 q' \parallel_2 \right) \\ & t^{-3/4} \left(\parallel \partial_t q' \parallel_3\ +\ \parallel \nabla_K^2 q' \parallel_3\ +\ \parallel \nabla_K q' \parallel_\infty \right)\ +\ t^{-3/2} \parallel \nabla_K q' \parallel_2 \\ & +\ t^{-5/4} \parallel q' \parallel_\infty\ + t^{-2} \parallel q' \parallel_2 \Big)\ +\ b_0\ t^{-2} \parallel \nabla_K q' \parallel_2\ +\ \parallel \nabla_K \partial_t \widetilde{R}_1 \parallel_2\ , \end{aligned} \tag{5.52}$$

$$\parallel < x > \Delta_K q' \parallel_2 \le \parallel < x > \partial_t q' \parallel_2\ + C\ t^{-1/2} \parallel < x > q' \parallel_2\ +\ \parallel < x > \widetilde{R}_1 \parallel_2\ , \tag{5.53}$$

$$\parallel \nabla_K \Delta_K q' \parallel_2 \le \parallel \nabla_K \partial_t q' \parallel_2\ + C \left(t^{-1/2} \parallel \nabla_K q' \parallel_2\ + t^{-1} \parallel q' \parallel_2 \right)\ +\ \parallel \nabla_K \widetilde{R}_1 \parallel_2\ . \tag{5.54}$$

Proof. Before starting the proof, we remark that the conditions (5.45)-(5.47) will eventually become asymptotic region conditions, namely upper bounds on τ (see (5.76) below). On the other hand under the regularity assumption $(q', 0) \in X_0(I)$, all the conservation laws are satisfied except possibly (4.4), thereby making the assumption on q' partly redundant.

We now begin the proof. The estimate (5.48) follows immediately from (2.59). From the commutation relation

$$[x, H] = \nabla_K \tag{5.55}$$

it follows that q' satisfies the equation

$$i\partial_t x q' = \nabla_K q' + H x q' - x\widetilde{R}_1 \tag{5.56}$$

from which (5.49) follows immediately.

We next estimate $\partial_t q'$. Taking the time derivative of the first equation of (2.59) yields

$$i\partial_t \partial_t q' = H\partial_t q' + (\partial_t H)q' - \partial_t \widetilde{R}_1 \tag{5.57}$$

with

$$\partial_t H = i(\partial_t K)\cdot\nabla_K + (i/2)(\partial_t \nabla\cdot s) + \partial_t \check{B}_S \tag{5.58}$$

so that

$$|\partial_t \parallel \partial_t q' \parallel_2| \ \le \parallel (\partial_t H) q' \parallel_2 \ + \ \parallel \partial_t \widetilde{R}_1 \parallel_2 \ ,$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\parallel (\partial_t H) q' \parallel_2 \le \parallel \partial_t K_a \parallel_\infty \parallel \nabla_K q' \parallel_2 \ + \ \parallel \partial_t L \parallel_6 \parallel \nabla_K q' \parallel_3 \\ &+ \Big(\parallel \partial_t \nabla\cdot s_a \parallel_\infty \ + \ \parallel \partial_t \check{B}_{aS} \parallel_\infty \Big) \parallel q' \parallel_2 \ + \ \Big(\parallel \partial_t \nabla\cdot\sigma \parallel_6 \ + \ \parallel \partial_t \check{G}_S \parallel_6 \Big) \parallel q' \parallel_3 \ , \end{aligned}$$

from which (5.50) follows by the use of (A2) (5.46) (5.47) and a covariant Sobolev inequality.

We next estimate $x\partial_t q'$. From (5.55) (5.57) we obtain

$$i\partial_t x \partial_t q' = \nabla_K \partial_t q' + H x \partial_t q' + x(\partial_t H) q' - x\partial_t \widetilde{R}_1 \tag{5.59}$$

so that

$$\partial_t \parallel x\partial_t q' \parallel_2 \le \parallel \nabla_K \partial_t q' \parallel_2 \ + \ \parallel x(\partial_t H) q' \parallel_2 \ + \ \parallel x \partial_t \widetilde{R}_1 \parallel_2$$

from which (5.51) follows by the same estimates as before, with $\nabla_K q'$ and q' replaced by $x\nabla_K q'$ and xq' respectively.

We next estimate $\nabla_K \partial_t q'$. Taking the covariant gradient of (5.57) yields

$$\begin{aligned} i\partial_t \nabla_K \partial_t q' \ &= \ \Big(\partial_t K + \nabla \check{B}_S\Big)\partial_t q' - (1/2)\nabla_K \Delta_K \partial_t q' + \check{B}_S \nabla_K \partial_t q' \\ &\quad + \nabla_K (\partial_t H) q' - \nabla_K \partial_t \widetilde{R}_1 \end{aligned} \tag{5.60}$$

so that

$$\partial_t \parallel \nabla_K \partial_t q' \parallel_2 \le \parallel \left(\partial_t K + \nabla \check{B}_S \right) \partial_t q' \parallel_2 \ + \ \parallel \nabla_K (\partial_t H) q' \parallel_2 \ + \ \parallel \nabla_K \partial_t \widetilde{R}_1 \parallel_2 \tag{5.61}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_K (\partial_t H) q' \ &= \ i(\partial_t K) \cdot \nabla_K^2 q' + \left(i(\nabla \partial_t K) + (i/2)(\partial_t \nabla \cdot s) + (\partial_t \check{B}_S) \right) \cdot \nabla_K q' \\ &+ \left((i/2)(\nabla \partial_t \nabla \cdot s) + (\nabla \partial_t \check{B}_S) \right) q' \ . \end{aligned}$$

We estimate the various terms of (5.61) successively.

$$\begin{gathered} \parallel (\partial_t K + \nabla \check{B}_S) \partial_t q' \parallel_2 \le \parallel \partial_t K_a + \nabla \check{B}_{aS} \parallel_\infty \parallel \partial_t q' \parallel_2 \\ + \ \parallel \partial_t L + \nabla \check{G}_S \parallel_6 \parallel \partial_t q' \parallel_3 \le C \left(t^{-1} \parallel \partial_t q' \parallel_2 \ + t^{-3/4} \parallel \partial_t q' \parallel_3 \right) \ , \end{gathered}$$

$$\parallel (\partial_t K) \nabla_K^2 q' \parallel_2 \le C \left(t^{-1} \parallel \nabla_K^2 q' \parallel_2 \ + t^{-3/4} \parallel \nabla_K^2 q' \parallel_3 \right) \ ,$$

$$\begin{gathered} \parallel (\nabla \partial_t K) \nabla_K q' \parallel_2 \ + \ \parallel (\partial_t \nabla \cdot s) \nabla_K q' \parallel_2 \ + \ \parallel (\partial_t \check{B}_S) \nabla_K q' \parallel_2 \\ \le \ \left(\parallel \nabla \partial_t K_a \parallel_\infty \ + \ \parallel \partial_t \nabla \cdot s_a \parallel_\infty \ + \ \parallel \partial_t \check{B}_{aS} \parallel_\infty \right) \parallel \nabla_K q' \parallel_2 \\ + \ \parallel \nabla \partial_t L \parallel_2 \parallel \nabla_K q' \parallel_\infty \ + \ \left(\parallel \partial_t \nabla \cdot \sigma \parallel_6 \ + \ \parallel \partial_t \check{G}_S \parallel_6 \right) \parallel \nabla_K q' \parallel_3 \\ \le \ \left(b_0 \, t^{-2} + C \, t^{-3/2} \right) \parallel \nabla_K q' \parallel_2 \ + C \, t^{-3/4} \parallel \nabla_K q' \parallel_\infty \ , \end{gathered}$$

$$\begin{gathered} \parallel (\nabla \partial_t \nabla \cdot s) q' \parallel_2 \ + \ \parallel (\nabla \partial_t \check{B}_S) q' \parallel_2 \\ \le \parallel \nabla \partial_t \nabla \cdot s_a \parallel_3 \parallel q' \parallel_6 \ + \ \parallel \nabla \partial_t \check{B}_{aS} \parallel_\infty \parallel q' \parallel_2 \\ + \ \left(\parallel \nabla \partial_t \nabla \cdot \sigma \parallel_2 \ + \ \parallel \nabla \partial_t \check{G}_S \parallel_2 \right) \parallel q' \parallel_\infty \\ \le \ C \left(t^{-5/4} \parallel q' \parallel_\infty \ + t^{-2} \parallel q' \parallel_2 \right) \end{gathered}$$

by (A2) and (5.46) (5.47), and by eliminating some intermediate norms of $\nabla_K q'$ and q' by the Hölder inequality. Note also that in the contribution of $\nabla \partial_t K_a \cdot \nabla_K q'$, the t^{-2} decay comes only from B_0, so that the corresponding term appears with a coefficient b_0 instead of a more general constant C. Substituting the previous estimates into (5.61) yields (5.52).

We next estimate $< x > \Delta_K q'$ and $\nabla_K \Delta_K q'$. From (2.59) we obtain immediately

$$\parallel < x > \Delta_K q' \parallel_2 \le \parallel < x > \partial_t q' \parallel_2 \ + \ \parallel \check{B}_S \parallel_\infty \parallel < x > q' \parallel_2 \ + \parallel < x > \widetilde{R}_1 \parallel_2 \ ,$$

$$\| \nabla_K \Delta_K q' \|_2 \leq \| \nabla_K \partial_t q' \|_2 \ + \ \| \check{B}_S \|_\infty \| \nabla_K q' \|_2 \ + \| (\nabla \check{B}_S) q' \|_2 \ + \ \| \nabla_K \widetilde{R}_1 \|_2 \ .$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} \| (\nabla \check{B}_S) q' \|_2 \ &\leq \ \| \nabla \check{B}_{aS} \|_\infty \| q' \|_2 \ + \| \nabla \check{G}_S) \|_3 \| q' \|_6 \\ &\leq \ C \left(t^{-1} \| q' \|_2 \ + t^{-1/2} \| \nabla_K q' \|_2 \right) \ . \end{aligned}$$

by (A2) (5.45) (5.46). This implies (5.53) (5.54).

□

In order to estimate q', we shall need in addition some estimates relating covariant and noncovariant derivatives. The following lemma holds pointwise in time and does not require other assumption than finiteness of the norms occurring in the estimates.

Lemma 5.5. *We define*

$$m = \| K \|_\infty^2 \ + \ \| \nabla \cdot \sigma \|_3^2 \ + \ \| \nabla \cdot s_a \|_\infty \ + \ \| \nabla \cdot \sigma \|_3 \ , \qquad (5.62)$$

$$\overline{m} = \| K \|_\infty^2 \ + \ \| \nabla L \|_3^2 \ + \ \| \nabla K_a \|_\infty \ . \qquad (5.63)$$

Then the following estimates hold :

$$\begin{aligned} \| < x > \nabla_K v \|_3 \leq \| < x > \nabla v \|_2^{1/2} \left(\| < x > \Delta v \|_2 \ + \ \| \nabla v \|_2 \right)^{1/2} \\ + \| K \|_\infty \| < x > v \|_2^{1/2} \left(\| < x > \nabla v \|_2 \ + \ \| v \|_2 \right)^{1/2} \ , \end{aligned} \qquad (5.64)$$

$$\| \nabla_K v \|_\infty \leq \| \nabla \Delta v \|_2^{1/2} \left(\| \Delta v \|_2 \ + \ \| K \|_\infty \| v \|_2 \right)^{1/2} \ , \qquad (5.65)$$

$$\| < x > \Delta_K v \|_r \leq \| < x > \Delta v \|_r \ + m \| < x > v \|_r \ , \qquad (5.66)$$

$$\| < x > \Delta v \|_r \leq \| < x > \Delta_K v \|_r \ + m \| < x > v \|_r \ , \qquad (5.67)$$

$$\| \nabla_K^2 v \|_r \leq \| \Delta v \|_r \ + \overline{m} \| v \|_r \qquad (5.68)$$

for $2 \leq r \leq 3$,

$$\begin{aligned} \| \nabla \Delta v \|_2 \leq \| \nabla_K \Delta_K v \|_2 \ + \left(\| \nabla \nabla \cdot s_a \|_3 \ + \ \| \nabla K_a \|_\infty \right) \| \nabla v \|_2 \\ + \left(\| K \|_\infty^3 \ + \ \| \nabla L \|_3^3 \ + \ \| K \|_\infty \| \nabla K_a \|_\infty \ + \ \| \nabla \nabla \cdot \sigma \|_2^2 \right) \| v \|_2 \ . \end{aligned} \qquad (5.69)$$

Proof. The proof uses Hölder and Sobolev inequalities.
(5.64). We estimate

$$\| < x > \nabla_K v \|_3 \leq \| < x > \nabla v \|_3 \ + \ \| K \|_\infty \| < x > v \|_3 \ ,$$

$$\| < x > \nabla v \|_3 \le \| < x > \nabla v \|_2^{1/2} \left(\| < x > \Delta v \|_2 \ + \ \| \nabla v \|_2 \right)^{1/2} \ ,$$

$$\| < x > v \|_3 \le \| < x > v \|_2^{1/2} \left(\| < x > \nabla v \|_2 \ + \ \| v \|_2 \right)^{1/2} \ ,$$

from which (5.64) follows.

(5.65). We estimate similarly

$$\| \nabla_K v \|_\infty \le \| \nabla v \|_\infty \ + \ \| K \|_\infty \| v \|_\infty \ ,$$

$$\| \nabla v \|_\infty \le \| \nabla \Delta v \|_2^{1/2} \| \Delta v \|_2^{1/2} \ ,$$

$$\| v \|_\infty \le \| \nabla \Delta v \|_2^{1/2} \| v \|_2^{1/2} \ ,$$

from which (5.65) follows.

(5.66) (5.67). We expand and estimate

$$\Delta_K v = \Delta v - 2iK \cdot \nabla v - i(\nabla \cdot K) v - K^2 v \ ,$$

$$\left| \ \| < x > \Delta_K v \|_r \ - \ \| < x > \Delta v \|_r \right| \ \le \| K \|_\infty \| < x > \nabla v \|_r$$
$$+ \ \| \nabla \cdot L \|_3 \| < x > v \|_{r_1} \ + \ \left(\| \nabla \cdot K_a \|_\infty \ + \ \| K \|_\infty^2 \right) \| < x > v \|_r \tag{5.70}$$

with $2 \le r \le 3$ and $1/r_1 = 1/r - 1/3$. We next estimate

$$\| < x > v \|_{r_1} \ \vee \ \| < x > \nabla v \|_r \le \| < x > v \|_r \ + \ \| < x > v \|_r^{1/2} \| < x > \Delta v \|_r^{1/2}$$

so that (5.70) can be continued as

$$\cdots \le \ \left(\| K \|_\infty \ + \ \| \nabla \cdot L \|_3 \right) \| < x > v \|_r^{1/2} \| < x > \Delta v \|_r^{1/2}$$
$$+ \ \left(\| \nabla \cdot L \|_3 \ + \ \| \nabla \cdot K_a \|_\infty \ + \ \| K \|_\infty^2 \right) \| < x > v \|_r$$

from which (5.66) (5.67) follow by elementary algebraic manipulations.

(5.68). We expand and estimate similarly

$$\nabla_K^2 v = \nabla^2 v - 2iK \nabla v - i(\nabla K) v - K^2 v \ ,$$

$$\| \nabla_K^2 v \|_r \le \| \Delta v \|_r \ + \ \| K \|_\infty \| \nabla v \|_r \ + \ \| \nabla L \|_3 \| v \|_{r_1}$$
$$+ \left(\| \nabla K_a \|_\infty \ + \ \| K \|_\infty^2 \right) \| v \|_r$$

from which (5.68) follows by the same computation as before.

(5.69). We expand and estimate similarly

$$\nabla_K \Delta_K v = (\nabla - iK) \left(\Delta - 2iK \cdot \nabla - i(\nabla \cdot K) - K^2 \right) v$$

$$= \nabla \Delta v - iK\Delta v - 2iK \cdot \nabla^2 v - 2i(\nabla K) \cdot \nabla v - 2K^2 \cdot \nabla v - i(\nabla \cdot K)\nabla v$$

$$-i(\nabla\nabla \cdot K)v - K(\nabla \cdot K)v - K^2 \nabla v - 2K \cdot (\nabla K)v + iK^3 v \ ,$$

$$\| \nabla_K \Delta_K v - \nabla \Delta v \|_2 \le \ 3 \parallel K \parallel_\infty \parallel \Delta v \parallel_2 \ + 3 \parallel (\nabla K)\nabla v \parallel_2$$

$$+ 3 \parallel K \parallel_\infty^2 \parallel \nabla v \parallel_2 \ + \ \parallel (\nabla\nabla \cdot K)v \parallel_2 \ + 3 \parallel K \parallel_\infty \parallel (\nabla K)v \parallel_2 \ + \ \parallel K \parallel_\infty^3 \parallel v \parallel_2$$

$$\le \ (\parallel K \parallel_\infty \ + \ \parallel \nabla L \parallel_3) \parallel \Delta v \parallel_2 \ + \ \parallel K \parallel_\infty (\parallel K \parallel_\infty \ + \ \parallel \nabla L \parallel_3) \parallel \nabla v \parallel_2$$

$$+ \ \parallel \nabla K_a \parallel_\infty \parallel \nabla v \parallel_2 + \ \parallel \nabla\nabla \cdot K_a \parallel_3 \parallel \nabla v \parallel_2 \ + \ \parallel \nabla\nabla \cdot L \parallel_2 \parallel v \parallel_\infty$$

$$+ \ \parallel K \parallel_\infty^3 \parallel v \parallel_2 \ + \ \parallel K \parallel_\infty \parallel \nabla K_a \parallel_\infty \parallel v \parallel_2 \ \ .$$

Now,

$$\parallel \Delta v \parallel_2 \le \parallel \nabla \Delta v \parallel_2^{2/3} \parallel v \parallel_2^{1/3} \ ,$$

$$\parallel \nabla v \parallel_2 \le \parallel \nabla \Delta v \parallel_2^{1/3} \parallel v \parallel_2^{2/3} \ ,$$

$$\parallel v \parallel_\infty \le \parallel \nabla \Delta v \parallel_2^{1/2} \parallel v \parallel_2^{1/2} \ \ .$$

The previous estimates imply (5.69) by elementary algebraic manipulations.

□

From now on we shall work with (q, G_2) in a bounded set of $X(I)$ for $I = (0, \tau]$, so that (q, G_2) satisfies

$$\| < x > q(t) \parallel_2 \le Y_0 \ h(t) \ , \tag{5.71}$$

$$\| < x > \partial_t q(t) \parallel_2 \ \vee \ \| < x > \Delta q(t) \parallel_2 \le Y_2 \ t^{-1} \ h(t) \ , \tag{5.72}$$

$$\parallel \nabla \partial_t q(t) \parallel_2 \ \vee \ \parallel \nabla \Delta q(t) \parallel_2 \le Y_3 \ t^{-3/2} \ h(t) \ , \tag{5.73}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\parallel \nabla G_2(t) \parallel_2 \ \vee t \left(\parallel \nabla^2 G_2(t) \parallel_2 \ \vee \ \parallel \nabla \partial_t G_2(t) \parallel_2 \ \vee \ \parallel \nabla \check{G}_2(t) \parallel_2 \right) \\ &\vee \ t^2 \left(\parallel \nabla^2 \check{G}_2(t) \parallel_2 \ \vee \ \parallel \nabla \partial_t \check{G}_2(t) \parallel_2 \right) \ \le Z \ t^{1/2} \ h(t) \end{aligned} \tag{5.74}$$

for some constants Y_0, Y_2, Y_3, Z and for all $t \in I$, with h defined in Section 3. Note that from

$$\| < x > q \parallel_2 \le \ \int_0^t dt' \ \| < x > \partial_t q \parallel_2$$

it follows that $Y_0 \le Y_2$. It follows also from (5.71)-(5.73) that

$$\| <x> \nabla q(t) \|_2 \ \vee \ t^{-1/2} \ \| <x> q(t) \|_2 \le Y_1 \ t^{-1/2} \ h(t) \tag{5.75}$$

for some constant $Y_1 \le Y_2$.

For (q, G_2) satisfying (5.71)-(5.74), the estimates of Lemmas 5.1-3 imply estimates of G_1, σ, G'_2 and H_1 in terms of Y_j, $0 \le j \le 3$, and Z.

Furthermore, the asymptotic region conditions (5.33) (5.45)-(5.47) can be expressed in terms of Y_j, $0 \le j \le 3$, and Z, and the integrals I_j occurring in Lemmas 5.1-3 are convergent. We collect the estimates thereby obtained in the following lemma.

Lemma 5.6. *Let $1/4 \le \beta < 3/4$, let $0 < \tau \le \tau_0$ and $I = (0, \tau]$. Let w_a, K_a and the remainders satisfy the assumptions (A1) (A2) (A.3). Let $(q, G_2) \in X(I)$ satisfy the conditions (5.71)-(5.74), and let τ be sufficiently small so that (5.13) holds and that*

$$(Y_2 + r_5) h \le t^{3/4} \wedge t^{-1/4 + 2\beta} \qquad , \quad Z \ h \le t^{3/4} \tag{5.76}$$

for all $t \in I$, where $r_5 = r_2 + r_3$. Then the following estimates hold for all $t \in I$:

$$\| \nabla G_1 \|_2 \ \vee t \ \| \nabla \check{G}_1 \|_2 \le C \, (Y_0 + r_3) \, h \ , \tag{5.77}$$

$$\| \nabla^2 G_1 \|_2 \ \vee t \ \| \nabla^2 \check{G}_1 \|_2 \le C \, (Y_1 + r_3) \, t^{-1/2} \ h \ , \tag{5.78}$$

$$\| \nabla \partial_t G_1 \|_2 \ \vee t \ \| \nabla \partial_t \check{G}_1 \|_2 \le C \, (Y_2 + r_3) \, t^{-1} \ h \ , \tag{5.79}$$

$$\| \nabla^k \sigma \|_2 \ \vee t \ \| \nabla^k \partial_t \sigma \|_2 \le C \, (Y_0 + r_5) \, t^{-k\beta} \ h \quad \textit{for } k = \textit{0, 1} \ , \tag{5.80}$$

$$\| \nabla^2 \sigma \|_2 \ \vee t \ \| \nabla^2 \partial_t \sigma \|_2 \le C \, (Y_1 + r_5) \, t^{-2\beta} \ h \ , \tag{5.81}$$

$$\| \nabla G'_2 \|_2 \ \vee t \ \| \nabla \check{G}'_2 \|_2 \le \ \Big\{ C \Big((Y_0 + r_5) t^{1/2} (1 - \ell n \ t) + Z t \Big) + r_4 \Big\} \, t^{1/2} \ h \ , \tag{5.82}$$

$$\| \nabla^2 G'_2 \|_2 \ \vee t \ \| \nabla^2 \check{G}'_2 \|_2 \le \ \Big\{ C \Big((Y_1 + r_5) t^{1/2} + Z t^2 \Big) + r_4 \Big\} \, t^{-1/2} \ h \ , \tag{5.83}$$

$$\| \nabla \partial_t G'_2 \|_2 \ \vee t \ \| \nabla \partial_t \check{G}'_2 \|_2 \le \ \Big\{ C \Big((Y_2 + r_5) t^{1/2} (1 - \ell n \ t) + Z t \Big) + r_4 \Big\} \, t^{-1/2} \ h \ , \tag{5.84}$$

$$\| <x> H_1 w_a \|_2 \le C \Big\{ (Y_0 + r_5)(t^{-\beta} + t^{-1+\beta}) + Z \ t^{-1/2} \Big\} \, h \ , \tag{5.85}$$

$$\| <x> H_1 \partial_t w_a \|_2 \le C \Big\{ (Y_1 + r_5)(t^{-3\beta/2} + t^{-1+\beta/2}) + Z \ t^{-1/2} \Big\} \, t^{-1/2} \ h \ , \tag{5.86}$$

$$\| <x> (\partial_t H_1) w_a \|_2 \le C \Big\{ (Y_2 + r_5)(t^{-\beta} + t^{-1+\beta}) + Z \ t^{-1/2} \Big\} \, t^{-1} \ h \ , \tag{5.87}$$

$$\| \nabla_K H_1 w_a \|_2 \le C \Big\{ (Y_1 + r_5)(t^{-2\beta} + t^{-1}) + Z \ t^{-1/2} (1 - \ell n \ t) \Big\} \, h \ , \tag{5.88}$$

$$\| \nabla_K H_1 \partial_t w_a \|_2 \le C \left\{ (Y_1 + r_5)(t^{-2\beta} + t^{-1}) + Z \ t^{-1/2}(1 - \ell n \ t) \right\} t^{-1/2} \ h \ , \quad (5.89)$$

$$\| \nabla_K (\partial_t H_1) w_a \|_2 \le C \left\{ (Y_2 + r_5)(t^{-2\beta} + t^{-1}) + Z \ t^{-1/2}(1 - \ell n \ t) \right\} t^{-1} \ h \ . \quad (5.90)$$

Proof. The estimates (5.77)-(5.90) are obtained by substituting the bounds (5.71)-(5.74) on (q, G_2) into the estimates of Lemmas 5.1-3. Substituting (5.74) and (5.77)-(5.81) into (5.33) (5.45)-(5.47) then shows that the latter conditions follow from (5.76).

□

We now turn to the construction of solutions (q', G_2') of the linearized system (2.59). We consider (q, G_2) belonging to a bounded set of $X((0, \tau])$, defined by (5.71)-(5.74) for some τ, $0 < \tau \le \tau_0$. We shall deal with solutions (q', G_2') of the system (2.59) defined in an interval $I = [t_0, \tau] \cap (0, \tau]$ for some t_0 with $0 \le t_0 < \tau$. We shall need to estimate (q', G_2') in $X(I)$ and for that purpose we define the relevant norms

$$Y_0' = \mathop{\mathrm{Sup}}_{t \in I} \ h(t)^{-1} \ \| < x > q'(t) \|_2 \ , \quad (5.91)$$

$$Y_2' = \mathop{\mathrm{Sup}}_{t \in I} \ t \ h(t)^{-1} \left(\| < x > \partial_t q'(t) \|_2 \ \vee \ \| < x > \Delta q'(t) \|_2 \right) \ , \quad (5.92)$$

$$Y_3' = \mathop{\mathrm{Sup}}_{t \in I} \ t^{3/2} \ h(t)^{-1} \left(\| \nabla \partial_t q'(t) \|_2 \ \vee \ \| \nabla \Delta q'(t) \|_2 \right) \ , \quad (5.93)$$

$$Z' = \mathop{\mathrm{Sup}}_{t \in I} \ t^{-1/2} \ h(t)^{-1} \Big\{ \| \nabla G_2'(t) \|_2 \ \vee t \Big(\| \nabla^2 G_2'(t) \|_2 \ \vee \ \| \nabla \partial_t G_2'(t) \|_2$$
$$\vee \ \| \nabla \check{G}_2'(t) \|_2 \Big) \ \vee \ t^2 \left(\| \nabla^2 \check{G}_2'(t) \|_2 \ \vee \ \| \nabla \partial_t \check{G}_2'(t) \|_2 \right) \Big\} \ . \quad (5.94)$$

For technical reasons, we shall also need the following auxiliary norms :

$$Y_1' = \mathop{\mathrm{Sup}}_{t \in I} \ t^{1/2} \ h(t)^{-1} \ \| < x > \nabla q'(t) \|_2 \ , \quad (5.95)$$

$$\widetilde{Y}_1' = \mathop{\mathrm{Sup}}_{t \in I} \ t^{1/2} \ h(t)^{-1} \ \| < x > \nabla_K q'(t) \|_2 \ , \quad (5.96)$$

$$\widetilde{Y}_{3/2}' = \mathop{\mathrm{Sup}}_{t \in I} \ t^{3/4} \ h(t)^{-1} \ \| < x > \nabla_K q'(t) \|_3 \ , \quad (5.97)$$

$$Y_{3/2}' = \mathop{\mathrm{Sup}}_{t \in I} \ t^{3/4} \ h(t)^{-1} \ \| \ q'(t) \|_\infty \ , \quad (5.98)$$

$$Y_{2,t}' = \mathop{\mathrm{Sup}}_{t \in I} \ t \ h(t)^{-1} \ \| < x > \partial_t q'(t) \|_2 \ , \quad (5.99)$$

$$\widetilde{Y}_{2,x}' = \mathop{\mathrm{Sup}}_{t \in I} \ t \ h(t)^{-1} \ \| < x > \Delta_K q'(t) \|_2 \ , \quad (5.100)$$

$$\widetilde{Y}'_2 = Y'_{2,t} \vee \widetilde{Y}'_{2,x} \ , \tag{5.101}$$

$$\widetilde{Y}''_2 = Y'_{2,t} \vee \operatorname*{Sup}_{t\in I} \ t \ h(t)^{-1} \parallel \nabla_K^2 q'(t) \parallel_2 \ , \tag{5.102}$$

$$\widetilde{Y}'_{5/2} = \operatorname*{Sup}_{t\in I} \ t^{5/4} \ h(t)^{-1} \left(\parallel \partial_t q' \parallel_3 \ \vee \ \parallel \nabla_K q' \parallel_\infty \ \vee \ \parallel \nabla_K^2 q' \parallel_3 \right) \ , \tag{5.103}$$

$$\widetilde{Y}'_{3,t} = \operatorname*{Sup}_{t\in I} \ t^{3/2} \ h(t)^{-1} \parallel \nabla_K \partial_t q'(t) \parallel_2 \ , \tag{5.104}$$

$$\widetilde{Y}'_{3,x} = \operatorname*{Sup}_{t\in I} \ t^{3/2} \ h(t)^{-1} \parallel \nabla_K \Delta_K q'(t) \parallel_2 \ , \tag{5.105}$$

$$\widetilde{Y}'_3 = \widetilde{Y}'_{3,t} \vee \widetilde{Y}'_{3,x} \ . \tag{5.106}$$

We shall also need the following norms of $\widetilde{R}_1 = R_1 - H_1 w_a$:

$$N_0 = \operatorname*{Sup}_{t\in(0,\tau]} \ h(t)^{-1} \int_0^t dt' \ \parallel < x > \widetilde{R}_1(t') \parallel_2 \ , \tag{5.107}$$

$$N_2 = \operatorname*{Sup}_{t\in(0,\tau]} \ t \ h(t)^{-1} \int_0^t dt' \ \parallel < x > \partial_t \widetilde{R}_1(t') \parallel_2 \ , \tag{5.108}$$

$$N_3 = \operatorname*{Sup}_{t\in(0,\tau]} \ t^{3/2} \ h(t)^{-1} \left\{ \parallel \nabla_K \widetilde{R}_1(t) \parallel_2 \ \vee \ \int_0^t dt' \parallel \nabla_K \partial_t \widetilde{R}_1(t') \parallel_2 \right\} \tag{5.109}$$

The N_i, $i = 0, 2, 3$ are finite and are estimated in the following lemma.

Lemma 5.7. *Let the assumptions of Lemma 5.6 be satisfied. Then the following estimates hold :*

$$N_0 \le N_2 \le r_1 + C \left\{ (Y_2 + r_5)(\tau^{1-\beta} + \tau^\beta) + Z \ \tau^{1/2} \right\} \ , \tag{5.110}$$

$$N_3 \le r_1 \left(1 + C \ \tau^{1/2} (1 - \ell n \ \tau) \right) + C \left\{ (Y_2 + r_5)(\tau^{3/2-2\beta} + \tau^{1/2}) + Z \ \tau (1 - \ell n \ \tau) \right\} \ . \tag{5.111}$$

Proof. The contribution of $H_1 w_a$ is estimated by Lemma 5.6, especially (5.85)-(5.90), under the condition $\beta \le 3/4$. The contribution of R_1 is estimated by the assumption (A3), especially (5.8) (5.9), except for the contribution of K to $\nabla_K \partial_t R_1$ in N_3. That contribution is estimated by

$$\int_0^t dt' \parallel K \partial_t R_1(t') \parallel_2 \le C \int_0^t dt' (1 - \ell n \ t') \parallel \partial_t R_1(t') \parallel_2$$

$$\le C \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \int_{Ij} dt' (1 - \ell n \ t') \parallel \partial_t R_1(t') \parallel_2$$

where $I_j = [t2^{-(j+1)}, t2^{-j}]$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq C\ r_1 \sum_j (1 - \ell n\ t + (j+1)\ell n\ 2)\, t^{-1}\ 2^j\ h(t\ 2^{-j}) \\
&\leq C\ r_1 \sum_j (1 - \ell n\ t + (j+1)\ell n\ 2)\, t^{1/2}\ 2^{-j/2}\ \overline{h}(t\ 2^{-j}) \\
&\leq C\ r_1\ t^{-1}\ h(t) \sum_j (1 - \ell n\ t + (j+1)\ell n\ 2)\, 2^{-j/2} \\
&\leq C\ r_1 (1 - \ell n\ t) t^{-1}\ h(t)
\end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof of (5.111).

□

We can now state the existence result of solutions of the linearized system (2.59).

Proposition 5.1. *Let* $1/4 \leq \beta < 3/4$, *let* $0 < \tau \leq \tau_0$ *and* $I = (0, \tau]$. *Let* w_a, K_a *and the remainders satisfy the assumptions (A1) (A2) (A3) and let* B_0 *satisfy (3.32) for* $0 \leq j, k \leq 1$ *and* $r = \infty$. *Let* $(q, G_2) \in X(I)$, *satisfying the bounds (5.71)-(5.74). Then, for* τ *sufficiently small, there exists a unique solution* (q', G'_2) *of the system (2.59) in* $X(I)$, *and that solution is estimated in the norms* Y'_0, Y'_2, Y'_3, Z' *defined by (5.91)-(5.94) by*

$$Y'_0 \leq N_0 + N_2\tau + N_3\tau^{3/2}\ , \tag{5.112}$$

$$Y'_2 \leq N_2 + N_3\tau^{1/2} + C\ N_0\tau^{1/2}\ , \tag{5.113}$$

$$Y'_3 \leq N_3 + b_0(N_0N_2)^{1/2} + C\ N_0\tau^{1/4} + C\ N_2\tau^{1/2}(1 - \ell n\ \tau)\ , \tag{5.114}$$

$$Z' \leq C\left((Y_2 + r_5)\tau^{1/2}(1 - \ell n\ \tau) + Z\tau\right) + r_4\ . \tag{5.115}$$

Proof. The existence of G'_2 defined in the same interval as (q, G_2) and the estimate (5.115) follow from the explicit expression in (2.59), from the estimates (5.82)-(5.84) of Lemma 5.6 and from the definition (5.94) of Z'.

We now consider the case of q'. We first take τ sufficiently small to satisfy the conditions (5.13) and (5.76) of Lemma 5.6. Let $0 < t_0 < \tau$ and let q'_{t_0} be the solution of the Schrödinger equation in (2.59) with initial condition $q'_{t_0}(t_0) = 0$ obtained by Proposition 4.1. We shall construct q' as the limit of q'_{t_0} as t_0 tends to zero and for that purpose we need estimates of q'_{t_0} in $X(I)$ with $I = [t_0, \tau]$ that are uniform in t_0. Those estimates make an essential use of Lemmas 5.4 and 5.5. In all the computation we omit the subscript t_0 for brevity and we use the definitions (5.91)-(5.106) with $I = [t_0, \tau]$.

We integrate successively (5.48)-(5.52) in $[t_0, t]$. Integrating (5.48) (5.49) yields

$$\parallel < x > q'(t) \parallel_2 \ \leq \widetilde{Y}'_1 \int_{t_0}^{t} dt' \ t'^{-1/2} \ h(t') + \int_{t_0}^{t} dt' \parallel < x > \widetilde{R}_1(t') \parallel_2$$

so that

$$Y'_0 \leq \widetilde{Y}'_1 \ \tau^{1/2} + N_0 \ . \qquad (5.116)$$

Integrating (5.50) (5.51) with $\partial_t q'(t_0) = i\widetilde{R}_1(t_0)$ yields

$$\begin{aligned} \parallel < x > \partial_t q'(t) \parallel_2 \ &\leq \ \left(\widetilde{Y}'_{3,t} + C(\widetilde{Y}'_1 + \widetilde{Y}'_{3/2} + Y'_0) \right) \int_{t_0}^{t} dt' \ t'^{-3/2} \ h(t') \\ &+ \ \parallel < x > \widetilde{R}_1(t_0) \parallel_2 \ + \ \int_{t_0}^{t} dt' \parallel < x > \partial_t \widetilde{R}_1(t') \parallel_2 \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$Y'_{2,t} \leq \widetilde{Y}'_{3,t} \ \tau^{1/2} + C \left(\widetilde{Y}'_1 + \widetilde{Y}'_{3/2} + Y'_0 \right) \tau^{1/2} + N_2 \ .$$

Finally integrating (5.52) yields

$$\begin{aligned} &\parallel \nabla_K \partial_t q'(t) \parallel_2 \ \leq b_0 \ \widetilde{Y}'_1 \int_{t_0}^{t} dt' \ t'^{-5/2} \ h(t') \\ &+C \left(\widetilde{Y}''_2 + \widetilde{Y}'_{5/2} + \widetilde{Y}'_1 + Y'_{3/2} + Y'_0 \right) \int_{t_0}^{t} dt' \ t'^{-2} \ h(t') \\ &+ \ \parallel \nabla_K \widetilde{R}_1(t_0) \parallel_2 \ + \ \int_{t_0}^{t} dt' \ \parallel \nabla_K \partial_t \widetilde{R}_1(t') \parallel_2 \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$\widetilde{Y}'_{3,t} \leq b_0 \ \widetilde{Y}'_1 + C \left(\widetilde{Y}''_2 + \widetilde{Y}'_{5/2} + \widetilde{Y}'_1 + Y'_{3/2} + Y'_0 \right) \tau^{1/2} + N_3 \ .$$

From (5.53) (5.54), we then obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\widetilde{Y}'_{2,x} \leq Y'_{2,t} + C \ Y'_0 \tau^{1/2} + N_0 \ , \\ &\widetilde{Y}'_{3,x} \leq \widetilde{Y}'_{3,t} + C \left(\widetilde{Y}'_1 + Y'_0 \right) \tau^{1/2} + N_3 \ , \end{aligned}$$

so that $\widetilde{Y}'_2$ and $\widetilde{Y}'_3$ satisfy the same estimates as $Y'_{2,t}$, $\widetilde{Y}'_{3,t}$, namely

$$\widetilde{Y}'_2 \leq \widetilde{Y}'_{3,t} \ \tau^{1/2} + C \left(\widetilde{Y}'_1 + \widetilde{Y}'_{3/2} + Y'_0 \right) \tau^{1/2} + N_2 \ , \qquad (5.117)$$

$$\widetilde{Y}'_3 = b_0 \ \widetilde{Y}'_1 + C \left(\widetilde{Y}''_2 + \widetilde{Y}'_{5/2} + \widetilde{Y}'_1 + Y'_{3/2} + Y'_0 \right) \tau^{1/2} + N_3 \ . \qquad (5.118)$$

The next step consists in eliminating the covariant derivatives in (5.116) (5.117) (5.118) by using the assumption (A2), Sobolev inequalities and Lemma 5.5. We first estimate

$$\widetilde{Y}'_1 \leq Y'_1 + C \ Y'_0 \ \tau^{1/2} (1 - \ell n \ \tau) \qquad (5.119)$$

so that (5.116) implies

$$Y_0' \leq Y_1' \ \tau^{1/2} + C \ Y_0' \ \tau(1 - \ell n \ \tau) + N_0 \ . \tag{5.120}$$

We next consider (5.117). We estimate

$$\widetilde{Y}_{3,t}' \leq Y_3' + C \ Y_2' \ \tau^{1/2}(1 - \ell n \ \tau) \ , \tag{5.121}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{Y}_{3/2}' &\leq \left(Y_1' \left(Y_2' + Y_1' \ \tau^{1/2}\right)\right)^{1/2} + C \left(Y_0' \left(Y_1' + Y_0' \ \tau^{1/2}\right)\right)^{1/2} \ \tau^{1/2}(1 - \ell n \ \tau) \\ &\leq \left(Y_1'Y_2'\right)^{1/2} + C \left(Y_1' + Y_0' \ \tau^{1/2}\right) \tau^{1/4}(1 - \ell n \ \tau) \ , \end{aligned} \tag{5.122}$$

while

$$Y_2' \leq \widetilde{Y}_2' + C \ Y_0' \ \tau(1 - \ell n \ \tau)^2 \tag{5.123}$$

by (5.67) with $r = 2$ and $m \leq C(1 - \ell n \ \tau)^2$. Substituting (5.119) (5.121) (5.122) into (5.117) and substituting the result into (5.123) yields

$$Y_2' \leq Y_3' \ \tau^{1/2} + C \left(Y_2' \ \tau(1 - \ell n \ \tau) + \left(\left(Y_1'Y_2'\right)^{1/2} + Y_1' + Y_0'\right) \tau^{1/2}\right) + N_2 \ . \tag{5.124}$$

We next consider (5.118). We estimate successively

$$\widetilde{Y}_2'' \leq Y_2' + C \ Y_0' \tag{5.125}$$

by (5.68) with

$$\overline{m} \leq b_0 \ t^{-1} + C \ t^{-1/2} \leq C \ t^{-1} \ , \tag{5.126}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\parallel \partial_t q' \parallel_3 \leq \left(Y_2'Y_3'\right)^{1/2} \ t^{-5/4} \ h(t) \ , \\ &\parallel \nabla_K q' \parallel_\infty \leq \left(\left(Y_2'Y_3'\right)^{1/2} + C \left(Y_1' + Y_2'\right) t^{1/2}(1 - \ell n \ t)\right) t^{-5/4} \ h(t) \ , \\ &\parallel \nabla_K^2 q' \parallel_3 \leq \left(\left(Y_2'Y_3'\right)^{1/2} + C \left(Y_1' + Y_0'\right)\right) t^{-5/4} \ h(t) \ , \end{aligned}$$

by (5.68) with $r = 3$ and (5.126), so that

$$\widetilde{Y}_{5/2}' \leq \left(Y_2'Y_3'\right)^{1/2} + C \left(Y_2' \ \tau^{1/2}(1 - \ell n \ \tau) + Y_1' + Y_0'\right) \tag{5.127}$$

and finally

$$Y_{3/2}' \leq \left(Y_1'Y_2'\right)^{1/2} \leq Y_1' + Y_2' \ . \tag{5.128}$$

Substituting (5.119) (5.125) (5.127) (5.128) into (5.118) yields

$$\widetilde{Y}_3' \leq b_0 \ Y_1' + C \left(\left(Y_2'Y_3'\right)^{1/2} + Y_2' + Y_1' + Y_0'(1 - \ell n \ \tau)\right) \tau^{1/2} + N_3 \ . \tag{5.129}$$

Using (5.69) (5.126) (A2) (5.76) we next estimate

$$Y_3' \le \widetilde{Y}_3' + C\,(Y_2' + Y_0')\,\tau^{1/2}(1 - \ell n\ \tau) + \left(b_0 + C\ \tau^{1/2}\right) Y_1'$$

which together with (5.129) yields

$$Y_3' \le b_0\ Y_1' + C\left(\left(Y_2'Y_3'\right)^{1/2} + (Y_2' + Y_0')\,(1 - \ell n\ \tau) + Y_1'\right)\tau^{1/2} + N_3\ . \tag{5.130}$$

We next simplify the resulting inequalities (5.120) (5.124) (5.130) by using the inequality $Y_1' \le (Y_0'Y_2')^{1/2}$ to eliminate Y_1', the obvious inequality $Y_0' \le Y_2'$ at some harmless places, smallness conditions of the type $C\tau(1 - \ell n\ \tau) \le 1$ to eliminate the diagonal terms in Y_0' and Y_2' in (5.120) (5.124), and some elementary algebraic manipulations. We obtain

$$Y_0' \le Y_2'\ \tau + N_0\ , \tag{5.131}$$

$$Y_2' \le Y_3'\ \tau^{1/2} + CY_0'\ \tau^{1/2} + N_2\ , \tag{5.132}$$

$$Y_3' \le b_0(Y_0'Y_2')^{1/2} + CY_2'\ \tau^{1/2}(1 - \ell n\ \tau) + N_3\ . \tag{5.133}$$

Substituting (5.131) (5.133) into (5.132) yields

$$\begin{aligned} Y_2' \ \le\ & b_0\left(N_0Y_2'\right)^{1/2}\tau^{1/2} + CY_2'\tau(1 - \ell n\ \tau) + N_3\ \tau^{1/2} + C\ N_0\ \tau^{1/2} \\ & + C\ Y_2'\ \tau^{3/2} + N_2 \end{aligned}$$

which yields (5.113) under an additional smallness condition on τ. Substituting (5.113) into (5.131) (5.133) yields (5.112) (5.114).

We have derived the estimates (5.112) (5.113) (5.114) for the solution q'_{t_0} in the interval $[t_0, \tau]$. We now take the limit of that solution when t_0 tends to zero. Let $0 < t_0 \le t_1 \le \tau$. From the conservation of the L^2 norm for the difference of two solutions, it follows that

$$\parallel q'_{t_0}(t) - q'_{t_1}(t) \parallel_2 = \parallel q'_{t_0}(t_1) \parallel_2 \le C\ h(t_1) \tag{5.134}$$

where C is the right hand side of (5.112). Let now $I = (0, \tau]$. It follows from (5.134) that q'_{t_0} converges in $L^\infty_{loc}(I, L^2)$ to a limit $q' \in \mathcal{C}(I, L^2)$. From that convergence and from the uniform estimates (5.112)-(5.114) it follows that $q' \in \mathcal{C}(I, H^k) \cap (L^\infty \cap \mathcal{C}_w)(I, H^3)$ for $0 \le k < 3$, and that q'_{t_0} converges to q' in $L^\infty_{loc}(I, H^k)$ norm and weakly in H^3 pointwise in time. Similar properties hold for xq'. From the Schrödinger equation in (2.59) and the previous convergence, it follows that $\partial_t q'_{t_0}$ converges to

$\partial_t q'$ in $L^\infty_{loc}(I, L^2)$. From that convergence and from the uniform bounds (5.113) (5.114) it follows that $\partial_t q' \in \mathcal{C}(I, H^k) \cap (L^\infty \cap \mathcal{C}_w)(I, H^1)$ for $0 \le k' < 1$ and that $\partial_t q'_{t_0}$ converges to $\partial_t q'$ in $L^\infty_{loc}(I, H^k)$ norm and weakly in H^1 pointwise in time. Similar properties hold for $x\partial_t q'$. From the previous convergences and from the uniform estimates (5.112)-(5.114), it follows that q' satisfies the same estimates in I. Clearly q' is a solution of the Schrödinger equation in (2.59). Finally by the uniqueness part of Proposition 4.1, q' coincides with the solution obtained from that proposition with initial data $q'(\bar{t})$ for some (any) $\bar{t} \in I$, and therefore enjoys all the properties obtained in that proposition, so that $(q', 0) \in X(I)$.

□

We can now derive the main result of this section, namely the existence of solutions of the non linear system (2.54).

Proposition 5.2. *Let $1/4 \le \beta < 3/4$. Let w_a, K_a and the remainders satisfy the assumptions (A1) (A2) (A3) and let B_0 satisfy (3.32) for $0 \le j, k \le 1$ and $r = \infty$. Then there exists τ, $0 < \tau \le \tau_0$, and there exists a unique solution $(q, G_2) \in X((0, \tau])$ of the system (2.54). In particular (q, G_2) satisfies the estimates (5.71)-(5.74) for some constants Y_0, Y_2, Y_3 and Z depending on β, on w_a, K_a and on the remainders through the norms occuring in the assumptions (A1) (A2) (A3). The solution (q, G_2) is unique under the assumption that $(q, G_2) \in X_0(I)$ where $I = (0, \tau]$, and that (q, G_2) satisfy the following conditions :*

$$q \in L^\infty(I, H^3)\ ,\ xq \in L^\infty(I, H^2)\ ,\ t\partial_t q \in L^\infty(I, H^1)\ ,$$

$$\nabla G_2 \in L^\infty(I, H^1)\ ,\ t\nabla\partial_t G_2 \in L^\infty(I, L^2)\ ,\ t\nabla^2 \check{G}_2 \in L^\infty(I, L^2)\ ,$$

$$\| < x > q(t) \|_2 \le C\ h_1(t)$$

for all $t \in I$, for some h_1 satisfying the conditions of Proposition 4.2.

Proof. Let $0 < \tau \le \tau_0$ and $I = (0, \tau]$. For τ sufficiently small, Proposition 5.1 defines a map $\Gamma : (q, G_2) \to (q', G_2')$ from $X(I)$ into itself. We now show that for τ sufficiently small, the map Γ is a contraction on the subset $\mathcal{R}$ of $X(I)$ defined by (5.71)-(5.74) for a suitable choice of Y_0, Y_2, Y_3 and Z, in the norms associated with Lemma 4.1.

We first ensure that $\mathcal{R}$ is stable under Γ. From Proposition 5.1, especially (5.112)-(5.115) and from Lemma 5.7, especially (5.110) (5.111), it follows that Y_0', Y_2', Y_3'

and Z' defined by (5.91)-(5.94) satisfy

$$Y'_0 \le c\ r_1 + o(\tau; Y_2, Z) \tag{5.135}$$

$$Y'_2 \le c\ r_1 + o(\tau; Y_2, Z) \tag{5.136}$$

$$Y'_3 \le c\ r_1(1 + b_0) + o(\tau; Y_2, Z) \tag{5.137}$$

$$Z' \le r_4 + o(\tau; Y_2, Z) \tag{5.138}$$

where c is an absolute constant which was omitted in the previous estimates and which we reintroduce for the sake of the present argument, and where $o(\tau; Y_2, Z)$ denotes a function of τ, Y_2, Z increasing in Y_2, Z and tending to zero as a power of τ when τ tends to zero. We now choose

$$Y_0 = Y_2 = 2c\ r_1 \quad , \quad Y_3 = 2c\ r_1(1 + b_0) \quad , \quad Z = 2r_4 \tag{5.139}$$

and we take τ sufficiently small so that $o(\tau; 2cr_1, 2r_4) \le cr_1$ in (5.135)-(5.137) and $o(\tau; 2cr_1, 2r_4) \le r_4$ in (5.138). This ensures that $\mathcal{R}$ is stable under Γ.

We next show that Γ is a contraction on $\mathcal{R}$ for the norms occurring in Lemma 4.1, namely the L^2 norms of $< x > q$, ∇q, ∇G_2 and $\nabla \check{G}_2$. Let $(q_i, G_{2i}) \in \mathcal{R}$, $i = 1, 2$, and let (q'_i, G'_{2i}), $i = 1, 2$, be their images under Γ. We define $(q_\pm, G_{2\pm})$ and $(q'_\pm, G'_{2\pm})$ by $f_\pm = (1/2)(f_1 \pm f_2)$, so that in particular all those quantities belong to $\mathcal{R}$. We define the norms

$$Y_{0-} = \mathop{\rm Sup}_{t\in I}\ h(t)^{-1} \parallel < x > q_-(t) \parallel_2 \ , \tag{5.140}$$

$$Y_{1-} = \mathop{\rm Sup}_{t\in I}\ t^{1/2}\ h(t)^{-1} \parallel \nabla q_-(t) \parallel_2 \ , \tag{5.141}$$

$$Z_- = \mathop{\rm Sup}_{t\in I}\ t^{-1/2}\ h(t)^{-1} \left(\parallel \nabla G_{2-}(t) \parallel_2\ \vee t \parallel \nabla \check{G}_{2-}(t) \parallel_2 \right) \ , \tag{5.142}$$

and similarly for the primed quantities, and we estimate Y'_{0-}, Y'_{1-}, Z'_- in terms of Y_{0-}, Y_{1-}, Z_- by Lemma 4.1 with $(w_-, B_{1-}, s_-, B_{2-}) = (q_-, G_{1-}, \sigma_-, G_{2-})$, $(w_+, B_{1+}, s_+, B_{2+}) = (w_a, B_{1a}, s_a, B_{2a}) + (q_+, G_{1+}, \sigma_+, G_{2+})$ and similarly for the primed quantities. Note that the proof of Lemma 4.1 has to be slightly modified for the present application since B_{2a} does not satisfy the assumptions on B_2 made in that Lemma. It suffices to separate $B_{2+} = B_{2a} + G_{2+}$ in (4.90) (4.91) and to estimate B_{2a} in the same way as B_{1+}. Omitting the subscript $-$ in Y_{0-}, Y_{1-}, Z_- and in Y'_{0-}, Y'_{1-}, Z'_- for brevity, we obtain from Lemma 4.1

$$\parallel \nabla G_{1-} \parallel_2 \le C\ Y_0\ h \ ,$$

$$\parallel \nabla \check{G}_{1-} \parallel_2 \le C\ t^{-1}\ Y_0\ h \ ,$$

$$\parallel \nabla^k \sigma_- \parallel_2 \le C \left(Y_0\ t^{-k\beta} + \delta_{k,2}\ Y_1\ t^{-1/2} \right) h \quad \text{for } k = 0, 1, 2 \ ,$$

$$\left|\partial_t \parallel q'_- \parallel_2\right| \; \leq C\left(Y_0\left(t^{-\beta}+t^{-1+\beta}\right)+Z\ t^{-1/2}\right)h \quad , \tag{5.143}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\left|\partial_t \parallel xq'_- \parallel_2\right| \; &\leq \; \left(Y'_1\ t^{-1/2}+C\ Y'_0(1-\ell n\ t)\right)h \\ &\quad +C\left(Y_0\left(t^{-\beta}+t^{-1+\beta}\right)+Z\ t^{-1/2}\right)h\ ,\end{aligned} \tag{5.144}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\left|\partial_t \parallel \nabla_{K_+}q'_- \parallel_2\right| \; &\leq \; C\Big(Y'_0\ t^{-1}+(Y'_0Y'_1)^{1/2}\,t^{-5/4}+Y_0\left(t^{-1}+t^{-2\beta}\right) \\ &\quad +Y_1\ t^{-1/2}+Z\ t^{-1/2}(1-\ell n\ t)\Big)h\ .\end{aligned} \tag{5.145}$$

Integrating (5.143)-(5.145) over time and estimating G'_{2-} by Lemma 4.1, especially (4.80) (4.81), we obtain

$$Y'_0 \leq Y'_1\ \tau^{1/2}+C\left(Y'_0\ \tau(1-\ell n\ \tau)+Y_0\left(\tau^{1-\beta}+\tau^{\beta}\right)+Z\ \tau^{1/2}\right)\ , \tag{5.146}$$

$$\begin{aligned}Y'_1 \; &\leq \; C\Big(Y'_0\ \tau^{1/2}(1-\ell n\ \tau)+(Y'_0Y'_1)^{1/2}\ \ \tau^{1/4}+Y_0\ \tau^{1/2}\left(1+\tau^{1-2\beta}\right) \\ &\quad +Y_1\ \tau+Z\ \tau(1-\ell n\ \tau)\Big)\ ,\end{aligned} \tag{5.147}$$

$$Z' \leq C\left(Y_0\ \tau^{1/2}(1-\ell n\ \tau)+Z\ \tau\right)\ . \tag{5.148}$$

Eliminating $(Y'_0Y'_1)^{1/2}$ in (5.147) by an elementary algebraic manipulation and taking the sum, we obtain

$$Y'_0+Y'_1+Z' \leq C\,(Y'_0+Y'_1)\,\tau^{1/2}(1-\ell n\ \tau)$$

$$+C\left(Y_0\left(\tau^{1-\beta}+\tau^{\beta}+\tau^{1/2}(1-\ell n\ \tau)+\tau^{3/2-2\beta}\right)+Y_1\ \tau+Z\ \tau^{1/2}\right)$$

which implies

$$Y'_0+Y'_1+Z' \leq (1/2)\,(Y_0+Y_1+Z)$$

for τ sufficiently small. This proves that Γ is a contraction in the norms (5.140)-(5.142). The existence result now follows from the fact that $\mathcal{R}$ is closed in those norms.

Finally, the uniqueness result follows from Proposition 4.2.

□

6 Asymptotic functions and remainder estimates

In this section we construct approximate solutions (w_a, s_a, B_a) of the auxiliary system (2.41) satisfying the assumptions made in Section 5 and in particular the remainder estimates needed for the Cauchy problem at $t = 0$ for that system. We construct (w_a, s_a, B_a) by solving the system (2.41) by iteration with the contribution of B_0 omitted, so that B_0 will appear only in the remainders R_1 and R_4. Accordingly we define

$$B'_a = B_{1a} + B_{2a} \ .$$

The contribution of the j-th order in the iteration for (w_a, s_a, B'_a) will behave as t^j modulo logarithms. Since we need an accuracy of order $t^{3/2+\lambda}$ with $\lambda > 0$ (see the definition of h in Section 3), it will suffice to iterate once in order to reach that accuracy with $\lambda < 1/2$. We recall also that as regards B_a, we choose separately asymptotic forms B_{1a} and B_{2a} for B_1 and B_2, in spite of the fact that B_1 is an explicit function of w. Thus we define

$$\begin{cases} w_a = w_{a0} + w_{a1} \quad , \quad s_a = s_{a0} + s_{a1} \ , \\ \\ B_{1a} = B_{1a0} + B_{1a1} \quad , \quad B_{2a} = B_{2a1} + B_{2a2} \ . \end{cases} \tag{6.1}$$

The shift by 1 in the last index of B_{2a} reflects the fact that B_2 itself is already of order t, so that in all cases the last index indicates the power of t in the asymptotic behaviour. The term B_{2a2} is apparently of order t^2 and could probably be omitted at the cost of serious technical complications. We define the lowest order quantities by

$$\begin{cases} i\partial_t w_{a0} + (1/2)\Delta w_{a0} = 0 \quad , \quad w_{a0}(0) = w_+ \ , \\ \\ B_{1a0} = B_1(w_{a0}) \\ \\ \partial_t s_{a0} = t^{-1}\nabla g(w_{a0}) + \nabla \check{B}_{1L}(w_{a0}) \quad , \quad s_{a0}(1) = 0 \ , \\ \\ B_{2a1} = \mathcal{B}_2(w_{a0}, w_{a0}, s_{a0} + B_{1a0}) \end{cases} \tag{6.2}$$

and the next order quantities by

$$\begin{cases} i\partial_t w_{a1} = i\left(s_{a0} + B_{1a0}\right)\cdot\nabla w_{a0} + (i/2)(\nabla\cdot s_{a0})w_{a0} + \\ \qquad\qquad (1/2)\left(s_{a0}+B_{1a0}\right)^2 w_{a0} + \left(\check{B}_{1a0S} + \check{B}_{2a1}\right) w_{a0} \qquad , \quad w_{a1}(0) = 0 \\ \\ B_{1a1} = 2B_1(w_{a0}, w_{a1}) \\ \\ \partial_t s_{a1} = 2t^{-1}\nabla g(w_{a0}, w_{a1}) + 2\nabla \check{B}_{1L}(w_{a0}, w_{a1}) \qquad , \quad s_{a1}(0) = 0 \\ \\ B_{2a2} = 2\mathcal{B}_2(w_{a0}, w_{a1}, s_{a0} + B_{1a0}) - tF_2\left(P(s_{a1} + B_{1a1} + B_{2a1})|w_{a0}|^2\right) \ . \end{cases} \tag{6.3}$$

For any polynomial function $f(w_a, s_a, B_{1a}, B_{2a})$ and any nonnegative integer p, we define

$$f(w_a, s_a, B_{a1}, B_{a2})_{\geq p} = \sum_{j+k+\ell+m\geq p} f(w_{aj}, s_{ak}, B_{1a\ell}, B_{2am}) \ . \tag{6.4}$$

The remainders R_j, $1 \leq j \leq 4$, defined by (2.57) then become

$$R_1 = R_{10} + R_{11} \tag{6.5}$$

where

$$R_{10} = -iB_0\cdot\nabla w_a - \left(B_0(s_a + B_{1a} + B_{2a}) + (1/2)B_0^2 + \check{B}_0\right) w_a \ , \tag{6.6}$$

$$\begin{aligned} R_{11} = (1/2)\Delta w_{a1} - \Big\{ & i(s_a + B_{1a} + B_{2a})\cdot\nabla w_a + (i/2)(\nabla\cdot s_a)w_a \\ & +(1/2)(s_a + B_{1a} + B_{2a})^2 w_a + \check{B}_{1aS} w_a \}_{\geq 1} - (\check{B}_{2a} w_a)_{\geq 2} \ , \end{aligned} \tag{6.7}$$

$$R_2 = -t^{-1}\nabla g(w_a)_{\geq 2} = -t^{-1}\nabla g(w_{a1}) \ , \tag{6.8}$$

$$R_3 = -B_1(w_a)_{\geq 2} = -B_1(w_{a1}) \ , \tag{6.9}$$

$$R_4 = R_{40} + R_{41} \tag{6.10}$$

where

$$R_{40} = tF_2(PB_0|w_a|^2) \ , \tag{6.11}$$

$$R_{41} = -\mathcal{B}_2(w_a, w_a, s_a + B_{1a} + B_{2a})_{\geq 2} \ . \tag{6.12}$$

We now turn to estimate (w_a, s_a, B_a). We use the spaces $\ddot{H}^k = \dot{H}^1 \cap \dot{H}^k$ (see Section 3) and the notation $v \in (X, f)$ to mean that $v \in \mathcal{C}(I, X)$ with $\parallel v(t); X \parallel \leq f(t)$ for all $t \in I$, with $I = (0, \tau]$ for some τ, $0 \leq \tau \leq 1$, with $\tau = 1$ in the present case (see Section 3, especially (3.1)).

Lemma 6.1. *Let $w_+ \in H^{k_+}$, $xw_+ \in H^{k_+-1}$ with $k_+ \geq 5 \vee (3 + \beta^{-1})$. Then the components of w_a, s_a, B_a defined by (6.1) (6.2) (6.3) satisfy the following properties.*

$$w_{a0} \in \Big(H^{k_+}, 1\Big) \quad , \quad xw_{a0} \in \Big(H^{k_+-1}, 1\Big) \ , \tag{6.13}$$

$$\partial_t w_{a0} \in \Big(H^{k_+-2}, 1\Big) \quad , \quad \partial_t xw_{a0} \in \Big(H^{k_+-3}, 1\Big) \ , \tag{6.14}$$

$$B_{1a0} \in \Big(\ddot{H}^{k_++1}, 1\Big) \quad , \quad \check{B}_{1a0} \in \Big(< x > \dot{H}^1 \cap \dot{H}^2 \cap \dot{H}^{k_+}, t^{-1}\Big) \ , \tag{6.15}$$

$$\partial_t B_{1a0} \in \Big(H^{k_+-1}, 1\Big) \ , \tag{6.16}$$

$$\partial_t \check{B}_{1a0} \in \Big(< x > \dot{H}^1 \cap \dot{H}^2 \cap \dot{H}^{k_+}, t^{-2}\Big) + \Big(\ddot{H}^{k_+-2}, t^{-1}\Big) \ , \tag{6.17}$$

$$s_0 \in \Big(\ddot{H}^{k_+-1}, 1 - \ell n\ t\Big) \quad , \quad \partial_t s_0 \in \Big(\ddot{H}^{k_+-1}, t^{-1}\Big) \ , \tag{6.18}$$

$$B_{2a1} \in \Big(H^{k_++1}, t(1 - \ell n\ t)\Big) \quad , \quad \check{B}_{2a1} \in \Big(\ddot{H}^{k_++1}, 1 - \ell n\ t\Big) \ , \tag{6.19}$$

$$\partial_t B_{2a1} \in \Big(H^{k_++1}, 1 - \ell n\ t\Big) + \Big(H^{k_+-1}, t(1 - \ell n\ t)\Big) \ , \tag{6.20}$$

$$\partial_t \check{B}_{2a1} \in \Big(\ddot{H}^{k_++1}, t^{-1}\Big) + \Big(H^{k_+-1}, (1 - \ell n\ t)\Big) \ . \tag{6.21}$$

Let in addition $k_1 = (k_+ - 2) \wedge (k_+ - \beta^{-1})$. Then

$$w_{a1} \in \Big(H^{k_1}, t(1 - \ell n\ t)^2\Big) \quad , \quad xw_{a1} \in \Big(H^{k_1}, t(1 - \ell n\ t)^2\Big) \ , \tag{6.22}$$

$$\partial_t w_{a1} \in \Big(H^{k_1}, (1 - \ell n\ t)^2\Big) \quad , \quad \partial_t xw_{a1} \in \Big(H^{k_1}, (1 - \ell n\ t)^2\Big) \ , \tag{6.23}$$

$$B_{1a1} \in \Big(H^{k_1+1}, t(1 - \ell n\ t)^2\Big) \quad , \quad \check{B}_{1a1} \in \Big(\ddot{H}^{k_1+1}, (1 - \ell n\ t)^2\Big) \ , \tag{6.24}$$

$$\partial_t B_{1a1} \in \Big(H^{k_1+1}, (1 - \ell n\ t)^2\Big) \ , \tag{6.25}$$

$$\partial_t \check{B}_{1a1} \in \Big(\ddot{H}^{k_1+1}, t^{-1}(1 - \ell n\ t)^2\Big) + \Big(H^{k_+-2}, (1 - \ell n\ t)^2\Big) \ , \tag{6.26}$$

$$s_1 \in \Big(H^{k_1}, t(1 - \ell n\ t)^2\Big) \quad , \quad \partial_t s_1 \in \Big(H^{k_1}, (1 - \ell n\ t)^2\Big) \ , \tag{6.27}$$

$$B_{2a2} \in \Big(H^{k_1+1}, t^2(1 - \ell n\ t)^3\Big) \quad , \quad \check{B}_{2a2} \in \Big(H^{k_1+1}, t(1 - \ell n\ t)^3\Big) \ , \tag{6.28}$$

$$\partial_t B_{2a2} \in \Big(H^{k_1+1}, t(1 - \ell n\ t)^3\Big) + \Big(H^{k_+-2}, t^2(1 - \ell n\ t)^2\Big) \ , \tag{6.29}$$

$$\partial_t \check{B}_{2a2} \in \Big(H^{k_1+1}, (1 - \ell n\ t)^3\Big) + \Big(H^{k_+-2}, t(1 - \ell n\ t)^2\Big) \ . \tag{6.30}$$

Proof. In all the proof, the subscript a will be omitted. The proof uses systematically and without mention the Hölder inequality and the Sobolev inequalities of Lemma 3.1, parts 1 and 2, as well as some trivial commutator identities. In the estimates of the B_{jk}'s, it also uses Lemma 3.6, in particular (3.18) (3.19) and the special case $r = 4$ of (3.20), namely

$$\parallel F_j(M) \parallel_4 \leq \int_1^\infty d\nu (\nu - 1)^{-1/2} \nu^{-j+1/4} \parallel M(t/\nu) \parallel_{4/3}$$

which ensures that

$$\parallel F_j(M) \parallel_4 \leq C\ t^k(1-\ell n\ t)^\ell \tag{6.31}$$

if

$$\parallel M(t) \parallel_{4/3} \leq C\ t^k(1-\ell n\ t)^\ell$$

provided $j+k > 3/4$, and in particular for $j+k \geq 1$. The estimate (6.31) is used only to show that $F_j(M)$ tends to zero at infinity in space so that an estimate of $\parallel \nabla F_j M \parallel_2$ suffices to prove that $F_j(M) \in \dot{H}^1$. The proof also occasionally uses Lemmas 3.2-4, which will be quoted when appropriate. The B_{jk}'s defined by (6.15)-(6.30) are given more explicitly by

$$B_{10} = -F_1(Px|w_0|^2) \tag{6.32}$$

$$\check{B}_{10} = -t^{-1}F_0(x\cdot Px|w_0|^2) \tag{6.33}$$

$$\partial_t B_{10} = -F_2(Px\partial_t|w_0|^2) \tag{6.34}$$

$$\partial_t\check{B}_{10} = -t^{-1}\check{B}_{10} - t^{-1}F_1(x\cdot Px\partial_t|w_0|^2) \tag{6.35}$$

$$B_{21} = t\ F_2(PN_1) \tag{6.36}$$

$$\check{B}_{21} = F_1(x\cdot PN_1) \tag{6.37}$$

$$\partial_t B_{21} = t^{-1}B_{21} + tF_3(P\partial_t N_1) \tag{6.38}$$

$$\partial_t\check{B}_{21} = F_2(x\cdot P\partial_t N_1) \tag{6.39}$$

where

$$N_1 = \text{Im}\ \overline{w}_0\nabla w_0 - (s_0+B_{10})|w_0|^2\ , \tag{6.40}$$

$$B_{11} = -F_1(Px\ 2\text{Re}\ \overline{w}_0 w_1) \tag{6.41}$$

$$\check{B}_{11} = -t^{-1}F_0(x\cdot Px\ 2\text{Re}\ \overline{w}_0 w_1) \tag{6.42}$$

$$\partial_t B_{11} = -F_2(Px\partial_t\ 2\text{Re}\ \overline{w}_0 w_1) \tag{6.43}$$

$$\partial_t\check{B}_{11} = -t^{-1}\check{B}_{11} - t^{-1}F_1(x\cdot Px\partial_t\ 2\text{Re}\ \overline{w}_0 w_1) \tag{6.44}$$

$$B_{22} = t\ F_2(PN_2) \tag{6.45}$$

$$\check{B}_{22} = F_1(x\cdot PN_2) \tag{6.46}$$

$$\partial_t B_{22} = t^{-1}B_{22} + t\ F_3(P\partial_t N_2) \tag{6.47}$$

$$\partial_t\check{B}_{22} = F_2(x\cdot P\partial_t N_2) \tag{6.48}$$

where

$$N_2 = 2\text{Im}\ \overline{w}_1\nabla w_0 - (s_0+B_{10})2\text{Re}\ \overline{w}_1 w_0 - (s_1+B_{11}+B_{21})|w_0|^2\ . \tag{6.49}$$

We now begin the proof of the estimates. In all the proof, m denotes a real number satisfying suitable conditions.

The properties (6.13) (6.14) of w_0 are obvious.

From (6.32) (3.18) we estimate

$$\| \omega^{m+1} B_{10} \|_2 \leq I_m \left(\| \omega^m x |w_0|^2 \|_2 \right) \leq C$$

for $0 \leq m \leq k_+$ by Lemma 3.2. Furthermore, B_{10} has $j = 1$, $k = 0$ in (6.31) so that $B_{10} \in L^4$. Similarly, from (6.33) (3.19), we estimate

$$\| \omega^{m+1} \check{B}_{10} \|_2 \leq t^{-1} I_{m-1} \left(\| < x > \omega^m x |w_0|^2 \|_2 \right) \leq C\ t^{-1}$$

for $1 \leq m \leq k_+ - 1$. Furthermore $\check{B}_{10}$ has $j = k = 0$ in (6.31), so that $\omega \check{B}_{10} \in L^4$. Together with the properties of B_{10}, this proves (6.15). From (6.34) (3.18), we estimate

$$\| \omega^m \partial_t B_{10} \|_2 \leq I_m \left(\| \omega^{m-1} x \overline{w}_0 \partial_t w_0 \|_2 \right) \leq C$$

for $0 \leq m \leq k_+ - 1$. This proves (6.16). Similarly, from (6.35) (3.19) we estimate

$$\| \omega^{m+1} \left(t \partial_t \check{B}_{10} + \check{B}_{10} \right) \|_2 \leq I_m \left(\| < x > \omega^m x \overline{w}_0 \partial_t w_0 \|_2 \right) \leq C$$

for $0 \leq m \leq k_+ - 3$. Furthermore $t \partial_t \check{B}_{10} + \check{B}_{10}$ has $j = 1$, $k = 0$ in (6.31), so that $t \partial_t \check{B}_{10} + \check{B}_{10} \in L^4$. Together with (6.15), this proves (6.17).

The properties (6.18) of s_0 follow from (6.15) and from the properties of g in Lemma 3.5.

From (6.36) (3.18), we estimate

$$\| \omega^m B_{21} \|_2 \leq t\ I_m \left(\| \omega^{m-1} P N_1 \|_2 \right) \leq C\ t(1 - \ell n\ t)$$

for $0 \leq m \leq k_+ + 1$. Here we have used Lemma 3.3 to prove that $P \mathrm{Im} \overline{w}_0 \nabla w_0$ and $P s_0 |w_0|^2 = P(\nabla \varphi_0) |w_0|^2$ belong to H^{k_+}, using the fact that $s_0 = \nabla \varphi_0$ is a gradient, with properties of φ_0 that can be read from (6.18). Similarly from (6.37) (3.19) we estimate

$$\| \omega^{m+1} \check{B}_{21} \|_2 \leq I_m \left(\| \omega^m x \cdot P N_1 \|_2 \right) \leq C (1 - \ell n\ t)$$

for $0 \leq m \leq k_+$. Here we have used Lemma 3.4 to show that $P \cdot x \mathrm{Im} \overline{w}_0 \nabla w_0$ and $P \cdot x s_0 |w_0|^2 = P \cdot x \nabla \varphi_0 |w_0|^2$ belong to H^{k_+}. Furthermore, $\check{B}_{21}$ has $j = 1$, $k = 0$ in (6.31), so that $\check{B}_{21} \in L^4$. This completes the proof of (6.19).

From (6.38) (3.18), we estimate

$$\parallel \omega^m \left(\partial_t B_{21} - t^{-1} B_{21} \right) \parallel_2 \leq t\ I_{m+1} \left(\parallel \omega^{m-1} P \partial_t N_1 \parallel_2 \right)\ .$$

The contribution of all the terms from $\partial_t N_1$ not containing $\partial_t s_0$ is estimated by $Ct(1 - \ell n\ t)$ for $0 \leq m \leq k_+ - 1$, while the contribution of the term containing $\partial_t s_0$ is estimated by C for $0 \leq m \leq k_+ + 1$, again by Lemma 3.3 and the fact that $s_0 = \nabla \varphi_0$. Together with (6.19), this proves (6.20). Similarly, from (6.39) (3.19), we estimate

$$\parallel \omega^m \partial_t \check{B}_{21} \parallel_2 \leq I_m \left(\parallel \omega^{m-1} x \cdot P \partial_t N_1 \parallel_2 \right)\ .$$

The contribution of the terms from $\partial_t N_1$ not containing $\partial_t s_0$ is estimated by $C(1 - \ell n\ t)$ for $0 \leq m \leq k_+ - 1$, while the contribution of the term containing $\partial_t s_0$ is estimated by Ct^{-1} for $1 \leq m \leq k_+ + 1$ by Lemma 3.4 and the fact that $s_0 = \nabla \varphi_0$. Furthermore the term containing $\partial_t s_0$ has $j = 2$, $k = -1$ in (6.31) and therefore belongs to L^4. This proves (6.21).

From the definition of w_1 in (6.3), it follows that w_1 satisfies (6.22) (6.23). In fact, the basic estimate is that of $\partial_t w_1$. The upper bound $k_1 \leq k_+ - 2$ comes from $\nabla \cdot s_0$ as estimated by (6.18), while the upper bound $k_1 \leq k_+ - \beta^{-1}$ comes from $\check{B}_{10S}$ through the estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \parallel \omega^{k_+ - \beta^{-1}} \check{B}_{10S} w_0 \parallel_2 &\leq \parallel \omega^{k_+ - \beta^{-1}} \check{B}_{10S} \parallel_2 \parallel w_0 \parallel_\infty \\ &\quad + \parallel \check{B}_{10S} \parallel_\infty \parallel \omega^{k_+ - \beta^{-1}} w_0 \parallel_2 \\ &\leq \parallel \omega^{k_+} \check{B}_{10} \parallel_2 \left(t \parallel w_0 \parallel_\infty\ + t^{\beta(k_+ - 3/2)} \parallel \omega^{k_+ - \beta^{-1}} w_+ \parallel_2 \right) \leq C \end{aligned}$$

by (6.15). The estimate of w_1 is obtained from that of $\partial_t w_1$ by integration in time. The estimate of $x \partial_t w_1$ is the same as that of $\partial_t w_1$, with x absorbed by w_0, and the estimate of $x w_1$ follows therefrom by integration in time.

From (6.41) (3.18), we estimate

$$\parallel \omega^m B_{11} \parallel_2 \leq I_{m-1} \left(\parallel \omega^{m-1} x \overline{w}_0 w_1 \parallel_2 \right) \leq C\ t(1 - \ell n\ t)^2$$

for $0 \leq m \leq k_1 + 1$. Similarly, from (6.42) (3.19), we estimate

$$\parallel \omega^{m+1} \check{B}_{11} \parallel_2 \leq t^{-1} I_{m-1} \left(\parallel < x > \omega^m x \overline{w}_0 w_1 \parallel_2 \right)\ \leq C(1 - \ell n\ t)^2$$

for $0 \leq m \leq k_1$. Furthermore $\check{B}_{11}$ has $j = 0$, $k = 1$ in (6.31) and therefore $\check{B}_{11} \in L^4$. This completes the proof of (6.24).

From (6.43) (3.18), we estimate

$$\parallel \omega^m \partial_t B_{11} \parallel_2 \leq I_m \left(\parallel \omega^{-1} x \partial_t (\overline{w}_0 w_1) \parallel_2 \right) \leq C\ (1 - \ell n\ t)^2$$

for $0 \leq m \leq k_1 + 1$. This proves (6.25).

From (6.44) (3.19), we estimate

$$\parallel \omega^m (t \partial_t \check{B}_{11} + \check{B}_{11}) \parallel_2 \leq I_{m-1} \left(\parallel < x > \omega^{m-1} x \partial_t (\overline{w}_0 w_1) \parallel_2 \right)\ .$$

The contribution of the term with $\partial_t w_0$ is estimated by $Ct(1 - \ell n\ t)^2$ for $0 \leq m \leq (k_+ - 2) \wedge (k_1 + 1)$ while the contribution of the term with $\partial_t w_1$ is estimated by $C(1 - \ell n\ t)^2$ for $1 \leq m \leq k_1 + 1$. The latter term has $j = 1$, $k = 0$ in (6.31) and therefore belongs to L^4. This completes the proof of (6.26).

The properties (6.27) of s_1 follow from (6.24) and from the properties of g in Lemma 3.5.

From (6.45) (3.18), we estimate

$$\parallel \omega^m B_{22} \parallel_2 \leq t\ I_m \left(\parallel \omega^{m-1} N_2 \parallel_2 \right) \leq C\ t^2 (1 - \ell n\ t)^3$$

for $0 \leq m \leq k_1 + 1$. Similarly, from (6.46) (3.19), we estimate

$$\parallel \omega^m \check{B}_{22} \parallel \leq I_{m-1} \left(\parallel < x > \omega^{m-1} N_2 \parallel_2 \right)\ \leq C\ t (1 - \ell n\ t)^3$$

for $0 \leq m \leq k_1 + 1$. This proves (6.28).

From (6.47) (3.18), we estimate

$$\parallel \omega^m \left(\partial_t B_{22} - t^{-1} B_{22} \right) \parallel_2 \leq t\ I_{m+1} \left(\parallel \omega^{m-1} \partial_t N_2 \parallel_2 \right)\ .$$

The contribution of the term with $\nabla \partial_t w_0$ is estimated by $Ct^2 (1 - \ell n\ t)^2$ for $0 \leq m \leq (k_+ - 2) \wedge (k_1 + 1)$. The contribution of all the other terms is estimated by $Ct(1 - \ell n\ t)^3$ for $0 \leq m \leq k_1 + 1$. This proves (6.29).

Finally, from (6.48) (3.19), we estimate

$$\parallel \omega^m \partial_t \check{B}_{22} \parallel \leq I_m \left(\parallel < x > \omega^{m-1} \partial_t N_2 \parallel_2 \right)\ .$$

The contribution of the term with $\nabla \partial_t w_0$ is estimated by $Ct(1 - \ell n\ t)^2$ for $0 \leq m \leq (k_+ - 2) \wedge (k_1 + 1)$. The contribution of all the other terms is estimated by $C(1 - \ell n\ t)^3$ for $0 \leq m \leq k_1 + 1$. Actually, as compared with $\partial_t B_{22}$, the additional factor $< x >$ is absorbed by w_1 with no loss in regularity or decay, and by w_0 in $|w_0|^2$ and $\overline{w}_0 \partial_t w_0$ with no loss in decay. This proves (6.30).

□

We summarize the information on (w_a, s_a, B_a) which follows from Lemma 6.1 in the following proposition.

Proposition 6.1. *Let* $w_+ \in H^{k_+}$, $xw_+ \in H^{k_+-1}$ *with* $k_+ \geq 5 \vee (3+\beta^{-1})$. *Let* $k_1 = (k_+ - 2) \wedge (k_+ - \beta^{-1})$. *Let* (w_a, s_a, B'_a) *be defined by (6.1) (6.2) (6.3) and* $B'_a = B_{1a} + B_{2a}$. *Then* (w_a, s_a, B'_a) *satisfy the following properties*

$$w_a \in \left(H^{k_1}, 1 \right) \quad , \qquad xw_a \in \left(H^{k_1}, 1 \right) \ , \tag{6.50}$$

$$\partial_t w_a \in \left(H^{k_1}, (1-\ell n\ t)^2 \right) \quad , \quad \partial_t x w_a \in \left(H^{k_1 \wedge (k_+-3)}, (1-\ell n\ t)^2 \right) \ , \tag{6.51}$$

$$s_a \in \left(\ddot{H}^{k_1}, (1-\ell n\ t) \right) \quad , \quad \partial_t s_a \in \left(\ddot{H}^{k_1}, t^{-1} \right) \ , \tag{6.52}$$

$$B'_a \in \left(\ddot{H}^{k_1+1}, 1 \right) \quad , \quad \check{B}'_a \in \left(< x > \dot{H}^1 \cap \dot{H}^2 \cap \dot{H}^{k_1+1}, t^{-1} \right) \ , \tag{6.53}$$

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t B'_a \in \left(H^{k_1+1}, (1-\ell n\ t)^2 \right) \\ \\ \partial_t \check{B}'_a \in \left(< x > \dot{H}^1 \cap \dot{H}^2 \cap \dot{H}^{(k_1+1)\wedge(k_+-2)}, t^{-2} \right) \ . \end{cases} \tag{6.54}$$

Furthermore $\check{B}'_{aS} \equiv \chi_S \check{B}_{1a} + \check{B}_{2a}$ *satisfies the estimate*

$$\parallel \check{B}'_{aS} \parallel_\infty \ + t \parallel \partial_t \check{B}'_{aS} \parallel_\infty \ \leq C(1-\ell n\ t) \ . \tag{6.55}$$

Proof. The properties and estimates (6.50)-(6.55) follow from Lemma 6.1 except for the contribution of $\check{B}_{1a0}$ to (6.55). We estimate

$$\parallel \check{B}_{1a0S} \parallel_\infty \ \leq t^{\beta(k_+-3/2)} \parallel \omega^{k_+} \check{B}_{1a0} \parallel_2 \ \leq C\ t^{\beta/2}$$

by (6.15). Similarly

$$\parallel \check{B}_{1a1S} \parallel_\infty \ \leq t^{\beta(k_1-1/2)} \parallel \omega^{k_1+1} \check{B}_{1a1} \parallel_2 \ \leq C\ t^{(1-\beta/2)\wedge 3\beta/2}(1-\ell n\ t)^2$$

by (6.24) since

$$\beta k_1 = (\beta k_+ - 2\beta) \wedge (\beta k_+ - 1) \geq 1 \wedge 2\beta \ .$$

The estimate of $\partial_t \check{B}_{1aS}$ is obtained by combining similar arguments with the estimate of $\partial_t \check{B}_{1a}$, taking into account the time derivative of χ_S.

□

We now turn to the estimates of the remainders. The final result will be that the remainders satisfy the assumption (A3) of Section 5 with

$$h(t) = t^2(1-\ell n\ t)^4 \ .$$

We first consider the part not containing B_0, namely R_{11}, R_2 , R_3 and R_{41}. The estimates for that part follow from or extend Lemma 6.1. The part containing B_0 requires different arguments and additional assumptions.

Proposition 6.2. *Let* $w_+ \in H^{k_+}$, $xw_+ \in H^{k_+-1}$ *with* $k_+ \geq 5 \vee (3+\beta^{-1})$ *and let* $k_1 = (k_+-2) \wedge (k_+-\beta^{-1})$. *Then the remainders* R_2, R_3 *and* R_{41} *satisfy the following properties*

$$R_2 \in \left(H^{k_1+1}, t(1-\ell n\ t)^4\right) \ , \tag{6.56}$$

$$R_3, t\check{R}_3 \in \left(H^{k_1+1}, t^2(1-\ell n\ t)^4\right) \ , \tag{6.57}$$

$$\partial_t R_3, t\partial_t \check{R}_3 \in \left(H^{k_1+1}, t(1-\ell n\ t)^4\right) \ , \tag{6.58}$$

$$R_{41}, t\check{R}_{41} \in \left(H^{k_1+1}, t^3(1-\ell n\ t)^5\right) \ , \tag{6.59}$$

$$\partial_t R_{41}, t\partial_t \check{R}_{41} \in \left(H^{k_1+1}, t^2(1-\ell n\ t)^5\right) \ . \tag{6.60}$$

Let in addition $k_+ \geq 2\beta^{-1}$ *and define*

$$k_2 = (k_1-2) \wedge \left(k_1+1-\beta^{-1}\right) \ . \tag{6.61}$$

Then the remainder R_{11} *satisfies the following properties :*

$$R_{11}, xR_{11} \in \left(H^{k_2}, t(1-\ell n\ t)^4\right) \ , \tag{6.62}$$

$$\partial_t R_{11}, x\partial_t R_{11} \in \left(H^{k_2}, (1-\ell n\ t)^4\right) \ . \tag{6.63}$$

Proof. In all the proof, we omit the subscript a.

The property (6.56) of R_2 follows from (6.22) and from the estimates of g in Lemma 3.5.

The properties (6.57) (6.58) of R_3 follow from the properties (6.22) (6.23) of w_1 by estimates similar to those contained in the proof of Lemma 6.1.

We next turn to R_{41} which we rewrite as

$$R_{41} = -tF_2\left(P\ \mathrm{Im}\overline{w}_1 \nabla w_1 - P((s+B')|w|^2)_{\geq 2}\right) \ .$$

By Proposition 6.1, the space regularity of w, s, B' is at least $\ddot{H}^{k_1}$. Together with Lemma 3.3, this proves that R_{41} has the regularity of H^{k_1+1}. The time decay follows from Lemma 6.1, with the worst term being $s_0|w_1|^2 \leq O(t^2(1-\ell n\ t)^5)$. This proves the first part of (6.59). The second part, namely the estimate of $\check{R}_{41}$, follows from the fact that the additional factor $< x >$ can be absorbed by w_1 or w_0 with a loss of regularity by zero or one space derivative respectively, and in both cases without any change in the time decay.

We next consider the time derivative of R_{41}. A time derivative produces at most a loss of a factor t when acting on the various components of $(w, s, B', \check{B}')$. It produces no loss of regularity when acting on w_1, s and therefore in the terms generated by $\partial_t w_1$ or $\partial_t s$ in $\partial_t B'$ and $\partial_t \check{B}'$. It produces a loss of regularity by at most two space derivatives when acting on w_0 and in the terms generated by $\partial_t w_0$ in $\partial_t B'$ and $\partial_t \check{B}'$. However, that loss of regularity occurs only in terms where the regularity starts from a sufficiently high level, so that it does not affect the final result. This proves (6.60).

We finally consider R_{11} which we rewrite as

$$R_{11} = R'_{11} - \left(\check{B}_{1S} w \right)_{\geq 1} \ ,$$

$$R'_{11} = (1/2)\Delta w_1 - \Big\{ i(s+B')\cdot\nabla w + (i/2)(\nabla\cdot s)w + (1/2)(s+B')^2 w \Big\}_{\geq 1} - \left(\check{B}_2 w \right)_{\geq 2} \ .$$

We first consider R'_{11}. By Proposition 6.1 the space regularity of w, s, B' is at least $\ddot{H}^{k_1}$, so that $R'_{11} \in H^{k_1-2}$. The time decay follows from Lemma 6.1, with the worst term coming from $s_0^2 w_1 \leq O(t(1-\ell n\ t)^4)$. Therefore

$$R'_{11} \in \left(H^{k_1-2}, t(1-\ell n\ t)^4 \right) \ . \tag{6.64}$$

We next consider

$$\left(\check{B}_{1S} w \right)_{\geq 1} = \check{B}_{10S} w_1 + \check{B}_{11S} w_0 + \check{B}_{11S} w_1 \ .$$

It follows from Lemma 6.1, especially (6.24), that

$$\check{B}_{11} w_1 \in \left(H^{k_1}, t(1-\ell n\ t)^4 \right) \ . \tag{6.65}$$

In particular the use of the S cut off is not needed for that term. Using (6.15), we next estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \parallel \omega^{k_1} \check{B}_{10S} w_1 \parallel_2 &\leq \parallel \omega^{k_1} \check{B}_{10S} \parallel_2 \parallel w_1 \parallel_\infty + \parallel \check{B}_{10S} \parallel_\infty \parallel \omega^{k_1} w_1 \parallel_2 \\ &\leq C \left(t^{\beta(k_+ - k_1)} + t^{\beta(k_+ - 3/2)} \right) \parallel \omega^{k_+} \check{B}_{10} \parallel_2 t(1-\ell n\ t)^2 \\ &\leq C\ t(1-\ell n\ t)^2 \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$\check{B}_{10S} w_1 \in \left(H^{k_1}, t(1-\ell n\ t)^2 \right) \ . \tag{6.66}$$

Using (6.24), we next estimate

$$\parallel \omega^{k_2} \check{B}_{11S} w_0 \parallel_2 \leq \parallel \omega^{k_2} \check{B}_{11S} \parallel_2 \parallel w_0 \parallel_\infty + \parallel \check{B}_{11S} \parallel_r \parallel \omega^{k_2} w_0 \parallel_{3/\delta}$$

for $0 < \delta \leq k_2 \leq k_1 + 1$, with $\delta = \delta(r) = 3/2 - 3/r$,

$$\begin{aligned} \cdots &\leq C \left(t^{\beta(k_1+1-k_2)} + t^{\beta(k_1+1-\delta)} \right) \parallel \omega^{k_1+1} \check{B}_{11} \parallel_2 \\ &\leq C\ t(1 - \ell n\ t)^2 \end{aligned}$$

for $k_2 \leq k_1 + 1 - \beta^{-1}$, so that

$$\check{B}_{11S} w_0 \in \left(H^{k_2}, t(1 - \ell n\ t)^2 \right) \ . \tag{6.67}$$

It follows from (6.64)-(6.67) that R_{11} satisfies the first part of (6.62). We now turn to the second part, namely the estimate of xR_{11}. Now x can be absorbed either by w_0 with a loss of regularity by one space derivative or by w_1 without a loss of regularity, in both cases without any change in the time decay. This proves the second part of (6.62). Finally the time derivative produces the same effects as in R_{41}, thereby leading to (6.63).

□

We now turn to the estimates of the parts R_{10} and R_{40} of the remainders containing B_0. We shall need the following estimate

$$\| < x >^\ell \partial_t^j (w_a - w_+); H^{3-\ell} \parallel \leq C\ t^{1-j} (1 - \ell n\ t)^2 \tag{6.68}$$

for $j, \ell = 0, 1$, which holds under the assumptions of Lemma 6.1. That estimate follows from (6.13) (6.14) (6.22) (6.23) and elementary arguments. We consider first R_{10} which we rewrite as

$$R_{10} = \mathcal{R}_1(w_a) \tag{6.69}$$

where

$$\mathcal{R}_1(v) = -iB_0 \cdot \nabla v - \left(B_0(s_a + B'_a) + (1/2)B_0^2 + \check{B}_0 \right) v\ . \tag{6.70}$$

We first derive estimates of some parts of R_{10} which can be obtained without additional assumptions on w_+ beyond those of Lemma 6.1.

Lemma 6.2. *Let* $w_+ \in H^{k_+}$, $xw_+ \in H^{k_+-1}$ *with* $k_+ \geq 5 \vee (3 + \beta^{-1})$. *Let* B_0 *satisfy (3.32) for* $2 \leq r \leq \infty$ *and* $0 \leq j, k \leq 1$. *Then the following estimates hold :*

$$\| < x > \partial_t \mathcal{R}_1 (w_a - w_+) \parallel_2 \leq C\ t^{1/3} (1 - \ell n\ t)^2\ , \tag{6.71}$$

$$\| \partial_t \mathcal{R}_1(\nabla w_+) \|_2 \le C\ t^{-1/2}(1 - \ell n\ t)\ , \tag{6.72}$$

$$\| \nabla \partial_t \mathcal{R}_1(w_a - w_+) \|_2 \le C\ t^{-1/2}(1 - \ell n\ t)^3\ , \tag{6.73}$$

$$\| ((\partial_t B_0)(\nabla(s_a + B_a)) + B_0\,(\nabla \partial_t(s_a + B'_a)))\,w_+ \|_2 \le C\ t^{-1/2}(1 - \ell n\ t)\ . \tag{6.74}$$

Proof. In all the proof, we omit the subscript a. Let $v = w - w_+$. We start from

$$\begin{aligned} -\partial_t \mathcal{R}_1(v) &= (\partial_t B_0)\,(i\nabla v + (s+B)v) + B_0\,(\partial_t(s+B'))\,v + (\partial_t \check{B}_0)v \\ &+ iB_0 \nabla \partial_t v + \Big(B_0(s+B') + (1/2)B_0^2 + \check{B}_0\Big)\,\partial_t v\ . \end{aligned} \tag{6.75}$$

We first prove (6.71) and for that purpose we estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \| < x > \partial_t \mathcal{R}_1(v) \|_2 &\le \| \partial_t B_0 \|_2\ \| < x > \nabla v \|_\infty\ + \Big(\ \| \partial_t B_0 \|_2\ \| s+B \|_\infty \\ &+\ \| B_0 \|_2\ \| \partial_t(s+B') \|_\infty\ +\ \| \partial_t \check{B}_0 \|_2\ \Big)\ \| < x > v \|_\infty\ +\ \| B_0 \|_3\ \| < x > \nabla \partial_t v \|_6 \\ &+ \Big(\| B_0 \|_2\ (\| s+B' \|_\infty\ +\ \| B_0 \|_\infty)\ +\ \| \check{B}_0 \|_2\Big)\ \| < x > \partial_t v \|_\infty \\ &\le C\ t^{1/3}(1 - \ell n\ t)^2 \end{aligned} \tag{6.76}$$

by Proposition 6.1, (6.68) and (3.32). This proves (6.71).

The proof of (6.72) is obtained from (6.76) by omitting $< x >$ and the terms containing $\partial_t v$, replacing v by ∇w_+ in the remaining terms, and using again Proposition 6.1 and (3.32).

We next prove (6.73). For that purpose we estimate the gradient of (6.75). We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \| \nabla \partial_t \mathcal{R}_1(v) \|_2 &\le \| \partial_t B_0 \|_3\ \| \nabla^2 v \|_6\ + \Big(\ \| \nabla \partial_t B_0 \|_2\ +\ \| \partial_t B_0 \|_2\ \| s+B \|_\infty \\ &+\ \| B_0 \|_2\ \| \partial_t(s+B') \|_\infty\ +\ \| \partial_t \check{B}_0 \|_2\ \Big)\ \| \nabla v \|_\infty \\ &+ \Big(\ \| \nabla \partial_t B_0 \|_2\ \| s+B \|_\infty\ +\ \| \partial_t B_0 \|_2\ \| \nabla(s+B) \|_\infty\ +\ \| \nabla B_0 \|_2\ \| \partial_t(s+B') \|_\infty \\ &+\ \| B_0 \|_2\ \| \nabla \partial_t(s+B') \|_\infty\ +\ \| \nabla \partial_t \check{B}_0 \|_2\ \Big)\ \| v \|_\infty\ +\ \| B_0 \|_3\ \| \nabla^2 \partial_t v \|_6 \\ &+ \Big(\ \| \nabla B_0 \|_2\ +\ \| B_0 \|_2\ (\| s+B' \|_\infty\ +\ \| B_0 \|_\infty)\ +\ \| \check{B}_0 \|_2\ \Big)\ \| \nabla \partial_t v \|_\infty \\ &+ \Big(\ \| \nabla B_0 \|_2\ \| s+B \|_\infty\ +\ \| B_0 \|_2\ \| \nabla(s+B') \|_\infty\ +\ \| \nabla \check{B}_0 \|_2\ \Big)\ \| \partial_t v \|_\infty \\ &\le C\ t^{-1/2}(1 - \ell n\ t)^3 \end{aligned}$$

by Proposition 6.1, (6.68) and (3.32).

The proof of (6.74) is similar.

$\square$

The full estimates of R_{10} require additional assumptions on w_+ and B_0.

Lemma 6.3. *Let w_+ and B_0 satisfy the assumptions of Lemma 6.2.*

(1) Let w_+ and B_0 satisfy in addition

$$\| \partial_t B_0 < x > \nabla^k w_+ \|_2 \ \vee t^{-1} \| B_0 < x > w_+ \|_2 \ \vee \ \| \partial_t \check{B}_0 < x > w_+ \|_2 \leq C \tag{6.77}$$

for $k = 0, 1$. Then

$$\|< x > \partial_t R_{10} \|_2 \leq C(1 - \ell n\ t) \ . \tag{6.78}$$

(2) Let w_+ and B_0 satisfy in addition

$$\| (\nabla \partial_t B_0) \nabla^k w_+ \|_2 \ \vee t^{-1} \| (\nabla B_0) w_+ \|_2 \ \vee \ \| (\nabla \partial_t \check{B}_0) w_+ \|_2 \leq C\ t^{-1/2} \tag{6.79}$$

for $k = 0, 1$. Then

$$\| \nabla \partial_t R_{10} \|_2 \leq C\ t^{-1/2} (1 - \ell n\ t)^3 \ . \tag{6.80}$$

Proof. We again omit the subscript a in the proof.

Part (1). Using (6.75), we estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \|< x > \partial_t R_{10} \|_2 &\leq \|< x > \partial_t \mathcal{R}_1 (w - w_+) \|_2 \ + \ \| \partial_t B_0 < x > \nabla w_+ \|_2 \\ &+ \ \| s + B \|_\infty \| \partial_t B_0 < x > w_+ \|_2 \ + \ \| \partial_t (s + B') \|_\infty \| B_0 < x > w_+ \|_2 \\ &+ \ \| \partial_t \check{B}_0 < x > w_+ \|_2 \leq C(1 - \ell n\ t) \end{aligned} \tag{6.81}$$

by (6.71) (6.77) and Proposition 6.1.

Part (2). Using (6.75), we estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \| \nabla \partial_t R_{10} \|_2 \leq \| \nabla \partial_t \mathcal{R}_1 (w - w_+) \|_2 \ + \ \| \partial_t \mathcal{R}_1 (\nabla w_+) \|_2 \\ + \ \| ((\partial_t B_0)(\nabla (s + B)) + B_0 (\nabla \partial_t (s + B'))) w_+ \|_2 \\ + \ \| (\nabla \partial_t B_0) \nabla w_+ \|_2 \ + \ \| (s + B) \|_\infty \| (\nabla \partial_t B_0) w_+ \|_2 \ + \\ + \ \| \partial_t (s + B') \|_\infty \| (\nabla B_0) w_+ \|_2 \ + \ \| (\nabla \partial_t \check{B}_0) w_+ \|_2 \\ \leq C\ t^{-1/2} (1 - \ell n\ t)^3 \end{aligned}$$

by (6.72) (6.73) (6.74) (6.79) and Proposition 6.1.

□

We next turn to R_{40} which we rewrite as

$$R_{40} = \mathcal{R}_4 \left(|w_a|^2 \right) \tag{6.82}$$

where

$$\mathcal{R}_4(f) = t\ F_2(PB_0 f)\ . \tag{6.83}$$

We first show that one can replace w_a by w_+ in the estimates of R_{40} without additional assumptions on w_+ beyond those of Lemma 6.1. We shall need the following estimate

$$\| < x >^\ell \partial_t^j (w_a + w_+) ; H^{3-\ell} \| \leq C(1 - \ell n\ t)^{2j} \tag{6.84}$$

with $\ell = 0, 1$, which follows from (6.13) (6.14) (6.22) (6.23).

Lemma 6.4. *Let $w_+ \in H^{k_+}$, $xw_+ \in H^{k_+ - 1}$ with $k_+ \geq 5 \vee (3 + \beta^{-1})$. Let B_0 satisfy (3.32) for $r = 2$ and $0 \leq j, k \leq 1$. Then the following estimates hold :*

$$\begin{aligned} \| \nabla^{k+1} \partial_t^j \mathcal{R}_4(|w_a|^2 - |w_+|^2) \|_2 \ &\vee t \| \nabla^{k+1} \partial_t^j \check{\mathcal{R}}_4(|w_a|^2 - |w_+|^2) \|_2 \\ &\leq C\ t^{5/2-j-k} (1 - \ell n\ t)^2 \end{aligned} \tag{6.85}$$

for $0 \leq j, k, j + k \leq 1$.

Proof. We define $v_\pm = w_a \pm w_+$ so that

$$\mathcal{R}_4 \left(|w_a|^2 - |w_+|^2 \right) = \mathcal{R}_4 \left(\mathrm{Re}\ \overline{v}_+ v_- \right)\ .$$

Using (3.18) (3.19), we estimate

$$\| \nabla^{k+1} \partial_t^j \mathcal{R}_4 \left(\mathrm{Re}\ \overline{v}_+ v_- \right) \|_2 \leq t\ I_{j+k+1} \left(\| \nabla^k \partial_t^j B_0 \overline{v}_+ v_- \|_2 \right)$$

$$+ \delta_{j1}\ I_1 \left(\| B_0 \overline{v}_+ v_- \|_2 \right)\ ,$$

$$\| \nabla^{k+1} \partial_t^j \check{\mathcal{R}}_4 \left(\mathrm{Re}\ \overline{v}_+ v_- \right) \|_2 \leq I_{j+k} \left(\| < x > \nabla^k \partial_t^j B_0 \overline{v}_+ v_- \|_2 \right)$$

for the relevant values of j and k. The result now follows from (3.32) with $r = 2$ and from (6.68) (6.84).

□

The full estimates of R_{40} require additional assumptions on w_+ and B_0.

Lemma 6.5. *Let w_+ and B_0 satisfy the assumptions of Lemma 6.4 and in addition*

$$\parallel \left(\nabla^k \partial_t^j B_0 \right) w_+ \parallel_2 \leq C \ t^{3/2-j-k} \tag{6.86}$$

for $0 \leq j, k, j+k \leq 1$. Then the following estimates hold :

$$\parallel \nabla^{k+1} \partial_t^j R_{40} \parallel_2 \ \vee t \parallel \nabla^{k+1} \partial_t^j \check{R}_{40} \parallel_2 \leq C \ t^{5/2-j-k} (1 - \ell n \ t)^2 \tag{6.87}$$

for $0 \leq j, k, j+k \leq 1$.

Proof. By (6.82) and Lemma 6.4, it is sufficient to estimate $\mathcal{R}_4(|w_+|^2)$. Using again (3.18) (3.19), we estimate

$$\parallel \nabla^{k+1} \partial_t^j \mathcal{R}_4(|w_+|^2) \parallel_2 \leq t \ I_{j+k+1} \left(\parallel \nabla^k \partial_t^j B_0 |w_+|^2 \parallel_2 \right)$$

$$+ \delta_{j1} \ I_1 \left(\parallel B_0 |w_+|^2 \parallel_2 \right) \ ,$$

$$\parallel \nabla^{k+1} \partial_t^j \check{\mathcal{R}}_4(|w_+|^2) \parallel_2 \leq I_{j+k} \left(\parallel < x > \nabla^k \partial_t^j B_0 |w_+|^2 \parallel_2 \right)$$

for the relevant values of j and k. We next estimate

$$\parallel < x >^\ell \left(\nabla^k \partial_t^j B_0 \right) |w_+|^2 \parallel_2 \leq \parallel \left(\nabla^k \partial_t^j B_0 \right) w_+ \parallel_2 \ \parallel < x > w_+ \parallel_\infty \leq C \ t^{3/2-j-k}$$

for $\ell = 0, 1$ and

$$\parallel < x >^\ell \ B_0 \nabla |w_+|^2 \parallel_2 \leq \ 2 \parallel B_0 \parallel_2 \ \parallel \nabla w_+ \parallel_\infty \ \parallel < x > w_+ \parallel_\infty \leq C \ t^{1/2}$$

by (6.86) and (3.32). Substituting the last two estimates into the previous ones and using Lemma 6.4 yields (6.87).

□

The additional assumptions (6.77) (6.79) (6.86) on w_+ and B_0 are special cases of the condition

$$\parallel \left(\nabla^k \partial_t^j B_0 \right) < x >^\ell \nabla^m w_+ \parallel_2 \ \vee \ \parallel \left(\nabla^k \partial_t^j \check{B}_0 \right) < x >^\ell \nabla^m w_+ \parallel_2 \leq C \ t^{3/2-j-k-\ell/2} \tag{6.88}$$

with $0 \leq j, k, \ell, m \leq 1$ and $k + \ell \leq 1$. That condition does not follow from factorized estimates of B_0 and w_+. In fact for $< x > w_+ \in H^4$, from (3.32) it follows only that

$$\parallel \left(\nabla^k \partial_t^j B_0 \right) < x >^\ell \nabla^m w_+ \parallel_2 \leq \parallel \nabla^k \partial_t^j B_0 \parallel_2 \ \parallel < x >^\ell \nabla^m w_+ \parallel_\infty \leq C \ t^{1/2-j-k}$$

and a similar estimate for $\check{B}_0$. This is weaker than (6.88) by a factor $t^{1-\ell/2}$. In order to gain that factor, we impose a support condition on w_+ and a decay condition of B_0 and $\check{B}_0$ on the support of w_+. In fact let $< x > w_+ \in H^3$ and let χ_0 be the characteristic function of the support of w_+. Then a sufficient condition to ensure (6.88) is that

$$\parallel \chi_0 \nabla^k \partial_t^j B_0 \parallel_2 \ \vee \ \parallel \chi_0 \nabla^k \partial_t^j \check{B}_0 \parallel_2 \le \ C \ t^{3/2-j-k} \tag{6.89}$$

for $j, k = 0, 1$. The support condition that we shall impose on w_+ is

$$\mathrm{Supp}\ w_+ \subset \{x : |\ |x| - 1| \ge \eta\} \tag{6.90}$$

for some η, $0 < \eta < 1$. This is the same condition that occurs in [5] [28]. Under that condition, it is easy to see that (6.89) holds for compactly supported $(A_+, \dot{A}_+)$. In fact, if

$$\mathrm{Supp}(A_+, \dot{A}_+) \subset \{x : |x| \le R\}$$

then by the Huyghens principle

$$\mathrm{Supp}\ A_0 \cup \mathrm{Supp}\ x \cdot A_0 \subset \{(x,t) : |\ |x| - t| \le R\}$$

so that

$$\mathrm{Supp}\ B_0 \cup \mathrm{Supp}\ \check{B}_0 \subset \{(x,t) : |\ |x| - 1| \le t\ R\}$$

and the left hand side of (6.89) vanishes for $t \le \eta/R$. More general assumptions on $(A_+, \dot{A}_+)$ are given in the following lemma.

Lemma 6.6. *Let w_+ satisfy the support condition (6.90) for some η, $0 < \eta < 1$. Let χ_R be the characteristic function of the set $\{x : |x| \ge R\}$. Let $(A_+, \dot{A}_+)$ satisfy*

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \parallel \chi_R \nabla^k (x \cdot \nabla)^j A_+ \parallel_2 \ \vee \ \parallel \chi_R \nabla^k (x \cdot \nabla)^j x \cdot A_+ \parallel_2 \le \ C\ R^{-1} \\ \\ \parallel \chi_R (x \cdot \nabla)^j \dot{A}_+ ; L^2 \cap L^{6/5} \parallel \ \vee \ \parallel \chi_R (x \cdot \nabla)^j x \cdot \dot{A}_+ ; L^2 \cap L^{6/5} \parallel \ \le \ C\ R^{-1} \end{array} \right. \tag{6.91}$$

for $0 \le j, k \le 1$ and for all $R \ge R_0$ for some $R_0 > 0$. Then (6.89) holds for $0 \le j, k \le 1$ and for all $t \in (0, 1]$.

Proof. For $j = 0$ and as regards B_0, the result is that of Lemma 5.2, part (2) of [5] to which we refer for the proof, which is a simple consequence of the Huyghens principle for the wave equation. The case $j = 1$ follows therefrom and from (3.24) (3.26). Finally the result for $\check{B}_0$ follows from that for B_0 and from (3.27).

$\square$

We finally collect the results of this section to show that the asymptotic functions constructed here satisfy the assumptions (A1) (A2) (A3) of Section 5.

Proposition 6.3. *Let* $w_+ \in H^{k_+}$, $xw_+ \in H^{k_+-1}$ *with* $k_+ \geq 5 \vee (3 + \beta^{-1})$. *Let* B_0 *satisfy the condition (3.32) for* $2 \leq r \leq \infty$ *and* $0 \leq j, k \leq 1$. *Then*

(1) The asymptotic functions (w_a, s_a, B_a) *defined by (6.1) (6.2) (6.3) satisfy the assumptions (A1) (A2).*

Let in addition $k_+ \geq 2\beta^{-1}$.

(2) Let in addition B_0 *and* w_+ *satisfy the condition (6.88) for* $0 \leq j, k, \ell, m \leq 1$ *and* $k + \ell \leq 1$. *Then the remainders* R_j *defined by (2.57) satisfy the assumption (A3) with*

$$h(t) = t^2(1 - \ell n\ t)^4\ .$$

(3) The same result as in Part (2) holds under the assumptions of Lemma 6.6.

Proof. Part (1) follows from Proposition 6.1 and from (3.32). Part (2) follows from Proposition 6.2 and Lemmas 6.3 and 6.5. The assumptions (6.77) (6.79) (6.86) of those lemmas are special cases of (6.88). Part (3) follows from Part (2), from (6.89) and from Lemma 6.6.

$\square$

7 Final results for the auxiliary system and for the original system

In this section we complete the construction of the wave operators for the system (2.6) (2.7) and we derive asymptotic properties of solutions in their range. For that purpose we first state the main result on the Cauchy problem at $t = 0$ for the auxiliary system (2.41) which follows from Sections 5 and 6. In all this section we take $\beta = 1/2$.

Proposition 7.1. *Let* $\beta = 1/2$. *Let* $X(\cdot)$ *be defined by (3.10) with* $h(t) = t^2(1 - \ell n\ t)^4$. *Let* u_+ *be such that* $w_+ \equiv Fu_+ \in H^5$, $xw_+ \in H^4$. *Let* B_0 *satisfy* *the conditions (3.32) and (6.88) for* $2 \leq r \leq \infty$, *for* $0 \leq j, k, \ell, m \leq 1$ *and* $\ell + k \leq 1$. *Define* (w_a, s_a, B_a) *by (6.1) (6.2) (6.3). Then there exists* τ, $0 < \tau \leq 1$ *such that the auxiliary system (2.41) has a unique solution* (w, s, B_2) *such that* $\sigma \equiv s - s_a$ *satisfies* $\sigma(0) = 0$ *and such that* $(q, G_2) \equiv (w - w_a, B_2 - B_{2a}) \in X((0, \tau])$. *In particular the following estimates hold for all* $t \in (0, \tau]$ *:*

$$\parallel \nabla^k \partial_t^j < x >^\ell q \parallel_2 \leq C \ t^{2-j-k/2} (1 - \ell n \ t)^4 \tag{7.1}$$

for $0 \leq j, \ell \leq 1$ *and* $0 \leq 2j + \ell + k \leq 3$,

$$\parallel \nabla^{k+1} \partial_t^j G_2 \parallel_2 \ \vee t \parallel \nabla^{k+1} \partial_t^j \check{G}_2 \parallel_2 \leq C \ t^{5/2-j-k} (1 - \ell n \ t)^4 \tag{7.2}$$

for $0 \leq j, k, j + k \leq 1$.

In addition, the following estimates hold for all $t \in (0, \tau]$ *:*

$$\parallel \partial_t^j G_2 \parallel_2 \ \vee t \parallel \partial_t^j \check{G}_2 \parallel_2 \leq C \ t^{5/2-j} (1 - \ell n \ t)^4 \ , \tag{7.3}$$

$$\parallel \nabla^{k+1} \partial_t^j G_1 \parallel_2 \ \vee t \parallel \nabla^{k+1} \partial_t^j \check{G}_1 \parallel_2 \leq C \ t^{2-j-k/2} (1 - \ell n \ t)^4 \tag{7.4}$$

for $0 \leq j, k, j + k \leq 1$,

$$\parallel \partial_t^j G_1 \parallel_2 \ \vee t \parallel \partial_t^j \check{G}_1 \parallel_2 \leq C \ t^{2-j} (1 - \ell n \ t)^4 \ , \tag{7.5}$$

$$\parallel \nabla^k \partial_t^j \sigma \parallel_2 \leq C \ t^{2-j-k/2} (1 - \ell n \ t)^4 \tag{7.6}$$

for $j = 0, 1$ *and* $0 \leq k \leq 2$.

The solution is actually unique under the conditions on (q, G_2) stated in Proposition 5.2.

Proof. The result follows from Propositions 5.2 and 6.3 except for the low order estimates (7.3) (7.5). In particular (7.1) is a rewriting of (5.71) (5.73), while (7.2) is a rewriting of (5.74). The estimates (7.4) and (7.6) are a rewriting of (5.77)-(5.81). The lower norm estimates (7.3) (7.5) are derived by the same method as in the proof of Lemmas 5.1 and 5.2.

□

Remark 7.1. The estimates (7.4) for G_1 and $\check{G}_1$ actually hold for $j = 0, 1$, and $0 \leq k + 2j \leq 3$ for G_1, $0 \leq k + 2j \leq 2$ for $\check{G}_1$. The proof is an extension of that of Lemma 5.1. Unfortunately, a similar extension for G_2 and $\check{G}_2$ would require a reinforcement of the assumptions on B_0 and w_+, because of the explicit dependence of $\mathcal{B}_2$ and of $\mathcal{R}_4$ on B_0.

We now turn to the original system (2.6) (2.7) for (u, A). The first task is to reconstruct the phase φ. Corresponding to $s_a = s_{a0} + s_{a1}$ defined by (6.1) (6.2) (6.3), we define

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi_a = &\int_1^t dt' \left(t'^{-1} g(w_{a0}(t')) + \check{B}_{1L}(w_{a0}(t')) \right) \\ &+2 \int_0^t dt' \left(t'^{-1} g(w_{a0}(t'), w_{a1}(t')) + \check{B}_{1L}(w_{a0}(t'), w_{a1}(t')) \right) \end{aligned} \tag{7.7}$$

so that $s_a = \nabla \varphi_a$. We shall also need a special term of φ_a, namely

$$\varphi_b = \int_1^t dt' \check{B}_1(w_+) = (\ell n\ t) x \cdot B_1(w_+)\ . \tag{7.8}$$

The phases φ_a and φ_b satisfy the following properties. We use again the notation (3.1) as in Lemma 6.1.

Lemma 7.1. *Let $w_+ \in H^5$, $xw_+ \in H^4$. Then*

$$\partial_t\ \varphi_b \in \left(< x > \dot{H}^1 \cap \dot{H}^2 \cap \dot{H}^5, t^{-1} \right)\ , \tag{7.9}$$

$$< x >^{-1} \partial_t\ \varphi_b \in \left(\ddot{H}^6, t^{-1} \right)\ , \tag{7.10}$$

$$\varphi_b \in \left(< x > \dot{H}^1 \cap \dot{H}^2 \cap \dot{H}^5, 1 - \ell n\ t \right)\ , \tag{7.11}$$

$$< x >^{-1} \varphi_b \in \left(\ddot{H}^6, 1 - \ell n\ t \right)\ , \tag{7.12}$$

$$\partial_t (\varphi_a - \varphi_b) \in \left(\ddot{H}^4, t^{-1} \right)\ , \tag{7.13}$$

$$\varphi_a - \varphi_b \in \left(\ddot{H}^4, 1 - \ell n\ t \right)\ . \tag{7.14}$$

Proof. The properties of φ_b follow from estimates of $\check{B}_1(w_+)$ and $B_1(w_+)$ which are identical with those of $\check{B}_{1a0}$ and B_{1a0} in Lemma 6.1.

We rewrite $\varphi_a - \varphi_b$ as

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi_a - \varphi_b = &\int_1^t dt' \left(t'^{-1} g(w_{a0}) - \check{B}_{1S}(w_{a0}) + \check{B}_1(w_{a0}) - \check{B}_1(w_+) \right) \\ &+2 \int_0^t dt' \left(t'^{-1} g(w_{a0}, w_{a1}) + \check{B}_{1L}(w_{a0}, w_{a1}) \right)\ .\end{aligned}$$

The contributions of g and of $\check{B}_{1a1}$ are estimated in the same way as in Lemma 6.1. We next estimate

$$\| \nabla^k \check{B}_{1S}(w_{a0}) \|_2 \le \ t^{\beta(5-k)} \ \| \nabla^5 \check{B}_1(w_{a0}) \|_2 \le C \ t^{-1+\beta(5-k)}$$

with $\beta = 1/2$ for $0 \le k \le 5$, so that

$$\check{B}_{1S}(w_{a0}) \in \left(H^k, t^{(3-k)/2} \right) \qquad \text{for } 0 \le k \le 5$$

and the contribution of that term satisfies the required properties and estimates. Finally

$$\check{B}_1(w_{a0}) - \check{B}_1(w_+) = 2\check{B}_1 \left((U(t) - 1\!\!1)w_+, w_{a0} + w_+ \right) \ .$$

Now

$$< x > (U(t) - 1\!\!1) \, w_+ \in \left(H^2, t \right)$$

so that by the same estimates as those of $\check{B}_{1a0}$ and $\check{B}_{1a1}$ in Lemma 6.1

$$\check{B}_1(w_{a0}) - \check{B}_1(w_+) \in \left(\ddot{H}^3, 1 \right) \cap \left(\dot{H}^5, t^{-1} \right)$$

and the contribution of that difference also satisfies the required properties and estimates.

□

Let now (w, s, B_2) be a solution of the auxiliary system (2.41) as obtained in Proposition 7.1, and let $(q, \sigma) = (w - w_a, s - s_a)$ and $B = B_0 + B_1 + B_2$ with B_1 defined by (2.31) (2.32). We define

$$\psi = \int_0^t dt' \left\{ t'^{-1} \left(g(q, 2w_a + q) + g(w_{a1}) \right) + \check{B}_{1L}(q, 2w_a + q) + \check{B}_{1L}(w_{a1}) \right\} (t') \quad (7.15)$$

so that by (2.52) (2.53) and (6.8) (6.9), $\nabla \psi = \sigma$. From Proposition 7.1, especially (7.6) and an L^4 estimate similar to those in Lemma 6.1, it follows that $\psi \in \mathcal{C}((0, \tau], \ddot{H}^3)$ and that ψ satisfies the estimates

$$\| \nabla^{k+1} \partial_t^j \psi \|_2 \le C \ t^{2-j-k/2} (1 - \ell n \ t)^4 \quad (7.16)$$

for $j = 0, 1$ and $0 \le k \le 2$. Finally we define $\varphi = \varphi_a + \psi$ with φ_a defined by (7.7) so that $\nabla \varphi = s$ and φ satisfies (2.38).

We can now define the modified wave operator for the MS system in the form (2.6) (2.7). We start from the asymptotic data $(u_+, A_+, \dot{A}_+)$ for (u, A). We define $w_+ = F u_+$, we define B_0 by (2.9) (2.17), namely

$$A_0(t) = (\cos \omega t) A_+ + \omega^{-1} (\sin \omega t) \dot{A}_+ = -t^{-1} D_0(t) B_0(1/t) \ . \quad (7.17)$$

We define (w_a, s_a, B_a) by (6.1) (6.2) (6.3). We solve the auxiliary system (2.41) by Proposition 7.1. We reconstruct the phase $\varphi = \varphi_a + \psi$ as explained above. We finally substitute (w, φ, B_2) into (2.16) (2.33) (2.17), thereby obtaining a solution (u, A) of the system (2.6) (2.7) defined for large time. The modified wave operator is the map $\Omega : (u_+, A_+, \dot{A}_+) \to (u, A)$ thereby obtained.

We now turn to the study of the asymptotic properties of (u, A) and in particular of its convergence to its asymptotic form (u_a, A_a) defined in analogy with (2.16) (2.17) by

$$u_a(t) = M(t)D(t)\exp\left(i\varphi_a(1/t)\right)\overline{w}_a(1/t) \ , \tag{7.18}$$

$$A_a(t) = -t^{-1}D_0(t)\ B_a(1/t) = A_0(t) - t^{-1}D_0(t)\left(B_{1a} + B_{2a}\right)(1/t) \ . \tag{7.19}$$

The properties of u are best expressed in terms of $\widetilde{u}$ and $\widetilde{u}_a$ defined by

$$\widetilde{u}(t) = U(-t)u(t) \quad , \qquad \widetilde{u}_a(t) = U(-t)u_a(t) \tag{7.20}$$

so that

$$\widetilde{u}(t) = M(t)^* F^* \exp(i\varphi(1/t))\overline{w}(1/t) \ , \tag{7.21}$$

$$\widetilde{u}_a(t) = M(t)^* F^* \exp(i\varphi_a(1/t))\overline{w}_a(1/t) \ . \tag{7.22}$$

In order to translate the properties of (w, φ) into properties of u, we need the following commutation relations

$$M(t)^* F^* \nabla = -ixM(t)^* F^* \ , \tag{7.23}$$

$$M(t)^* F^* x = -iM(t)^* \nabla F^* = (-i\nabla + x/t)M(t)^* F^* \ , \tag{7.24}$$

$$M(t)^* F^* i\partial_t = \left(i\partial_t + \left(2t^2\right)^{-1} x^2\right) M(t)^* F^* \tag{7.25}$$

so that

$$M(t)^* F^* \overline{i\partial_t v}(1/t) = \left(it^2\partial_t + (1/2)x^2\right) M(t)^* F^* \overline{v}(1/t) \ . \tag{7.26}$$

We shall need in addition the following lemmas, which we state in terms of a general function h as considered in Section 3, although we shall use them only in the case $h(t) = t^2(1 - \ell n\ t)^4$.

Lemma 7.2. *Let $0 < \tau \leq 1$, let $(q, 0) \in X((0, \tau])$ so that*

$$\parallel \nabla^k \partial_t^j x^\ell q \parallel_2 \leq C\ t^{-j-k/2}\ h(t) \tag{7.27}$$

for $0 \le j, \ell \le 1$ *with* $0 \le 2j + k + \ell \le 3$ *and for all* $t \in (0, \tau]$. *Let* $\theta \in \mathcal{C}^1((0,\tau], <x> L^\infty \cap \dot{H}^2 \cap \dot{H}^3)$ *and let* θ *satisfy*

$$\| \nabla^{k+1} \partial_t^j \theta \|_2 \le C \ t^{1/4-j-k/2} \tag{7.28}$$

for $(j,k) = (0,1), (0,2)$ *and* $(1,1)$, *and*

$$\|< x >^{-1} \partial_t \theta \|_\infty \le C \ t^{-1} \tag{7.29}$$

for all $t \in (0,\tau]$. *Then* $q \exp(-i\theta)$ *satisfies the same estimates as (7.27) for* q *with the exception of the case* $(j,k,\ell) = (1,0,1)$. *If in addition*

$$\| \partial_t \theta \|_\infty \le C \ t^{-1} \tag{7.30}$$

then also the latter estimate holds.

Proof. We consider the various cases successively. The result follows from the assumptions, from Sobolev inequalities and from the estimates below.
$j = \ell = 0$.

$$\| q \exp(-i\theta) \|_2 = \| q \|_2 \le C \ h \ ,$$

$$\| \nabla q \exp(-i\theta) \|_2 \le \| \nabla q \|_2 \ + \ \| q \|_3 \ \| \nabla \theta \|_6 \le C \ t^{-1/2} \ h \ ,$$

$$\begin{aligned} \| \nabla^2 q \exp(-i\theta) \|_2 \ &\le \ \| \nabla^2 q \|_2 \ + \ \| \nabla q \|_3 \ \| \nabla \theta \|_6 \ + \ \| q \|_6 \ \| \nabla \theta \|_6^2 \\ &+ \ \| q \|_\infty \ \| \nabla^2 \theta \|_2 \le C \ t^{-1} \ h \ , \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\| \nabla^3 q \exp(-i\theta) \|_2 \le \| \nabla^3 q \|_2 \ + \ \| \nabla^2 q \|_3 \ \| \nabla \theta \|_6 \ + \ \| \nabla q \|_6 \ \| \nabla \theta \|_6^2 \\ &+ \ \| \nabla q \|_\infty \ \| \nabla^2 \theta \|_2 \ + \ \| q \|_\infty \left(\| \nabla \theta \|_6^3 \ + \ \| \nabla^3 \theta \|_2 \right) \\ &+ \ \| q \|_6 \ \| \nabla \theta \|_6 \ \| \nabla^2 \theta \|_6 \le C \ t^{-3/2} \ h \ . \end{aligned}$$

$j = 0$, $\ell = 1$. It suffices to replace q by xq in the first three estimates above.
$j = 1$, $\ell = 0$.

$$\| \partial_t (q \exp(-i\theta)) \|_2 \le \| \partial_t q \|_2 \ + \ \|< x > q \|_2 \ \|< x >^{-1} \partial_t \theta \|_\infty \le C \ t^{-1} \ h \ ,$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\| \nabla \partial_t (q \exp(-i\theta)) \|_2 \le \| \nabla \partial_t q \|_2 \ + \ \| \partial_t q \|_3 \ \| \nabla \theta \|_6 \\ &+ \ \|< x > \nabla q \|_2 \ \|< x >^{-1} \partial_t \theta \|_\infty \ + \ \| q \|_3 \ \| \nabla \partial_t \theta \|_6 \\ &\|< x > q \|_3 \ \| \nabla \theta \|_6 \ \|< x >^{-1} \partial_t \theta \|_\infty \le C \ t^{-3/2} \ h \ . \end{aligned}$$

$j = \ell = 1$.

$$\parallel \partial_t (xq \exp(-i\theta)) \parallel_2 \ \leq \ \parallel \partial_t xq \parallel_2 \ + \ \parallel xq \parallel_2 \ \parallel \partial_t \theta \parallel_\infty \ \leq C \ t^{-1} \ h \ .$$

$\square$

Lemma 7.3. *Let* $0 < \tau \leq 1$, *let* $v \in \mathcal{C}((0,\tau], H^3) \cap \mathcal{C}^1((0,\tau], H^1_3 \cap L^\infty)$, $< x > v \in \mathcal{C}((0,\tau], H^2) \cap \mathcal{C}^1((0,\tau], L^3)$ *and let* v *satisfy*

$$\begin{cases} \parallel v; H^3 \parallel \ \vee \ \parallel < x > v; H^2 \parallel \ \leq C \\ \\ \parallel \partial_t v; H^1_3 \cap L^\infty \parallel \ \vee \ \parallel < x > \partial_t v \parallel_3 \ \leq C \ t^{-1} \end{cases} \tag{7.31}$$

for all $t \in (0,\tau]$. *Let* $\psi \in \mathcal{C}((0,\tau], \ddot{H}^3)$ *satisfy*

$$\parallel \nabla^{k+1} \partial_t^j \psi \parallel_2 \ \leq C \ t^{-j-k/2} \ h(t) \tag{7.32}$$

for $j = 0, 1$ *and* $0 \leq k \leq 2$, *for all* $t \in (0,\tau]$. *Then* $q_1 \equiv v(\exp(-i\psi) - 1)$ *satisfies the estimates (7.27) for the same values of* j, k, ℓ.

Proof. We consider the various cases successively. We estimate
$j = \ell = 0$.

$$\parallel q_1 \parallel_2 \ \leq \ \parallel v \parallel_3 \ \parallel \psi \parallel_6 \ \leq C \ h \ ,$$

$$\parallel \nabla q_1 \parallel_2 \ \leq \ \parallel \nabla v \parallel_3 \ \parallel \psi \parallel_6 \ + \ \parallel v \parallel_\infty \ \parallel \nabla \psi \parallel_2 \ \leq C \ h \ ,$$

$$\begin{aligned} \parallel \nabla^2 q_1 \parallel_2 \ & \leq \ \parallel \nabla^2 v \parallel_2 \ \parallel \psi \parallel_\infty \ + \ \parallel \nabla v \parallel_6 \ \parallel \nabla \psi \parallel_3 \\ & \quad + \ \parallel v \parallel_\infty \left(\parallel \nabla^2 \psi \parallel_2 \ + \ \parallel \nabla \psi \parallel_4^2 \right) \\ & \leq \ C \ h \left(t^{-1/4} + t^{-1/2} \right) + h^2 t^{-3/4} \leq C \ h \ t^{-1/2} \ , \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \parallel \nabla^3 q_1 \parallel_2 \ \leq \ \parallel \nabla^3 v \parallel_2 \ \parallel \psi \parallel_\infty \ + \ \parallel \nabla^2 v \parallel_6 \ \parallel \nabla \psi \parallel_3 \\ & + \ \parallel \nabla v \parallel_\infty \left(\parallel \nabla^2 \psi \parallel_2 \ + \ \parallel \nabla \psi \parallel_4^2 \right) \\ & + \ \parallel v \parallel_\infty \left(\parallel \nabla^3 \psi \parallel_2 \ + \ \parallel \nabla^2 \psi \parallel_3 \ \parallel \nabla \psi \parallel_6 \ + \ \parallel \nabla \psi \parallel_6^3 \right) \\ & \leq C \ h \left(t^{-1/4} + t^{-1/2} + t^{-1} \right) + h^2 \left(t^{-3/4} + t^{-5/4} \right) + h^3 \ t^{-3/2} \leq C \ h \ t^{-1} \ . \end{aligned}$$

$j = 0$ $\ell = 1$. It suffices to replace v by xv in the first three estimates above.
$j = 1$, $\ell = 0$.

$$\parallel \partial_t q_1 \parallel_2 \ \leq \ \parallel \partial_t v \parallel_3 \ \parallel \psi \parallel_6 \ + \ \parallel v \parallel_3 \ \parallel \partial_t \psi \parallel_6 \ \leq C \ h \ t^{-1} \ ,$$

$$\begin{aligned}\| \nabla\partial_t q_1 \|_2 &\leq \| \nabla\partial_t v \|_3 \| \psi \|_6 + \| \nabla v \|_3 \| \partial_t\psi \|_6 + \| \partial_t v \|_\infty \| \nabla\psi \|_2 \\ &\quad + \| v \|_\infty (\| \nabla\partial_t\psi \|_2 + \| \partial_t\psi \|_6 \| \nabla\psi \|_3) \\ &\leq C\ h\ t^{-1} + h^2\ t^{-5/4} \leq C\ h\ t^{-1}\ .\end{aligned}$$

$j = 1$, $\ell = 1$. It suffices to replace v by xv in the first of the previous estimates.

□

In order to translate the properties of B into properties of A, we need the following commutation relation

$$\nabla^k S^j A(t) = (-)^{j+1}\ t^{-1-k} D_0(t) \left(\nabla^k (t\partial_t)^j B\right)(1/t) \tag{7.33}$$

where $S = t\partial_t + x \cdot \nabla + 1$ (see Section 3, especially (3.28)).

Proposition 7.2. *Let $\beta = 1/2$. Let u_+ be such that $w_+ = Fu_+ \in H^5$, $xw_+ \in H^4$ and such that w_+ satisfies the support condition (6.90). Let $A_+, \dot{A}_+$ satisfy (3.29) (3.30) and (6.91) for $0 \leq j, k \leq 1$. Define (w_a, s_a, B_a) by (6.1) (6.2) (6.3) (2.43) and (φ_a, u_a, A_a) by (7.7) (7.18) (7.19). Let (w, s, B_2) be the solution of the auxiliary system (2.41) obtained in Proposition 7.1, let $\varphi = \varphi_a + \psi$ with ψ defined by (7.15), let $B = B_0 + B_1 + B_2$, let (u, A) be defined by (2.16) (2.17) and let $\widetilde{u}$ be defined by (7.20). Let $T = \tau^{-1}$ and $I = [T, \infty)$. Then*

(1) $\quad x^k \partial_t^j \nabla^\ell \widetilde{u} \in \mathcal{C}(I, L^2)$

for $0 \leq j, \ell, j + \ell \leq 1$ and $0 \leq 2j + k + \ell \leq 3$, and $\widetilde{u}$ satisfies the following estimates for the same values of j, k, ℓ and for all $t \in I$:

$$\| x^k \partial_t^j \nabla^\ell (\widetilde{u} - \widetilde{u}_a) \|_2 \leq C\ t^{-2-j+k/2} (1 + \ell n\ t)^4\ . \tag{7.34}$$

Furthermore $\partial_t \nabla U(-t) \exp(-i\varphi_b(1/t, x/t)) u(t) \in \mathcal{C}(I, L^2)$ and the following estimate holds for all $t \in I$:

$$\| \partial_t \nabla U(-t) \exp(-i\varphi_b(1/t, x/t))(u(t) - u_a(t)) \|_2 \leq C\ t^{-3} (1 + \ell n\ t)^4\ . \tag{7.35}$$

Finally the following estimate holds

$$\| x^\ell (u - u_a) \|_r \leq C\ t^{-2+\ell-\delta(r)/2} (1 + \ell n\ t)^4 \tag{7.36}$$

for $\ell = 0, 1$, for $2 \leq r \leq \infty$ and for all $t \in I$, with $\delta(r) = 3/2 - 3/r$.

(2) $A \in \mathcal{C}(I, \dot{H}^1 \cap \dot{H}^2)$, $x \cdot A \in \mathcal{C}(I, \dot{H}^2)$, $SA \in \mathcal{C}(I, H^1)$, $Sx \cdot A \in \mathcal{C}(I, < x > \dot{H}^1)$, where $S = t\partial_t + x \cdot \nabla + 1$. Furthermore $A - A_a$, $x \cdot (A - A_a) \in \mathcal{C}(I, H^2)$, $S(A - A_a)$, $Sx \cdot (A - A_a) \in \mathcal{C}(I, H^1)$ and the following estimates hold for all $t \in I$:

$$\| S^j (A - A_a) \|_2 \ \vee\ t^{-1} \| S^j x \cdot (A - A_a) \|_2 \leq C\ t^{-3/2} (1 + \ell n\ t)^4\ , \tag{7.37}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \| \nabla^{k+1} S^j (A - A_a) \|_2 \ &\vee t^{-1} \| \nabla^{k+1} S^j x \cdot (A - A_a) \|_2 \\ &\leq C\ t^{-5/2-k/2} (1 + \ell n\ t)^4 \ , \end{aligned} \tag{7.38}$$

for $0 \leq j, k, j + k \leq 1$.

Proof.

Part (1). The existence of the solution u with the regularity stated follows from the existence and regularity of w obtained in Proposition 7.1, using Lemma 6.6 which ensures (6.88), from the reconstruction of the phase $\varphi = \varphi_a + \psi$ performed above and from the regularity properties of φ_a and ψ, through the change of variables in the form (7.21). The details of the proof follow from the estimates to be given below. We now turn to the derivation of the estimates. We first consider

$$\begin{aligned} v &= w \exp(-i\varphi) - w_a \exp(-i\varphi_a) \\ &= (w(\exp(-i\psi) - 1) + (w - w_a)) \exp(-i\varphi_a) \ . \end{aligned} \tag{7.39}$$

It follows from (7.16) that ψ satisfies the assumption (7.32) of Lemma 7.3 for the relevant h, while w obviously satisfies (7.31), so that by that Lemma, $q_1 = w(\exp(-i\psi) - 1)$ satisfies the same estimates (7.27) as $q = w - w_a$ for the relevant h. We now show that the assumptions on θ made in Lemma 7.2 are satisfied by φ_a and/or $\varphi_a - \varphi_b$. For that purpose we use Lemma 7.1. In fact from (7.11) (7.14) it follows that

$$\| \varphi_a; \dot{H}^2 \cap \dot{H}^3 \| \leq C(1 - \ell n\ t)$$

so that φ_a satisfies (7.28) for $j = 0$ and $k = 1, 2$. From (7.9) (7.13), it follows that

$$\| \partial_t \varphi_a; \dot{H}^2 \| \leq C\ t^{-1}$$

so that φ_a satisfies (7.28) with $j = k = 1$. From (7.13) it follows that

$$\| \partial_t (\varphi_a - \varphi_b); \dot{H}^1 \cap \dot{H}^2 \| \leq C\ t^{-1}$$

so that $\varphi_a - \varphi_b$ satisfies (7.30). Finally from (7.10) it follows that

$$\| < x >^{-1} \partial_t \varphi_b; \dot{H}^1 \cap \dot{H}^2 \| \leq C\ t^{-1}$$

so that φ_b and therefore also φ_a satisfy (7.29). It follows now from the previous results and from Lemma 7.2 that v defined by (7.39) satisfies the estimates

$$\| \nabla^k \partial_t^j x^\ell v \|_2 \leq C\ t^{-j-k/2}\ h(t) \tag{7.40}$$

for $0 \le j, \ell, j+\ell \le 1$ and $0 \le 2j + k + \ell \le 3$, and

$$\| \, \partial_t x v \exp(i\varphi_b) \, \|_2 \le C \ t^{-1} \ h(t) \ . \tag{7.41}$$

We now derive the estimates (7.34) (7.35). From (7.21) (7.22) it follows that

$$(\widetilde{u} - \widetilde{u}_a)\,(t) = M(t)^* F^* \overline{v}(1/t) \ . \tag{7.42}$$

From (7.40) (7.42) it follows immediately that

$$\| \, x^k (\widetilde{u} - \widetilde{u}_a)(t) \, \|_2 = \| \, \nabla^k v(1/t) \, \|_2 \le C \ t^{k/2} \ h(1/t) \tag{7.43}$$

for $0 \le k \le 3$. From (7.40) (7.42) and the commutation relation (7.26), it then follows that

$$\| \, x^k \left(it^2 \partial_t + (1/2)x^2 \right) (\widetilde{u} - \widetilde{u}_a)(t) \, \|_2 = \| \, \nabla^k (\partial_t v)(1/t) \, \|_2 \le C \ t^{1+k/2} \ h(1/t)$$

for $0 \le k \le 1$, which together with (7.43) implies

$$\| \, x^k \partial_t (\widetilde{u} - \widetilde{u}_a)(t) \, \|_2 \le C \ t^{-1+k/2} \ h(1/t) \ . \tag{7.44}$$

From (7.40) (7.42) and the commutation relation (7.24), it then follows that

$$\| \, x^k (i\nabla - x/t)(\widetilde{u} - \widetilde{u}_a)(t) \, \|_2 = \| \, \nabla^k x v(1/t) \, \|_2 \le C \ t^{k/2} \ h(1/t)$$

for $0 \le k \le 2$, which together with (7.43) implies

$$\| \, x^k \nabla (\widetilde{u} - \widetilde{u}_a)(t) \, \|_2 \le C \ t^{k/2} \ h(1/t) \ . \tag{7.45}$$

Collecting (7.43)-(7.45) for the relevant h yields (7.34). We now turn to (7.35). We define

$$\widetilde{u}_-(t) = U(-t) \exp\left(-i\varphi_b(1/t, x/t) \right) (u(t) - u_a(t)) \ . \tag{7.46}$$

From a minor variation of (7.21) (7.22), it follows that

$$\widetilde{u}_-(t) = M(t)^* F^* \ \overline{v \exp(i\varphi_b)}(1/t) \ . \tag{7.47}$$

From (7.41) (7.47) and the commutation relations (7.24) (7.26), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \| \left(it^2 \partial_t + (1/2)x^2 \right) (i\nabla - x/t) \widetilde{u}_-(t) \, \|_2 &= \| \, x \left(\partial_t v \exp(i\varphi_b) \right) (1/t) \, \|_2 \\ &\le C \ t \ h(1/t) \ . \end{aligned}$$

From this estimate and from the analogues of (7.43)-(7.45) for $\widetilde{u}_-$, which can be derived in the same way, it follows that

$$\| \partial_t \nabla \widetilde{u}_-(t) \|_2 \le C\ t^{-1}\ h(1/t) \tag{7.48}$$

which reduces to (7.35) for the relevant h. Finally using the relation

$$(u - u_a)(t) = M(t)\ D(t)\ \overline{v(1/t)}$$

we estimate

$$\begin{aligned} &\| x^\ell (u - u_a)(t) \|_r = \ t^{-\delta+\ell}\ \| x^\ell v(1/t) \|_r \\ &\le \ t^{-\delta+\ell}\ \| x^\ell v(1/t) \|_2^{1-\delta/2}\ \| \nabla^2 x^\ell v(1/t) \|_2^{\delta/2} \le C\ t^{-\delta/2+\ell}\ h(1/t) \end{aligned}$$

for $\ell = 0, 1$ and $0 \le \delta = \delta(r) = 3/2 - 3/r \le 3/2$, by the use of (7.38). That estimate reduces to (7.36) for the relevant h.

Part (2). The existence and regularity properties of A follow from Proposition 7.1 as regards $A - A_a$, while the regularity properties of A_a follow from Lemma 3.7 and Proposition 6.1, through the change of variables (2.17). We now turn to the estimates (7.37) (7.38). From the commutation relation (7.33), it follows that

$$\nabla^k S^j (A - A_a)(t) = (-)^{j+1}\ t^{-1-k}\ D_0(t) \left(\nabla^k (t\partial_t)^j G \right) (1/t)\ , \tag{7.49}$$

$$\nabla^k S^j x \cdot (A - A_a)(t) = (-)^{j+1}\ t^{-1-k}\ D_0(t) \left(\nabla^k (t\partial_t)^j \check{G} \right) (1/t)\ . \tag{7.50}$$

The estimates (7.37) (7.38) follow immediately from (7.2)-(7.5) and (7.49) (7.50).

□

Acknowledgments

Part of this work was done while one of the authors (G. V.) was visiting the Department of Mathematics of the New York University (DMNYU), New York, USA, the Institut des Hautes Etudes Scientifiques (IHES), Bures-sur-Yvette, France and the Laboratoire de Physique Théorique (LPT), Université de Paris-Sud, Orsay, France. He is very grateful to Professor Jalal Shatah, Chairman of the DMNYU, to Professor Jean-Pierre Bourguignon, Director of the IHES and to Professor Hendrik-Jan Hilhorst, Director of the LPT, for the kind hospitality extended to him.

References

[1] Brenner, P.: On L_p-$L_{p'}$ estimates for the wave equation. Math. Z. **145**, 251 -254 (1975)

[2] Colombini, F., Lerner, N.: Uniqueness of continuous solutions for BV vector fields. Duke Math. J. **111**, 357-384 (2002)

[3] Di Perna, R., Lions, P.L.: Ordinary differential equations, transport theory and Sobolev spaces. Invent. Math. **98**, 511-547 (1989)

[4] Ginibre, J., Velo, G.: Long range scattering and modified wave operators for some Hartree type equations I. Rev. Math. Phys. **12**, 361-429 (2000). II. Ann. H. P. **1**, 753-800 (2000). III, Gevrey spaces and low dimensions. J. Diff. Eq. **175**, 415-501 (2001)

[5] Ginibre, J., Velo, G.:Long range scattering and modified wave operators for the Wave-Schrödinger system. Ann. H. P. **3**, 537-612 (2002)

[6] Ginibre, J., Velo, G.: Long range scattering and modified wave operators for the Wave-Schrödinger system II. Ann. H.P. **4**, 973-999 (2003)

[7] Ginibre, J., Velo, G.: Long range scattering and modified wave operators for the Maxwell-Schrödinger system I. The case of vanishing asymptotic magnetic field. Commun. Math. Phys. **236**, 395-448 (2003)

[8] Ginibre, J., Velo, G.: Long range scattering and modified wave operators for the Wave-Schrödinger system III. Dynamics of PDE **2**, 101-125 (2005)

[9] Ginibre, J., Velo, G.: Scattering theory for the Schrödinger equation in some external time dependent magnetic fields. J. Diff. Eq. **215**, 108-177 (2005)

[10] Ginibre, J., Velo, G.: Long range scattering for the Wave-Schrödinger system with large wave data and small Schrödinger data. Hokkaido Math. J. **35**, 261-287 (2006)

[11] Ginibre, J., Velo, G.: Long range scattering for the Maxwell-Schrödinger system with large magnetic field data and small Schrödinger data. Publ. RIMS, Kyoto Univ. **42**, 421-459 (2006)

[12] Ginibre, J., Velo, G.: Long range scattering for some Schrödinger related nonlinear systems. To appear in "Nonlinear Dispersive Equations" (T. Ozawa and Y. Tsutsumi Eds.), GAKUTO International Series, Mathematical Sciences and Applications

[13] Guo, Y., Nakamitsu, K., Strauss, W.: Global finite energy solutions of the Maxwell-Schrödinger system. Commun. Math. Phys. **170**, 181-196 (1995)

[14] Hayashi, N., Naumkin, P.I.: Remarks on scattering theory and large time asymptotics of solutions to Hartree type equations with a long range potential. SUT J. of Math. **34**, 13-24 (1998)

[15] Hayashi, N., Naumkin, P.I.: Scattering theory and large time asymptotics of solutions to Hartree type equations with a long range potential. Hokkaido Math. J. **30**, 137-161 (2001)

[16] Hörmander, L.: *The Analysis of Linear Partial Differential Operators*. Vol I, Berlin: Springer, 1983

[17] Kato, T., Ponce, G.: Commutator estimates and the Euler and Navier-Stokes equations. Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **41**, 891-907 (1988)

[18] Kenig, C., Ponce, G., Vega, L.: The initial value problem for a class of nonlinear dispersive equations. In *Functional-Analytic Methods for Partial Differential Equations*. Lect. Notes Math. **1450**, 141-156 (1990)

[19] Nakamitsu, M., Tsutsumi, M.: The Cauchy problem for the coupled Maxwell-Schrödinger equations. J. Math. Phys. **27**, 211-216 (1986)

[20] Nakamura, M., Wada, T.: Local wellposedness for the Maxwell-Schrödinger equations. Math. Ann. **332**, 565-604 (2005)

[21] Nakanishi, K.: Modified wave operators for the Hartree equation with data, image and convergence in the same space. Comm. Pure Appl. Anal. **1**, 237-252 (2002)

[22] Nakanishi, K.: Modified wave operators for the Hartree equation with data, image and convergence in the same space II. Ann. H. P. **3**, 503-535 (2002)

[23] Ozawa, T. : Long range scattering for nonlinear Schrödinger equations in one space dimension. Commun. Math. Phys. **139**, 479-493 (1991)

[24] Pecher, H.: L^p-Abschätzungen und klassische Lösungen für nichtlineare Wellengleichungen, I. Math. Z. **150**, 159-183 (1976)

[25] Shimomura, A.: Modified wave operators for the coupled Wave-Schrödinger equations in three space dimensions. Disc. Cont. Dyn. Syst. **9**, 1571-1586 (2003)

[26] Shimomura, A.: Modified wave operators for Maxwell-Schrödinger equations in three space dimensions. Ann. H.P. **4**, 661-683 (2003)

[27] Strauss, W.: Non linear Wave Equations. CMBS Lecture notes 73, Am. Math. Soc., Providence, 1989

[28] Tsutsumi, Y.: Global existence and asymptotic behaviour of solutions for the Maxwell-Schrödinger system in three space dimensions. Commun. Math. Phys. **151**, 543-576 (1993)